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#### Abstract

Recently, Gowda et al. [10] established the Fischer-Burmeister (FB) complementarity function (C-function) on Euclidean Jordan algebras. In this paper, we prove that FB C-function as well as the derivatives of the squared norm of FB C-function are Lipschitz contiy
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\section*{1 Introduction} function $\phi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is specified by $$
\begin{equation*} b-\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1} \end{equation*}
$$ which is attributed by Wisc ar t Bu neister (see [5, 6, 7]). It is a complementarity function for nonlinear pmpl me, ar problem (NCP)(called C-function or NCP function), that is, $$
\begin{equation*} b(a, b)=0 \Leftrightarrow a \geq 0, b \geq 0, a b=0 . \tag{1.2} \end{equation*}
$$

FB functi has byen much studied in the context of NCP, because it has nice properties, such as strolnnismoothness. Moreover, the squared norm of FB function has a Lipschitz continuous gradient, which can be effectively employed in the algorithmic development, see, e.g., $[3,8,13]$.

Recently, FB function has been generalized to solve the semidefinite complementarity problem (SDCP) and the second-order cone complementarity problem (SOCCP). For instance, Tseng [21] (also see Borwein and Lewis [1]) proved that FB function is a C-function for SDCP, and Fukushima, Luo and Tseng [9] showed that this is true in the setting of SOCCP. It was proved by Sim, Sun and Ralph [17] and Chen, Sun and Sun [2] that the squared norm of FB function has a Lipschitz continuous gradient in the settings of SDCP and SOCCP, respectively.


[^0]Gowda, Sznajder and Tao [10] proposed the following (vector-valued) FB function on Euclidean Jordan algebras as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{F B}(x, y):=x+y-\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(detailed description is in the next section) and showed that it is a C-function for symmetric cone complementarity problem (SCCP) which is to find a vector $x \in \mathcal{J}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in K, y \in K,\langle x, y\rangle=0, \quad y=F(x) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is a space of $n$-dimensional real column vectors, $(\mathcal{J},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle, \circ)$ is a Euclidean Jordan algebra, $K$ is the symmetric cone in $\mathcal{V}$ (see Section 2), and $F: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is a given continuously differentiable mapping. SCCP provides a simple, natural, and unified framework for various complementarity problems, such as NCP, SOCCP and SDCP. Because of wide applications in engineering, management science and other fields, it has attracted much attention, see, e.g., $[10,11,12,15,16,20,22]$. Here, we say $\Phi: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is a C-function (for SCCP) if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x, y)=0 \Longleftrightarrow x \in K, y \in K,\langle x, \=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

 defined by
is differentiable. Motivated by all of the worr abge, a natural question arises:

We answer the above qu stio in the affirmative. To do so, we establish useful inequalities
squared norm of FB function
1.6) Lipschitz continuous? on the Lyapu Ov op erac a ploying the norm induced by the underlying inner product.

In Sec ion 2 we stabish ( e preliminaries and present some useful results about Lyapunov tr nsformation. We show that FB function is Lipschitz continuous in Section 3. Section 4 stablish;s that the derivatives of squared norm of FB function are Lipschitz continuous. We clude the paper in Section 5 and raise an open question.

## 2 Preliminaries

We review some results on Euclidean Jordan algebras (see for instance [4, 14]) and develop some basic inequalities on Euclidean Jordan algebras.

A Euclidean Jordan algebra is a triple $(\mathcal{J},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle, \circ)(\mathcal{V}$ for short), where $(\mathcal{J},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is a $n$ dimensional inner product space over real field $\mathbb{R}$ and $(x, y) \mapsto x \circ y: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is a bilinear mapping which satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $x \circ y=y \circ x$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{J}$,
(ii) $x \circ\left(x^{2} \circ y\right)=x^{2} \circ(x \circ y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{J}$ where $x^{2}:=x \circ x$ and
(iii) $\langle x \circ y, z\rangle=\langle x, y \circ z\rangle$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{J}$.

We call $x \circ y$ the Jordan product of $x$ and $y$. In general, the Jordan product is not associative, i.e., $(x \circ y) \circ z \neq x \circ(y \circ z)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{J}$. We assume that there exists an element $e$ (called the identity element) such that $x \circ e=e \circ x=x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{J}$. Define the set of squares as $K:=\left\{x^{2}: x \in \mathcal{J}\right\}$. It is well-known that $K$ is a symmetric cone in $\mathcal{V}$, i.e., $K$ is a closed, convex, homogeneous and self-dual cone. For $x \in \mathcal{J}$, the degree of $x$ denoted by $\operatorname{deg}(x)$ is the smallest positive integer $m$ such that the set $\left\{e, x, x^{2}, \cdots, x^{m}\right\}$ is linearly dependent. The $\operatorname{rank}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ is defined as $\max \{\operatorname{deg}(x): x \in \mathcal{J}\}$. In this paper, $r$ will denote the rank of the underlying Euclidean Jordan algebra. Let $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{J})$ denote the dimension of $\mathcal{J}$. Obviously, $r \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{J})$.

Recall that an element $c \in \mathcal{J}$ is idempotent if $c^{2}=c \neq 0$. It is also primitive if it cannot be written as a sum of two idempotents. A complete system of orthogonal idempotents is a finite set $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{k}\right\}$ of idempotents with $c_{i} \circ c_{j}=0(i \neq j)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}=e$. A complete system of orthogonal primitive idempotents is called a Jordan frame of $\mathcal{V}$. Thus, for any element $x \in \mathcal{J}$, we have the following important spectral decomposition theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem III.1.2, [4]). Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a Euclidean Jordan algebra of rank $r$. Then for every vector $x \in \mathcal{J}$ there exist a Jordan frame $\left\{c_{1}(x), c_{2}(x), \cdots, c_{r}(x)\right\}$ and real numbers $\lambda_{1}(x), \lambda_{2}(x), \cdots, \lambda_{r}(x)$, the eigenvalues of $x$, suc) hat

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\lambda_{1}(x) c_{1}(x)+\lambda_{2}(x) c_{2}(x)+\sim \lambda_{r} \sim ل_{r}(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call (2.1) the spectral decomposition of $x$.
Let $x=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}(x) c_{j}(x)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ be then ond induced by the inner product, i.e.,

We have $\left\|c_{j}(x)\right\|=1$ for
Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a rea, alue fungtion. We define the vector-valued function $G: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ as
which is a operator. In particular, taking $t_{+}:=\max \{0, t\}$, we can define the projection of $x$ onto $K$ as

$$
x_{+}:=\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left(\lambda_{j}(x)\right)_{+} c_{j}(x) .
$$

Note that $x \in K$ if and only if $\lambda_{i}(x) \geq 0, \forall i \in\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$. Letting $g(t):=\sqrt{t}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, we define

$$
x^{\frac{1}{2}}:=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sqrt{\lambda_{j}(x)} c_{j}(x) \text { for } x \in K
$$

Therefore, FB function (1.3) and its squared norm (1.6) are well-defined.
We next recall the Peirce decomposition theorem on the space $\mathcal{J}$, where the Jordan frame $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{r}\right\}$ can be fixed beforehand.

Theorem 2.2 (Theorem IV.2.1, [4]). Let $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{r}\right\}$ be a given Jordan frame in a Euclidean Jordan algebra $\mathcal{V}$ of rank $r$. Then $\mathcal{J}$ is the orthogonal direct sum of spaces $J_{i j}(i \leq j)$, where the subspaces $J_{i j}$ for $i, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$ are defined by

$$
J_{i i}:=\left\{x \in \mathcal{J}: x \circ c_{i}=x\right\} \text { and } J_{i j}:=\left\{x \in \mathcal{J}: x \circ c_{i}=\frac{1}{2} x=x \circ c_{j}\right\}, i \neq j .
$$

Furthermore,
(i) $J_{i j} \circ J_{i j} \subseteq J_{i i}+J_{j j}$;
(ii) $J_{i j} \circ J_{j k} \subseteq J_{i k}$, if $i \neq k$;
(iii) $J_{i j} \circ J_{k l}=\{0\}$, if $\{i, j\} \bigcap\{k, l\}=\emptyset$.

Based on the result above and Lemma IV.2.2 in [4], we have the following connection between $\|x \circ y\|$ and $\|x\|\|y\|$, which is useful in the subsequent analysis.

Lemma 2.3. Let $x \in J_{i j}, y \in J_{k l}$ with $i<j$ and $k<l$. Then $\|x \circ y\| \leq\|x\|\|y\|$. Furthermore,

$$
\|x \circ y\|^{2} \begin{cases}=0 & \text { if }\{i, j\} \cap\{k, l\} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^{2}\|y\|^{2} & \text { if } i=k \\ =\frac{1}{8}\|x\|^{2}\|y\|^{2} & \text { if } i<j=\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Note that if $\{i, j\} \bigcap\{k, l\}=\emptyset$, then $x \circ y=$ If $x=J_{i j}, y \in J_{j l}$ with $i, j, l$ all distinct, by Lemma IV.2.2 in [4], $\left.\|x \circ y\|^{2}=\frac{1}{8}\left\|x \prod^{2}\right\| y \right\rvert\,$ e only need to prove the conclusion in the case of $x, y \in J_{i j}$. By Theorem 2.2, $y=\delta_{2} c_{j}$, for some $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\|x \circ y\|^{2}=\delta_{1}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}$. Meanwhile, by direc acon oytation we have


Below we consider a very fundamental linear operator, Lyapunov transformation, and derive some inequalities on it that will be useful to us.

For each $x \in \mathcal{J}$, we define the Lyapunov transformation (operator) $\mathcal{L}(x): \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ by

$$
\mathcal{L}(x) y=x \circ y, \quad \text { for all } y \in \mathcal{J}
$$

which is a symmetric operator in the sense that $\langle\mathcal{L}(x) y, z\rangle=\langle y, \mathcal{L}(x) z\rangle$ for all $y, z \in \mathcal{J}$. Given $0 \neq a=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}(a) c_{i}(a)$ with $\lambda_{1}(a) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{|\wp(a)|}>0=\lambda_{|\wp(a)|+1}=\cdots=\lambda_{r}(a)$, where $\wp(a):=\left\{i: \lambda_{i}(a)>0\right\}$, we define a subspace

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{a}:=J\left(e_{\wp(a)}, 1\right):=\left\{x \in \mathcal{J}: x \circ e_{\wp(a)}=x\right\} \text { with } e_{\wp(a)}:=\sum_{i=1}^{|\wp(a)|} c_{i}(a) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that $\mathcal{L}_{a}:=\mathcal{L}(a)$ is a one-to-one mapping from $J_{a}$ to $J_{a}$ and therefore it has an inverse $\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}$ on $J_{a}$, i.e., for any $x \in J_{a}, \mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x)$ is the unique $d \in J_{a}$ such that $a \circ d=x$. Using Lemma 20 in [10], any $x \in J_{a}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{i=1}^{|\wp(a)|} x_{i} c_{i}(a)+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq|\wp(a)|} x_{i j}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_{i j} \in J_{i j}$ with the given Jordan frame $\left\{c_{1}(a), c_{2}(a), \cdots, c_{r}(a)\right\}$. The following proposition gives a formula for $\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x)$.

Proposition 2.4. Let $0 \neq a=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}(a) c_{i}(a)$ with $\lambda_{1}(a) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{|\wp(a)|}>0=\lambda_{|\wp(a)|+1}=$ $\cdots=\lambda_{r}(a)$. Let $J_{a}$ and $e_{\wp(a)}$ be given by (2.2). Then every $x \in J_{a}$ can be written as in (2.3) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{|\wp(a)|} \frac{x_{i}}{\lambda_{i}(a)} c_{i}(a)+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq|\wp(a)|} \frac{2}{\lambda_{j}(a)+\lambda_{l}(a)} x_{j l} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(a)=e_{\wp(a)}$ is the identity element in $J_{a}$, $n d \mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}\left(a^{k}\right)=a^{k-1}$ for $k>1$. Proof. As we noted before, the fact that every $x_{a} J_{n}$ yritten in the form (2.3) is given by Lemma 20 in [10]. Let $d:=\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x)$. T

$$
\left.d=\sum_{i=1}^{|\wp(a)|} d_{i} Q_{i} a\right)+d_{j l}
$$

for some $d_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d_{j l} \in J_{j l}$. By Th fem 2. $\int_{\text {di calculation yields that }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \circ d & =\sum_{i=1}^{|\wp(a)|} \lambda_{i}(a) d_{i}(a)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{|\wp(a)|} \lambda_{i}(a) c_{i}(a)\right) \circ\left(\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq|\wp(a)|} d_{j l}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{|\wp(a)|} \lambda_{i=1}^{\mid \leq(a)} d_{i} c_{i}(a)+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq|\wp(a)|}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{|\wp(a)|} \lambda_{i}(a) c_{i}(a)\right) \circ d_{j l} \\
& =\sum_{i \leq j<l \leq|\wp(a)|} \frac{\lambda_{j}(a)+\lambda_{l}(a)}{2} d_{j l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This together with $a \circ d=x$ establishes (2.4).
Likewise, for the above $a$ and $\wp(a)$, we define subspaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{a}^{0} & :=J\left(e_{\wp(a)}, 0\right):=\left\{x \in \mathcal{J}: x \circ e_{\wp(a)}=0\right\}, \\
J_{a}^{\frac{1}{2}} & :=J\left(e_{\wp(a)}, \frac{1}{2}\right):=\left\{x \in \mathcal{J}: x \circ e_{\wp(a)}=\frac{1}{2} x\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $J_{a}^{0}=J\left(e-e_{\wp(a)}, 1\right)$. Similarly, applying Lemma 20 in [10], any $x \in J_{a}^{0}$ can be expressed as

$$
x=\sum_{i=|\wp(a)|+1}^{r} x_{i} c_{i}(a)+\sum_{|\wp(a)|+1 \leq i<j \leq r} x_{i j},
$$

where $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_{i j} \in J_{i j}$. It is known that $\mathcal{J}$ is the orthogonal direct sum of spaces $J_{a}, J_{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $J_{a}^{0}$ (see Page 62 of [4]). From Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{a}=\bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq l \leq|\wp(a)|} J_{j l}, \quad J_{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}=\bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq|\wp(a)|,|\wp(a)|+1 \leq l \leq r} J_{j l}, \quad J_{a}^{0}=\bigoplus_{|\wp(a)|+1 \leq j \leq l \leq r} J_{j l} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus any $x \in \mathcal{J}$ can be expressed as $x=x^{(1)}+x^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}+x^{(0)}$ where $x^{(1)} \in J_{a}, x^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \in J_{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $x^{(0)} \in J_{a}^{0}$. Observe that $a \circ x^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \in J_{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_{a}$ is a one-to-one mapping from $J_{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ to $J_{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which is shown by the following.

Proposition 2.5. Let $0 \neq a=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}(a) c_{i}(a)$ with $\lambda_{1}(a) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{|\wp(a)|}>0=\lambda_{|\wp(a)|+1}=$ $\cdots=\lambda_{r}(a)$. Then every $y \in J_{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq|\wp(a)|,|\wp(a)|+1 \leq l \leq r} y_{j l} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
where $y_{j l} \in J_{j l}$.


Proof. By Theorem 2.2 and (2.5), every $\in$ written in the form (2.6). Let $d:=\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(y)$. Then
for $d_{j l} \in J_{j l}$. As in the proof of Pssition 2.4, we have

The desir d conclus a follows)rom $\lambda_{l}(a)=0$ for $|\wp(a)|+1 \leq l \leq r$.
Next, consig er some continuity property of $\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}}^{-1}$ where $a_{\varepsilon}:=\left(a^{2}+\varepsilon^{2} e\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Proposition 2.6. Let $0 \neq a=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}(a) c_{i}(a)$ with $\lambda_{1}(a) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{|\wp(a)|}>0=\lambda_{|\wp(a)|+1}=$ $\cdots=\lambda_{r}(a)$. Then for any $x \in J_{a}$ and $y \in J_{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x+y)$ is well-defined and

$$
\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x+y)=\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x)+\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(y)
$$

Let $a_{\varepsilon}:=\left(a^{2}+\varepsilon^{2} e\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}}^{-1}(x+y)=\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x+y) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}}^{-1}(x+y) \circ x=\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x+y) \circ x
$$

Proof. The first part of the theorem is obvious by Propositions 2.4 and 2.5. For the second part, since $x \in J_{a}$ and $y \in J_{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we can take $x$ and $y$ as in the forms (2.3) and (2.6), respectively. Noting that $a_{\varepsilon}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}\left(a_{\varepsilon}\right) c_{i}(a)$ with $\lambda_{i}\left(a_{\varepsilon}\right)=\sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}$, and employing an argument similar to the one in the proof of Proposition 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}}^{-1}(x+y)= & \sum_{i=1}^{|\wp(a)|} \frac{x_{i}}{\lambda_{i}\left(a_{\varepsilon}\right)} c_{i}(a)+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq|\wp(a)|} \frac{2}{\lambda_{j}\left(a_{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda_{l}\left(a_{\varepsilon}\right)} x_{j l} \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq j \leq|\wp(a)|,|\wp(a)|+1 \leq l \leq r} \frac{2}{\lambda_{j}\left(a_{\varepsilon}\right)+\lambda_{l}\left(a_{\varepsilon}\right)} y_{j l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This together with the facts $\lambda_{l}\left(a_{\varepsilon}\right)=|\varepsilon|$ for $|\wp(a)|+1 \leq l \leq r$, (2.4) and (2.7) yields (2.8).
Furthermore, note that


It follows that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}}^{-1}(x+y) \circ x=\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x+y) \circ x$, as desired.
We end this section by presenting various useful inequalities on $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$.
Lemma 2.7. For $x, y \in \mathcal{J}$, let $a_{\varepsilon}(x, y):=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+\varepsilon^{2} e\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with $\varepsilon \neq 0$. Then for every $u, v \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$
\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ u\right\| \leq 2 \beta\|u\|, \quad\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(v) \circ x\right\| \leq \beta\|v\| \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x \circ u)\right\| \leq \gamma\|u\|
$$

where $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are positive constants only dependent on the rank of $\mathcal{J}$, which can be taken as $\beta=r^{4}$ and $\gamma=5 r^{2}$.

For $x, y \in \mathcal{J}$, define $a:=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and let $a=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}(a) c_{i}$. For $u, v \in \mathcal{J}$, by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} c_{i}+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} x_{j l}, \quad y=\sum_{i=1}^{r} y_{i} c_{i}+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} y_{j l}, \\
u & =\sum_{i=1}^{r} u_{i} c_{i}+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} u_{j l}, \quad v=\sum_{i=1}^{r} v_{i} c_{i}+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} v_{j l},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{i}, y_{i}, u_{i}, v_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_{j l}, y_{j l}, u_{j l}, v_{j l} \in J_{j l}$. Note that $a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+\varepsilon^{2} e\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}} c_{i}$ and $a_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}+\varepsilon^{2} e$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2} & =\left\langle c_{i}, a_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x, y)\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\left\langle c_{i}, x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right\rangle+\varepsilon^{2} \\
& =\left\langle x \circ c_{i}, x\right\rangle+\left\langle y \circ c_{i}, y\right\rangle+\varepsilon^{2} \\
& =x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r, i}\left(\text { Nol }_{i l}\left\|y_{j l}\right\|^{2}\right)+\varepsilon^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality holds by $\left\langle c_{i}, e\right\rangle \neq 1$, follows from the facts that $x \circ c_{i}=$ $x_{i} c_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1}$

This implies that

$$
\left\langle x_{i} c_{i}+\frac{1}{2} x_{j} x_{j, x} \sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r, i \in\{j, l\}}\left\|x_{j l}\right\|^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\left.\lambda_{i}^{2} \mid a\right)+\varepsilon^{2}} \geq \max \left\{\left|x_{i}\right|,\left|y_{i}\right|, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\|x_{j l}\right\|, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\|y_{j l}\right\|, i \in\{j, l\}\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $j \neq l, j, l \in\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}} \geq \max \left\{\sqrt{2}\left\|x_{j l}\right\|, \sqrt{2}\left\|y_{j l}\right\|\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Proposition 2.4, we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{x_{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{i}+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} x_{j l} .
$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.2, direct calculation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x) \circ u=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{x_{i} u_{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{i}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{x_{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{i}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} u_{j l}\right) \\
& +\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} u_{i} c_{i}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} x_{j l}\right) \\
& +\left(\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} x_{j l}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} u_{j l}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{x_{i} u_{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r, i \in\{j, l\}} \frac{x_{i}}{2 \sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} u_{j l} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r, i \in\{j, l\}} \frac{u_{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} x_{j l} \\
+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} \sum_{1 \leq i<k \leq r} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)-\sqrt{\lambda}} x_{l+\varepsilon^{2}}} x_{j l} \circ u_{i k},
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality follows from $c_{i} \circ u_{j l}=u_{j l}$ ith $\boldsymbol{l} \in\{j, l\}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x) \circ u\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left\|\frac{x_{i} u_{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)} c_{i}} \sum_{j<l \leq r, i \in\{j, l\}}\right\| \frac{x_{i}}{2 \sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} u_{j l} \| \\
& +\sum_{i=\lambda}^{r} \sum_{i<1} \sum_{\{j, i, l\}} \frac{u_{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} x_{j l} \| \\
& \sum_{i \leq j<l \leq r \leq r}\left\|\frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} x_{j l} \circ u_{i k}\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \hat{\lambda}_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r, i \in\{j, l\}} \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{j l}\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r, i \in\{j, l\}} \frac{\left|u_{i}\right|}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} \sum_{1 \leq i<k \leq r}\left\|\frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} x_{j l}\right\|\left\|u_{i k}\right\| \\
& \leq \quad r\|u\|+\frac{1}{2} r(r-1)\|u\|+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} r(r-1)\|u\|+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}[r(r-1)]^{2}\|u\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second inequality holds by Lemma 2.3 , the third by the fact $\|u\| \geq \max \left\{\left|u_{i}\right|,\left\|u_{j l}\right\|\right\}$, (2.9) and (2.10). Let $\beta \geq r+\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{2} r(r-1)+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}[r(r-1)]^{2}$. Then

$$
\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x) \circ u\right\| \leq \beta\|u\| .
$$

Likewise, we have $\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(y) \circ u\right\| \leq \beta\|u\|$. Hence,

$$
\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ u\right\| \leq\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x) \circ u\right\|+\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(y) \circ u\right\| \leq 2 \beta\|u\|
$$

Similarly, noting that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{v_{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{i}+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} v_{j l},
$$

we obtain

$$
\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{e}(x, y)}^{-1}(v) \circ x\right\| \leq \beta\|v\| .
$$



By Theorem 2.2, we can write $x_{j l} \circ u_{j l}=f_{1}^{j l} c_{j}+f_{2}^{j l} c_{l}$ with $f_{1}^{j l}, f_{2}^{j l} \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, by Proposition 2.4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}\left(\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} x_{j l} \circ u_{j l}\right) & =\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}\left(\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r}\left(f_{1}^{j l} c_{j}+f_{2}^{j l} c_{l}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r}\left(\frac{f_{1}^{j l}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{j}+\frac{f_{2}^{j l}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{l}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that since $\left\langle c_{j}, c_{l}\right\rangle=0$, with $\theta_{j l}:=\min \left\{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}, \sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}\right\}$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{f_{1}^{j l}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{j}+\frac{f_{2}^{j l}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{l}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\frac{f_{1}^{j l}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{j}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\frac{f_{2}^{j l}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{l}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\frac{f_{1}^{j l}}{\theta_{j l}} c_{j}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\frac{f_{2}^{j l}}{\theta_{j l}} c_{l}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{\theta_{j l}^{2}}\left(\left\|f_{1}^{j l} c_{j}\right\|^{2}+\left\|f_{2}^{j l} c_{l}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\theta_{j l}^{2}}\left\|f_{1}^{j l} c_{j}+f_{2}^{j l} c_{l}\right\|^{2} \quad\left(\text { by }\left\langle c_{j}, c_{l}\right\rangle=0\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\theta_{j l}^{2}}\left\|x_{j l} \circ u_{j l}\right\|^{2} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
=\| \frac{1}{\theta_{j}} x_{j l} \circ u_{j} \nu^{2} \\
\leq\left\|\frac{1}{\theta_{j}} x_{j}\right\| \|^{2}(\text { by Lemma 2.3) }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { That is, } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { That is, } \\
\text { Therefore, } \\
\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}}^{-}\left(x_{j<l \leq r} x_{j l} \circ u_{j l}\right)\left\|=\sqrt{\sum_{2}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}} c_{l}\right\| u \| . \\
\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r}^{j l}\left(\frac{f_{1}^{j l}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{j}+\frac{f_{2}^{j l}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{l}\right) \|
\end{array} \\
& \leq \sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r}\left\|\frac{f_{1}^{j l}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{j}+\frac{f_{2}^{j l}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}} c_{l}\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} \sqrt{2}\|u\| \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} r(r-1)\|u\| \text {. } \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\xi:=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} u_{i} c_{i}+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r}\left(\frac{x_{j}+x_{l}}{2} u_{j l}+\frac{u_{j}+u_{l}}{2} x_{j l}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq r}\left(x_{i j} \circ u_{j k}+x_{j k} \circ u_{i j}\right)$. Note that by Theorem 2.2, $\left(x_{i j} \circ u_{j k}+x_{j k} \circ u_{i j}\right) \in J_{i k}$. Similarly, by Proposition 2.4, we
have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(\xi)= & \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{x_{i} u_{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}} c_{i}} \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\lambda_{l}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}}\left(\frac{x_{j}+x_{l}}{2} u_{j l}+\frac{u_{j}+u_{l}}{2} x_{j l}\right) \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq r} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_{i}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{\lambda_{k}^{2}(a)+\varepsilon^{2}}}\left(x_{i j} \circ u_{j k}+x_{j k} \circ u_{i j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain from Lemma 2.3 and inequalities (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(\xi)\right\| & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left|u_{i}\right|+\sum_{1 \leq j<l \leq r}\left(\left\|u_{j l}\right\|+\left|u_{j}\right|+\left|u_{l}\right|\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq r}\left(\left\|u_{j k}\right\|+\left\|u_{i j}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq r\|u\|+\frac{1+2 \sqrt{2}}{2} r(r-1)\|u\|+\sqrt{2} r(r-1)\|u\| \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

So, combining the above inequalities (2.11) and (2.12), we ve

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Letting } \gamma \geq \frac{1+5 \sqrt{2}}{2} r^{2}-\frac{5 \sqrt{2}}{2} \text { we tain the desired inequality. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Lipschitz Cpin it of F Function

In this se fion, we e abli h th Lipschitz continuity of FB C-function. For this purpose, we need the pllowing resurl about the derivative of $x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in int $(K)$, the interior of $K$.

Lemma
The function $x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is smooth at every $x \in \operatorname{int}(K)$. Moreover, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)=\frac{\left(\mathcal{L}\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)^{-1}}{2} \quad \text { for every } \quad x \in \operatorname{int}(K) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Clearly, $x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is smooth at $x \in \operatorname{int}(K)$. For the second part of the lemma, suppose that $(x+h)^{\frac{1}{2}}-x^{\frac{1}{2}}=S h+o(\|h\|)$ for some linear operator $S$. Multiplying both sides of this equation by $(x+h)^{\frac{1}{2}}+x^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$
\left((x+h)^{\frac{1}{2}}+x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \circ\left((x+h)^{\frac{1}{2}}-x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)=\left((x+h)^{\frac{1}{2}}+x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \circ(S h+o(\|h\|)) .
$$

Direct computation yields $h=\left((x+h)^{\frac{1}{2}}+x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \circ S h+o(\|h\|)$ or $h=2 x^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ(S h)+o(\|h\|)$, using $(x+h)^{\frac{1}{2}}=x^{\frac{1}{2}}+S h+o(\|h\|)$ and $S h \circ S h=o(\|h\|)$. That is, $h=\mathcal{L}\left(2 x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)(S h)+o(\|h\|)$. Hence, $S=\left(\mathcal{L}\left(2 x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)^{-1}=\frac{\left(\mathcal{L}\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)^{-1}}{2}$ by the linearity of Lyapunov transformation.

We now prove the Lipschitz property of $\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Lemma 3.2. The function $\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is globally Lipschitz continuous everywhere in $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}$. Proof. Fix $x, y \in \mathcal{J}$, let $a(x, y):=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $a_{\varepsilon}(x, y):=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+\varepsilon^{2} e\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\varepsilon \neq 0$. Note that for any $u, v \in \mathcal{J}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|a_{\varepsilon}(x+u, y+v)-a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right\| \\
= & \left\|a_{\varepsilon}(x+u, y+v)-a_{\varepsilon}(x, y+v)+a_{\varepsilon}(x, y+v)-a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right\| \\
= & \left\|\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x+t u, y+v)}^{-1} \mathcal{L}(x+t u) u d t+\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y+t v)}^{-1} \mathcal{L}(y+t v) v d t\right\| \\
= & \left\|\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x+t u, y+v)}^{-1}((x+t u) \circ u) d t+\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y+t v)}^{-1}((y+t v) \circ v) d t\right\| \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x+t u, y+v)}^{-1}((x+t u) \circ u)\right\| d t+\int_{0}^{1}\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y+t v)}^{-1}((y+t v) \circ v)\right\| d t \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1} \gamma\|u\| d t+\int_{0}^{1} \gamma\|v\| d t \\
= & \gamma(\|u\|+\|v\|) \\
\leq & \sqrt{2} \gamma\|(u, v)\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality holds by the Mean Vorue rhe dem and Lemma 3.1, the first inequality holds by Lemma 2.7 and $\gamma$ is only desen ent $r$, and the last inequality follows from the fact $\|u\|+\|v\| \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|u\|^{2}+\| \|^{2}}=\sqrt{2}(u, v) \|$. Thus, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\qquad \| a_{\varepsilon}(x+u, y+v) \rho^{a_{\varepsilon}}, y\right) \\
& \text { The desired conclusion follows by takn } \varepsilon \rightarrow \sqrt{2} \gamma\|(u, v)\| \text {. } \\
& \varepsilon \rightarrow \text { in the inequality above. }
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence of the lemma bon we immediately obtain the Lipschitz continuity of FB function.

Theorem 3.3. The FBNunc on $\Phi$ S (given by (1.3)) is globally Lipschitz continuous everywhere in $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}$.

## 4 Lips chitz Qntinuiy of the Derivatives of $\Psi_{F B}$

This sect $n$ deals fith Lipschitz continuity of the derivatives of the squared norm of FB C-function The nain result is stated below.
Theorem 4.1. The derivatives of the squared norm of the Fischer-Burmeister function $\Psi_{F B}$ (given by (1.6)) are Lipschitz continuous everywhere in $\mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}$.

Our proof relies on four lemmas. First, we focus on $\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x+y) \circ x$ where $a=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the subsequent analysis. Observe that $a$ may have eigenvalues that are zero. For the sake of simplicity, we look at a "smoothed" counterpart $a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)$. Let $S_{\varepsilon}(x, y):=\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ x$, we have the following.
Lemma 4.2. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{J}$ be given. Then for every $x, y \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\nabla_{x} S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right] u=\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ u+\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}\left[u-2 \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x \circ u)\right] \circ x} \\
& {\left[\nabla_{y} S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right] v=\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ v+\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}\left[v-2 \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(y \circ v)\right] \circ y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Fix $u \in \mathcal{J}$. Set $z:=a_{\varepsilon}(x+u, y)-a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)$ and $w:=2 x \circ u+u^{2}$. Noting that

$$
a_{\varepsilon}(x+u, y)=\left[(x+u)^{2}+y^{2}+\varepsilon^{2} e\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left[\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+\varepsilon^{2} e\right)+2 x \circ u+u^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

we have $z=\left[a_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x, y)+w\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}-a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)$. Note that $J_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}=\mathcal{J}$ from (2.5) and $|\wp(a)|=r$.
From Lemma 6.6(2) in [16], it follows that

$$
z=\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}\left(2 x \circ u+u^{2}\right)+o(\|u\|)=2 \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x \circ u)+o(\|u\|)
$$

Thus $z \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and $z=O(\|u\|)$. Let

$$
\eta:=\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \text { and } \eta+h:=\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)+z}^{-1}(x+u+y)
$$

It is easy to see that $a_{\varepsilon}(x, y) \circ \eta=x+y$ and $\left[a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)+z\right] \circ(\eta+h)=x+u+y$. So, $a_{\varepsilon}(x, y) \circ h=u-z \circ \eta-z \circ h$, or

$$
h=\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(u-z \circ \eta)-\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(z \circ h)
$$

Since $z \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and $z=O(\|u\|), h \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and $h \circ z=o(\|z\|)=o(\|u\|)$. We deduce

Thus, direct calculation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\varepsilon}(x+u, y)-S_{\varepsilon}(x, y) \\
& =\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x+u, y)}^{-1}(x+u+y) \circ(x+u)-\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1} \\
& =(\eta+h) \circ(x+u)-\eta \circ x \\
& =\eta \circ u+h \circ(x+u) \\
& =\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ u+\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(u-\rho \circ \eta \geqslant(x-u)-o(\|u\|) \circ(x+u)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.=\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ u+\mathcal{L}^{-1}, \underline{v}-2 \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x \circ u)\right] \circ x+o(\|u\|) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This establishes the forn a fo $\left[\nabla_{x} S(x, y)\right] u$. The formula for $\left[\nabla_{y} S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right] v$ follows from the symmetry of $x$ and $y$. Nemma 4, Nory $y$, and $v \in \mathcal{J}$,
$\left.S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right] u\|\leq \rho\| u\|, \quad\|\left[\nabla_{y} S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right] v\|\leq \rho\| v \|$
where $\rho$
$\left.{ }^{n} S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right] u\|\leq \rho\| u\|, \quad\|\left[\nabla_{y} S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right] v\|\leq \rho\| v \|$
Proof. Using 2.7 and 4.2, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left[\nabla_{x} S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right] u\right\| \\
= & \left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ u+\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}\left[u-2 \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x \circ u)\right] \circ x\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ u\right\|+\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}\left[u-2 \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x \circ u)\right] \circ x\right\| \\
\leq & 2 \beta\|u\|+\beta\left\|u-2 \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x \circ u)\right\| \\
\leq & 2 \beta\|u\|+\beta\left(\|u\|+2\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ \mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x \circ u)\right\|\right) \\
\leq & 2 \beta\|u\|+\beta\left(\|u\|+4 \beta\left\|\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x \circ u)\right\|\right) \\
\leq & 2 \beta\|u\|+\beta(\|u\|+4 \beta \gamma\|u\|),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta, \gamma$ are given as in Lemma 2.7. Therefore, by taking $\rho:=3 \beta+4 \beta^{2} \gamma$, we obtain that $\left\|\left[\nabla_{x} S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right] u\right\| \leq \rho\|u\|$ with $\rho$ only dependent on $r$ and $\rho=20 r^{10}+3 r^{4}$ if $\beta=r^{4}$ and $\gamma=5 r^{2}$. As in the proof of the last lemma, $\left\|\left[\nabla_{y} S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right] v\right\| \leq \rho\|v\|$ follows from symmetry.

Now we are in a position to prove the Lipschitz continuity of $\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x+y) \circ x$.
Lemma 4.4. $\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x+y) \circ x$ is globally Lipschitz continuous for all $x, y \in \mathcal{J}$.
Proof. We first show that $S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)=\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(x+y) \circ x$ is globally Lipschitz continuous for all $x, y \in \mathcal{J}$. For every $u, v \in \mathcal{J}$,

$$
S_{\varepsilon}(x+u, y+v)-S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)=S_{\varepsilon}(x+u, y+v)-S_{\varepsilon}(x, y+v)+S_{\varepsilon}(x, y+v)-S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)
$$

By Lemma 4.2, $\nabla_{x} S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)$ is continuous in $x$. Thus, it follows by the Mean Value Theorem that

$$
S_{\varepsilon}(x+u, y+v)-S_{\varepsilon}(x, y+v)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\nabla_{x} S_{\varepsilon}(x+t u, y+v)\right] u d t
$$

By Lemma 4.3, it follows that $\|\left[\nabla_{x} S_{\varepsilon}(x+t u, y+\sim] u \|<\rho\right) \quad$. Hence,


That is, $\left.\| S_{\varepsilon}(x+u, y+v)-S+v\right)\|\leq \rho\| u \|$ with $\rho$ only dependent on $r$.
Likewise, we have $\left\|S_{\varepsilon}(y-v) S_{\varepsilon}(x, y)\right\| \leq \rho\|v\|$. We therefore obtain that $\| S_{\varepsilon}(x+$ $u, y+v)-S_{\varepsilon}(x, y) \| \leq \rho$

Note tha $\mathcal{L}_{a_{\varepsilon}(x, y)}^{-1}(\underset{f}{+y}) \circ x \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x+y) \circ x$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ by Proposition 2.6. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the ine ality ablove, we obtain the desired result.

Before proving Theorem 4.1, we need to recall a lemma by Liu, Zhang and Wang [16].
Lemma 4.5. (Lemma 6.7, [16]) $\Psi_{F B}(x, y)$ is differentiable at every $(x, y) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}$, and if $(x, y)=(0,0)$, then $\nabla_{x} \Psi_{F B}(0,0)=\nabla_{y} \Psi_{F B}(0,0)=0$; if $(x, y) \neq(0,0)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{x} \Psi_{F B}(x, y) & =\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(a-x-y) \circ(x-a) \\
\nabla_{y} \Psi_{F B}(x, y) & =\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(a-x-y) \circ(y-a)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Proof of Theorem 4.1. It is easy to see that

$$
\Psi_{F B}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle x^{2}+y^{2}, e\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle(x+y)^{2}, e\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, x+y\right\rangle .
$$

Let $R(x, y):=\left\langle\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, x+y\right\rangle$. Then

$$
R(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle x^{2}+y^{2}, e\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle(x+y)^{2}, e\right\rangle-\Psi_{F B}(x, y) .
$$

Set $a:=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By Lemma 4.5, it is straightforward to derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{x} R(x, y) & =x+(x+y)-\nabla_{x} \Psi_{F B}(x, y) \\
& =2 x+y-\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(a-x-y) \circ x+\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(a-x-y) \circ a \\
& =2 x+y-\left[\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(a)-\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x+y)\right] \circ x+(a-x-y) \\
& =\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(x+y) \circ x+\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the third equality holds by $\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(a-x-y) \circ a=a-x-y$ because Lemma 6.6(1) of [16] implies that $a-x-y$ lies in the space $J_{a}$ where $\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}$ makes sense, and the fourth by $\mathcal{L}_{a}^{-1}(a) \circ x=x$. Combining Lemmas 3.2 and 4.4 , we conclude that $\nabla_{x} R(x, y)$ is globally Lipschitz.

By symmetry in $x$ and $y, \nabla_{y} R(x, y)$ is also globally Lipschitz continuous.

## 5 Final Remarks

In this article, we studied some properties of the Lyan no dperator, and using these properties we established Lipschitz continuity of FB fan and the derivatives of squared norm of FB function.

Sun and Sun [18] showed that the FB ction hgly semismooth everywhere in the cases of SDCP and SOCCP. However, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text {is }} \mathrm{ct}$ clar hether FB function $\Phi_{F B}$ (given by (1.3)) is strongly semismooth? We le
this question as a future research topic.
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