

EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC MINIMIZERS FOR A CLASS OF INFINITE HORIZON VARIATIONAL PROBLEMS

ALEXANDER J. ZASLAVSKI

Abstract: In this paper we study infinite horizon variational problems arising in continuum mechanics and establish the existence of a periodic minimizer.

Key words: *good function, infinite horizon, periodic minimizer*

Mathematics Subject Classification: 49J99

1 Introduction

The study of variational problems and optimal control problems defined on infinite intervals has recently been a rapidly growing area of research. These problems arise in engineering [1, 2], in models of economic growth [9, 10, 16, 17, 22, 23], in infinite discrete models of solid-state physics related to dislocations in one-dimensional crystals [3, 18] and in the theory of thermodynamical equilibrium for materials [5, 8, 12-15, 19-21].

In this paper we consider the following problem on the half line:

$$\inf \left\{ \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \int_0^T f(w(t), w'(t), w''(t)) dt : w \in A_x \right\}, \quad (P_\infty)$$

where

$$w \in A_x = \{v \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty) : (v(0), v'(0)) = x\}.$$

Here $W_{loc}^{2,1}([0, \infty)) \subset C^1$ denotes the Sobolev space of functions possessing a locally integrable second derivative and f belongs to a space of functions to be described below.

The interest in variational problems of the form (P_∞) stems from the theory of thermodynamical equilibrium for second-order materials developed in [5, 8, 11, 12].

Denote by \mathfrak{A} the set of all continuous functions $f: R^3 \rightarrow R$ such that for each $N > 0$ the function $|f(x, y, z)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on the set $\{(x, y) \in R^2 : |x|, |y| \leq N\}$. For the set \mathfrak{A} we consider the uniformity which is determined by the following base

$$\begin{aligned} E(N, \epsilon, \Gamma) = \{ & (f, g) \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} : \\ & |f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3)| \leq \epsilon \ (x_i \in R, |x_i| \leq N, i = 1, 2, 3), \\ & (|f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| + 1)(|g(x_1, x_2, x_3)| + 1)^{-1} \in [\Gamma^{-1}, \Gamma] \\ & ((x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R^3, |x_1|, |x_2| \leq N)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $N > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\Gamma > 1$ [6]. Clearly, the uniform space \mathfrak{A} is Hausdorff and has a countable base. Therefore \mathfrak{A} is metrizable (by a metric ρ). It is easy to verify that the uniform space \mathfrak{A} is complete.

Let $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in R^4$, $a_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and let α, β, γ be positive numbers such that $1 \leq \beta < \alpha$, $\beta \leq \gamma$, $\gamma > 1$. Denote by $\mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ the set of all functions $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that:

$$f(w, p, r) \geq a_1|w|^\alpha - a_2|p|^\beta + a_3|r|^\gamma - a_4, \quad (w, p, r) \in R^3; \quad (1.2)$$

$$f, \partial f/\partial p \in C^2, \quad \partial f/\partial r \in C^3, \quad \partial^2 f/\partial r^2(w, p, r) > 0 \text{ for all } (w, p, r) \in R^3; \quad (1.3)$$

there is a monotone increasing function $M_f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for every $(w, p, r) \in R^3$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{f(w, p, r), |\partial f/\partial w(w, p, r)|, |\partial f/\partial p(w, p, r)|, |\partial f/\partial r(w, p, r)|\} \\ & \leq M_f(|w| + |p|)(1 + |r|^\gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Denote by $\bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ the closure of $\mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ in \mathfrak{A} . Leizarowitz and Mizel [8] and Coleman, Marcus and Mizel [5] considered problems of type (P_∞) with integrands $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ in order to study certain models in the theory of thermodynamical equilibrium for materials. For these models integrands f have the minus signs in (1.2). A typical example is an integrand

$$f(w, p, r) = \psi(w) - bp^2 + cr^2, \quad (w, p, r) \in R^3,$$

where b, c are positive constants and $\psi(\cdot)$ is a smooth function satisfying

$$\psi(w) \geq a|w|^\alpha - d, \quad w \in R$$

for some $\alpha > 2$, $a, d > 0$ [8, Section 6].

Consider any $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$. Since (1.2) has negative terms the function f can be unbounded. Nevertheless, as it was shown in [14, Lemma 2.2] the corresponding integral functional is bounded from below on any bounded interval. Of special interest is the minimal long-run average cost growth rate

$$\mu(f) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{T \rightarrow +\infty} T^{-1} \int_0^T f(w(t), w'(t), w''(t)) dt : w \in A_x \right\}. \quad (1.5)$$

Using the boundedness from below of the integral functional it is not difficult to verify that $\mu(f)$ is well defined and is independent of the initial vector x . A function $w \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$ is called an (f) -good function if the function $\phi_w^f : T \rightarrow \int_0^T [f(w(t), w'(t), w''(t)) - \mu(f)] dt$, $T \in (0, \infty)$ is bounded. For every $w \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$ the function ϕ_w^f is either bounded or diverges to $+\infty$ as $T \rightarrow +\infty$ and moreover, if ϕ_w^f is a bounded function, then $\sup\{|(w(t), w'(t))| : t \in [0, \infty)\} < \infty$ [20]. This fact is a continuous version of a result by Leizarowitz [7] established for discrete time control systems. Its proof is based on the result of Leizarowitz [7] applied to a function U_T^f which is defined below.

Leizarowitz and Mizel [8] established that for every $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ satisfying $\mu(f) < \inf\{f(w, 0, s) : (w, s) \in R^2\}$ there exists a periodic (f) -good function. In Zaslavski [19] it was shown that this result is valid for every $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$. In this paper we generalize

the main result of [19] and establish the existence of a periodic (f) -good function for any $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$.

Let $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$. For each $T > 0$ define a function $U_T^f: R^2 \times R^2 \rightarrow R$ by

$$U_T^f(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^T f(w(t), w'(t), w''(t)) dt : w \in A_{x,y}^T \right\}, \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$A_{x,y}^T = \{v \in W^{2,1}[0, T]: (v(0), v'(0)) = x, (v(T), v'(T)) = y\}. \tag{1.7}$$

In [8], analyzing the problem (P_∞) Leizarowitz and Mizel studied the function $U_T^f: R^2 \times R^2 \rightarrow R, T > 0$ and established the following representation formula

$$U_T^f(x, y) = T\mu(f) + \pi^f(x) - \pi^f(y) + \theta_T^f(x, y), \quad x, y \in R^2, T > 0, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\pi^f: R^2 \rightarrow R$ and $(T, x, y) \rightarrow \theta_T^f(x, y), x, y \in R^2, T > 0$ are continuous functions,

$$\pi^f(x) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T [f(w(t), w'(t), w''(t)) - \mu(f)] dt : w \in A_x \right\}, \quad x \in R^2, \tag{1.9}$$

$\theta_T^f(x, y) \geq 0$ for each $T > 0$, and each $x, y \in R^2$, and for every $T > 0$, and every $x \in R^2$ there is $y \in R^2$ satisfying $\theta_T^f(x, y) = 0$.

Leizarowitz and Mizel established the representation formula for any integrand $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$, but their result also holds for every $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ without change in the proofs.

In this work we establish the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$. Then there exist an (f) -good function $v_f \in W^{2,\gamma}[0, \infty)$ and $T_f > 0$ such that $v_f(t + T_f) = v_f(t)$ for each $t \geq 0$. Moreover, if*

$$\mu(f) < \inf \{f(t, 0, 0) : t \in R\},$$

then there is $T_{f0} \in (0, T_f)$ such that v_f is strictly increasing in $[0, T_{f0}]$ and strictly decreasing in $[T_{f0}, T_f]$.

The existence of a periodic (f) -good function is a central problem in the theory of thermodynamical equilibrium for second-order materials developed in [5, 8, 11, 12]. Our main result, Theorem 1.1, establishes the existence of periodic (f) -good functions and describes their structure for integrands f belonging to the space $\bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ which is essentially larger than the space $\mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$. The full description of integrands belonging to the space $\bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ was obtained in [24]. The space $\bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ contains integrands which are not smooth. It should be mentioned that in [8, 19] the proofs of the existence of periodic (f) -good functions for integrands $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ are strongly based on the smoothness of the integrands. In [20] we established that there exists a set $\mathcal{F} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ which is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense subsets of $\bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ such that for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ the following turnpike property holds:

There exists a nonempty compact set $H(f) \subset R^2$ such that for each (f) -good function v

$$H(f) = \{z \in R^2 : \text{there is a sequence } \{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset (0, \infty)$$

which satisfies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = \infty$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (v(t_i), v'(t_i)) = z$.

Now it follows from Theorem 1.1 that for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$H(f) = \{(w(t), w'(t)) : t \in [0, \infty)\},$$

where w is a periodic (f)-good function.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We preface the proof of our main result with the following explanation.

Assume that $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$. Then there exists a sequence

$$\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$$

such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \text{ in } \mathfrak{A}.$$

By the result of [19] for each natural number n there exists a periodic (f_n)-good function w_n with a minimal period τ_n . We will show that if the sequence of $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, then a subsequence of $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a periodic (f)-good function. If the sequence $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is unbounded, then a subsequence of $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ will converge to a constant function which will be (f)-good.

We denote by $|\cdot|$ the Euclidean norm in R^n . For $\tau > 0$ and $v \in W^{2,1}[0, \tau]$ we define $X_v: [0, \tau] \rightarrow R^2$ as follows:

$$X_v(t) = (v(t), v'(t)), \quad t \in [0, \tau]. \quad (2.1)$$

We also use this definition for $v \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$.

We consider functionals of the form

$$I^f(T_1, T_2, w) = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} f(w(t), w'(t), w''(t)) dt \quad (2.2)$$

where $-\infty < T_1 < T_2 < +\infty$, $w \in W^{2,1}[T_1, T_2]$ and $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$.

The following result was established in [21, Proposition 5.2].

Proposition 2.1. *The function $f \rightarrow \mu(f)$ is continuous for $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$.*

Corollary 2.1. *If $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ and*

$$\mu(f) < \inf\{f(s, 0, 0) : s \in R\},$$

then there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of f in $\bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a)$ such that for each $h \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\mu(h) < \inf\{h(s, 0, 0) : s \in R\}.$$

We set for simplicity

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a), \quad \bar{\mathfrak{M}} = \bar{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, a).$$

The following four results were established in [21].

Proposition 2.2. [21, Proposition 5.3.] Assume that $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$, $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$, $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset (0, \infty)$, $T \geq 0$, $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$, $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $\bar{\mathfrak{M}}$, $T_k \rightarrow T$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $w_k(t+T_k) = w_k(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$I^{f_k}(0, T_k, w_k) = \mu(f_k)T_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then there exist $\tau > 0$ and $w \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$:

$$w(t + \tau) = w(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, \tau); \quad I^f(0, \tau, w) = \tau\mu(f);$$

if $T > 0$ then $\tau = T$; if $T = 0$, then $w(t) = w(0)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Proposition 2.3. [21, Proposition 5.1.] Let $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$. Then there exist a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of f in \mathfrak{M} and a number $S > 0$ such that for every $g \in \mathcal{U}$ and every (g) -good function v ,

$$|X_v(t)| \leq S \text{ for all large enough } t.$$

Proposition 2.4. [21, Proposition 3.2.] Let $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$, $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \infty$, $c_3 > 0$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists a neighborhood V of f in \mathfrak{A} such that for every $g \in V \cap \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$, every $T \in [c_1, c_2]$, and each $x, y \in R^2$ satisfying $|x|, |y| \leq c_3$, the inequality $|U_T^f(x, y) - U_T^g(x, y)| \leq \epsilon$ holds.

Proposition 2.5. [21, Proposition 3.1.] Let $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$, $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \infty$, $\epsilon > 0$, $D > 0$. Then there exists a neighborhood V of f in \mathfrak{A} such that for every $g \in V \cap \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$, every $T \in [c_1, c_2]$, and every $w \in W^{2,1}[0, T]$ satisfying

$$\min\{I^f(0, T, w), I^g(0, T, w)\} \leq D$$

the inequality

$$|I^f(0, T, w) - I^g(0, T, w)| \leq \epsilon$$

holds.

We also need the following result (see [14, Lemma 3.1]).

Proposition 2.6. Let $f \in \mathfrak{M}$. Assume that $w \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$, $\tau > 0$,

$$w(t + \tau) = w(t), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \quad I^f(0, \tau, w) = \tau\mu(f),$$

$$w(0) = \inf\{w(t) : t \in R\}, \text{ and } w'(t) \neq 0 \text{ for some } t \in R.$$

Then there exist $\tau_1 > 0$, $\tau_2 > \tau_1$ such that the function w is strictly increasing in $[0, \tau_1]$, w is strictly decreasing in $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$, and

$$w(\tau_1) = \sup\{w(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\}, \quad w(t + \tau_2) = w(t), \quad t \in R.$$

Proposition 2.7. Let $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$. For each $z \in R^2$ there exists $w \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$ such that $(w, w')(0) = z$, the set $\{(w, w')(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ is bounded and for each $s > 0$,

$$I^f(0, s, w) = s\mu(f) + \pi^f(X_w(0)) - \pi^f(X_w(s)).$$

For the proof see Propositions 1.2, 1.3 in [15]. There the result was proved for $f \in \mathfrak{M}$ but it can be easily extended for $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$, $w \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$,*

$$U_T^f(X_w(0), X_w(T)) = I^f(0, T, w)$$

for each $T > 0$, the set $\{X_w(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ is bounded. Then w is (f) -good.

Proposition 2.8 follows from Proposition 2.7 by a simple modification of the proof of Lemma 2.6 of [14].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$. Assume that $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$,*

$$U_t^f(X_u(0), X_u(t)) = I^f(0, t, u) \text{ for any } t > 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sup\{|X_u(t)| : t \in [0, \infty)\} < \infty \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\text{either } u'(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty) \text{ or } u'(t) \leq 0 \text{ for all } t \geq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Then $\mu(f) = \inf\{f(t, 0, 0) : t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$.

Proof. Clearly u is either increasing on $[0, \infty)$ or decreasing on $[0, \infty)$ and there is

$$d_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) \quad (2.6)$$

which is finite by (2.4). For each integer $i \geq 1$ define $u_i \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$ by

$$u_i(t) = u(t+i), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

It follows from (2.7), (2.4), (2.3) and the continuity of $U_T^f(T > 0)$, that for each natural number $n \geq 1$ the sequence $\{I^f(0, n, u_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is bounded. Combined with (2.4) and (1.2) this implies that for each natural number n

$$\sup\left\{\int_0^n |u_i''(t)|^\gamma dt : i = 1, 2, \dots\right\} < \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

(2.8) and (2.4) imply that there exist a subsequence $\{u_{i_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $u_* \in W_{loc}^{2,\gamma}[0, \infty)$ such that for any natural number n

$$(u_{i_k}(t), u'_{i_k}(t)) \rightarrow (u_*(t), u'_*(t)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly in } [0, n], \quad (2.9)$$

$$u''_{i_k} \rightarrow u''_* \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ weakly in } L^\gamma[0, n]. \quad (2.10)$$

(2.9), (2.6) and (2.7) imply that

$$u_*(t) = d_0, \quad t \in [0, \infty). \quad (2.11)$$

By the lower semicontinuity of integral functionals [4], (2.7), (2.3), (2.9), (2.10) and the continuity of U_n^f for any natural number n

$$\begin{aligned} I^f(0, n, u_*) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I^f(0, n, u_{i_k}) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} U_n^f(X_{u_{i_k}}(0), X_{u_{i_k}}(n)) \\ &= U_n^f(X_{u_*}(0), X_{u_*}(n)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I^f(0, n, u_*) = U_n^f(X_{u_*}(0), X_{u_*}(n)). \tag{2.12}$$

Proposition 2.8, (2.12) and (2.11) imply that $f(d_0, 0, 0) = \mu(f)$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{M}}$,*

$$\mu(f) < \inf\{f(s, 0, 0) : s \in R\} \tag{2.13}$$

$$\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathfrak{M}, f_n \rightarrow f \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \bar{\mathfrak{M}},$$

and

$$\mu(f_n) < \inf\{f_n(s, 0, 0) : s \in R^1\}, n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.14}$$

Assume that for each natural number $n \geq 1$,

$$w_n \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty), \tau_n > 0, 0 < \tau_{n0} < \tau_n, \tag{2.15}$$

$$w_n(t + \tau_n) = w_n(t), t \in [0, \infty), I^f(0, \tau_n, w_n) = \mu(f_n)\tau_n, \tag{2.16}$$

w_n is increasing in $[0, \tau_{n0}]$ and decreasing in $[\tau_{n0}, \tau_n]$.

Then

$$\sup\{\tau_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\} < \infty$$

and there exist a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, number $0 < \tau_0 < \tau$ and $w \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$ such that

$$\tau = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n_k}, \tau_0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n_k} 0,$$

$$w(t + \tau) = w(t), t \in [0, \infty), I^f(0, \tau, w) = \mu(f)\tau,$$

w is strictly increasing in $[0, \tau_0]$ and strictly decreasing in $[\tau_0, \tau]$ and for any integer $j \geq 0$,

$$w'_{n_k}(t) \rightarrow w'(t), w_{n_k}(t) \rightarrow w(t) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly in } [j, j + 1],$$

$$w''_{n_k} \rightarrow w'' \text{ weakly in } L^\gamma[j, j + 1] \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, (2.16) and (2.13)

$$\sup\{|(w_n(t), w'_n(t))| : t \in [0, \infty), n = 1, 2, \dots\} < \infty. \tag{2.17}$$

(2.17) implies that for each $T > 0$

$$\sup\{|U_T^f(X_{w_n}(s), X_{w_n}(s + T))| : s \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots\} < \infty. \tag{2.18}$$

(2.17), the relation $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ and Proposition 2.4 imply that for any $T > 0$

$$|U_T^f(X_{w_n}(s), X_{w_n}(s+T)) - U_T^{f_n}(X_{w_n}(s), X_{w_n}(s+T))| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.19)$$

uniformly in $s \in [0, \infty)$. It follows from (2.16), (2.19), (2.18) and (2.17) that for each $T > 0$ the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \{I^{f_n}(s, s+T, w_n) : s \in [0, \infty), n = 1, 2, \dots\} = \\ & \{U_T^{f_n}(X_{w_n}(s), X_{w_n}(s+T)) : s \in [0, \infty), n = 1, 2, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

is bounded. Combining with the relation $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ and Proposition 2.5 this fact implies that for any $T > 0$

$$|I^f(s, s+T, w_n) - I^{f_n}(s, s+T, w_n)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.20)$$

uniformly in $s \in [0, \infty)$ and

$$\{I^f(s, s+T, w_n) : s \in [0, \infty), n = 1, 2, \dots\} < \infty. \quad (2.21)$$

We will show that

$$\sup\{\tau_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\} < \infty.$$

Assume the contrary. Then

$$\sup\{\tau_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\} = \infty.$$

Then one of the following cases holds:

a) $\sup\{\tau_{n_0} : n = 1, 2, \dots\} = \infty$, b) $\sup\{\tau_n - \tau_{n_0} : n = 1, 2, \dots\} = \infty$. Consider the case a). By the lemma assumptions,

$$w'_n(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, \tau_{n_0}], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.22)$$

We may assume without loss of generality that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n_0} = \infty. \quad (2.23)$$

It follows from (2.21), (2.17) and the growth condition (1.2) that for each $T > 0$

$$\sup\left\{\int_s^{s+T} |w''_n(t)|^\gamma dt : s \in [0, \infty), n = 1, 2, \dots\right\} < \infty.$$

By this inequality and (2.17) there are a subsequence $\{w_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $w \in W^{2,\gamma}[0, \infty)$ such that for any $T > 0$

$$(w_{n_k}(t), w'_{n_k}(t)) \rightarrow (w(t), w'(t)) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.24)$$

uniformly on $t \in [0, T]$ and

$$w''_{n_k} \rightarrow w'' \text{ weakly in } L^\gamma[0, T] \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.25)$$

By (2.24), (2.22) and (2.23)

$$w'(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \geq 0. \quad (2.26)$$

By (2.24), (2.25) and the lower semicontinuity of the integral functionals, (2.20), (2.16), (2.19) for each $\tau_1 \geq 0, \tau_2 > \tau_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} I^f(\tau_1, \tau_2, w) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I^f(\tau_1, \tau_2, w_{n_k}) \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I^{f_{n_k}}(\tau_1, \tau_2, w_{n_k}) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} U_{\tau_2 - \tau_1}^{f_{n_k}}((w_{n_k}(\tau_1), w'_{n_k}(\tau_1)), (w_{n_k}(\tau_2), w'_{n_k}(\tau_2))) \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} U_{\tau_2 - \tau_1}^f((w_{n_k}(\tau_1), w'_{n_k}(\tau_1)), (w_{n_k}(\tau_2), w'_{n_k}(\tau_2))). \end{aligned}$$

By these relations, (2.24) and continuity of $U_T^f, T > 0$ for each $\tau_1 \geq 0, \tau_2 > \tau_1$

$$\begin{aligned} I^f(\tau_1, \tau_2, w) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} U_{\tau_2 - \tau_1}^f((w_{n_k}(\tau_1), w'_{n_k}(\tau_1)), (w_{n_k}(\tau_2), w'_{n_k}(\tau_2))) \\ &= U_{\tau_2 - \tau_1}^f((w(\tau_1), w'(\tau_1)), (w(\tau_2), w'(\tau_2))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I^f(\tau_1, \tau_2, w) = U_{\tau_2 - \tau_1}^f((w(\tau_1), w'(\tau_1)), (w(\tau_2), w'(\tau_2))) \tag{2.27}$$

for each $\tau_1 \geq 0, \tau_2 > \tau_1$. By (2.24), (2.17),

$$\sup\{|X_w(t)| : t \in [0, \infty)\} < \infty. \tag{2.28}$$

(2.27), (2.28), (2.26) and Lemma 2.1 imply that

$$\mu(f) = \inf\{f(t, 0, 0) : t \in R^1\},$$

a contradiction. Therefore the case a) does not hold and

$$\sup\{\tau_{n0} : n = 1, 2, \dots\} < \infty.$$

Analogously we can show that the case b) does not hold and

$$\sup\{\tau_n - \tau_{n0} : n = 1, 2, \dots\} < \infty.$$

Thus

$$\sup\{\tau_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\} < \infty. \tag{2.29}$$

Extracting a subsequence and re-indexing we may assume without loss of generality that there exists

$$\tau = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n. \tag{2.30}$$

We will show that $\tau > 0$. Indeed assume that $\tau = 0$. By (2.17) there is a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$|w_n(t_2) - w_n(t_1)| \leq c_0|t_2 - t_1|$$

for each $t_1, t_2 \in R$ and each natural number n . Combined with (2.16) this implies that for each natural number n

$$\max\{w_n(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} - \min\{w_n(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \leq c_0\tau_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Together with (2.24) this implies that w is a constant and $\mu(f) = \inf\{f(t, 0, 0) : t \in R\}$, a contradiction. Therefore $\tau > 0$. By (2.21), (2.17) and the growth condition (1.2), for each $T > 0$

$$\sup\left\{\int_s^{s+T} |w''_n(t)|^\gamma dt : s \in [0, \infty), n = 1, 2, \dots\right\} < \infty.$$

By this inequality and (2.17) there are a subsequence $\{w_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $w \in W^{2,\gamma}[0, \infty)$ such that for any $T > 0$

$$(w_{n_k}(t), w'_{n_k}(t)) \rightarrow (w(t), w'(t)) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.31)$$

uniformly on $t \in [0, T]$ and

$$w''_{n_k} \rightarrow w'' \text{ weakly in } L^\gamma[0, T] \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.32)$$

By (2.31), (2.32) and the lower semicontinuity of the integral functionals [4], (2.20), (2.16), (2.19) for each $\tau_1 \geq 0$, $\tau_2 > \tau_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} I^f(\tau_1, \tau_2, w) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I^f(\tau_1, \tau_2, w_{n_k}) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I^{f_{n_k}}(\tau_1, \tau_2, w_{n_k}) \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} U_{\tau_2 - \tau_1}^{f_{n_k}}((w_{n_k}(\tau_1), w'_{n_k}(\tau_1)), (w_{n_k}(\tau_2), w'_{n_k}(\tau_2))) \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} U_{\tau_2 - \tau_1}^f((w_{n_k}(\tau_1), w'_{n_k}(\tau_1)), (w_{n_k}(\tau_2), w'_{n_k}(\tau_2))). \end{aligned}$$

By these relations, (2.31) and continuity of U_T^f , $T > 0$ for each $\tau_1 \geq 0$, $\tau_2 > \tau_1$

$$\begin{aligned} I^f(\tau_1, \tau_2, w) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} U_{\tau_2 - \tau_1}^f((w_{n_k}(\tau_1), w'_{n_k}(\tau_1)), (w_{n_k}(\tau_2), w'_{n_k}(\tau_2))) \\ &= U_{\tau_2 - \tau_1}^f((w(\tau_1), w'(\tau_1)), (w(\tau_2), w'(\tau_2))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I^f(\tau_1, \tau_2, w) = U_{\tau_2 - \tau_1}^f((w(\tau_1), w'(\tau_1)), (w(\tau_2), w'(\tau_2))) \quad (2.33)$$

for each $\tau_1 \geq 0$, $\tau_2 > \tau_1$. By (2.31), (2.17),

$$\sup\{|X_w(t)| : t \in [0, \infty)\} < \infty. \quad (2.34)$$

(2.30), (2.31), (2.16) imply that for each $t \in [0, \infty)$

$$w(t + \tau) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w(t + \tau_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w_{n_k}(t + \tau_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w_{n_k}(t) = w(t)$$

and

$$w(t + \tau) = w(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty). \quad (2.35)$$

(2.35), (2.33) imply that w is an (f) -good function and

$$I^f(0, \tau, w) = \tau \mu(f). \quad (2.36)$$

We may suppose extracting a subsequence and re-indexing, if necessary, that there exists

$$\tau_0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n_k} 0.$$

By (2.31) and the lemma assumptions,

$$w'(t) \geq 0 \text{ for any } t \in [0, \tau_0), \quad w'(t) \leq 0 \text{ for any } t \in (\tau_0, \tau).$$

Since $\mu(f) < \inf\{f(t, 0, 0) : t \in R\}$ we conclude that $\tau_0 \in (0, \tau)$, w is strictly increasing in $[0, \tau_0]$ and strictly decreasing in $[\tau_0, \tau]$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1. If $\mu(f) = \inf\{f(s, 0, 0) : s \in R\}$, then the assertion of the theorem is valid. Therefore we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\mu(f) < \inf\{f(s, 0, 0) : s \in R\}. \tag{2.37}$$

There exists a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathfrak{M}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \text{ in } \mathfrak{A}.$$

By Corollary 2.1 we may assume without loss of generality that for each natural number n

$$\mu(f_n) < \inf\{f_n(s, 0, 0) : s \in R^1\}. \tag{2.38}$$

Let $n \geq 1$ be a natural number. By the main result of [19] there exist a periodic (f_n) -good function $w_n \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$ and $\tau > 0$ such that

$$w_n(t + \tau) = w_n(t), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \quad I^f(0, \tau, w_n) = \mu(f_n)\tau.$$

We may assume without loss of generality that

$$w_n(0) = \inf\{w_n(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\}.$$

(Otherwise we consider a translation of w). By (2.38) w_n is not a constant. Now we can see that all the assumptions made in Proposition 2.6 hold with the integrand f_n and the periodic function w_n . Therefore, by Proposition 2.6 there exist

$$\tau_n > 0, \quad 0 < \tau_{n0} < \tau_n$$

such that

$$w_n(t + \tau_n) = w_n(t), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \quad I^f(0, \tau_n, w_n) = \mu(f_n)\tau_n,$$

w_n is increasing in $[0, \tau_{n0}]$ and decreasing in $[\tau_{n0}, \tau_n]$. Now we can easy to see that all the assumptions made in Lemma 2.2 hold. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2 there exist numbers $0 < \tau_0 < \tau$ and $w \in W_{loc}^{2,1}[0, \infty)$ such that

$$w(t + \tau) = w(t), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \quad I^f(0, \tau, w) = \mu(f)\tau,$$

w is strictly increasing in $[0, \tau_0]$ and strictly decreasing in $[\tau_0, \tau]$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] B.D.O. Anderson and J.B. Moore *Linear Optimal Control*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs NJ, 1971.

- [2] Z. Artstein and A. Leizarowitz, Tracking periodic signals with overtaking criterion, *IEEE Trans. on Autom. Control AC* 30 (1985) 1122–1126.
- [3] S. Aubry and P.Y. Le Daeron, The discrete Frenkel-Kontorova model and its extensions, *Physica D* 8 (1983) 381–422.
- [4] L.D. Berkovitz, Lower semicontinuity of integral functionals, *Trans. A. M. S.* 192 (1974) 51–57.
- [5] B.D. Coleman, M. Marcus and V.J. Mizel, On the thermodynamics of periodic phases, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 117 (1992) 321–347.
- [6] J.L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton NJ, 1955.
- [7] A. Leizarowitz, Infinite horizon autonomous systems with unbounded cost, *Appl. Math. and Opt.* 13 (1985) 19–43.
- [8] A. Leizarowitz and V.J. Mizel, One dimensional infinite horizon variational problems arising in continuum mechanics, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 106 (1989) 161–194.
- [9] V.L. Makarov, M.J. Levin and A.M. Rubinov, *Mathematical Economic Theory: Pure and Mixed Types of Economic Mechanisms*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [10] V.L. Makarov and A.M. Rubinov, *Mathematical Theory of Economic Dynamics and Equilibria*, Nauka, Moscow, 1973.; English trans., Springer-Verlag, New York 1977.
- [11] M. Marcus, Uniform estimates for variational problems with small parameters, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 124 (1993) 67–98.
- [12] M. Marcus, Universal properties of stable states of a free energy model with small parameters, *Cal. Var.* 6 (1998) 123–142.
- [13] M. Marcus and A.J. Zaslavski, On a class of second order variational problems with constraints, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 111 (1999) 1–28.
- [14] M. Marcus and A.J. Zaslavski, The structure of extremals of a class of second order variational problems, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. non linéaire* 16 (1999) 593–629.
- [15] M. Marcus and A.J. Zaslavski, The structure and limiting behavior of locally optimal minimizers, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. non linéaire* 19 (2002) 343–370.
- [16] A.M. Rubinov, *Superlinear Multivalued Mappings and Their Applications in Economic Mathematical Problems*, Nauka, Leningrad, 1980.
- [17] A.M. Rubinov, Economic dynamics, *J. Soviet Math.* 26 (1984) 1975–2012.
- [18] A.J. Zaslavski, Ground states in Frenkel-Kontorova model, *Math. USSR Izvestiya* 29 (1987) 323–354.
- [19] A.J. Zaslavski, The existence of periodic minimal energy configurations for one dimensional infinite horizon variational problems arising in continuum mechanics, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 194 (1995) 459–476.
- [20] A.J. Zaslavski, The existence and structure of extremals for a class of second order infinite horizon variational problems, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 194 (1995) 660–696.

- [21] A.J. Zaslavski, Structure of extremals for one-dimensional variational problems arising in continuum mechanics, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 198 (1996) 893–921.
 - [22] A.J. Zaslavski, Turnpike theorem for a class of set-valued mappings, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization* 17 (1996) 215–240.
 - [23] A.J. Zaslavski, Structure of optimal trajectories of convex processes, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization* 20 (1999) 175–200.
 - [24] A.J. Zaslavski, A space of integrands arising in continuum mechanics, *Preprint*, (2004).
-

Manuscript received 25 March 2004
revised 27 Jun 2004
accepted for publication 10 October 2004

ALEXANDER J. ZASLAVSKI
Department of Mathematics, The Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel
E-mail address: `ajzasltx.technion.ac.il`