Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis Volume 6, Number 3, 2005, 431–439



A NOVEL CLASS OF OPERATORS AND SOME RELATED CONSTANTS

SAHAR MOHAMED ALI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we will introduce a new class of operators related to the nuclear operators. Study some of its properties and estimate some constants concerning an example of these operators. Define a partially ordering amoung prenuclear operators and show that this relation is obtained by aplication of infinite matrices on the classical Banach space of all convergent to zero sequences c_0 .

1. INTRODUCTION

The close connection between the sumability properties of the eigenvalues of the nuclear operator on the space X and the upper bounds for the norms of suitable projections from a Banach space X onto an arbitrary finite dimensional subspace Y of it and the close connection between the projection constant and the nuclear norm during the trace duality theorem [3] are challenging tasks to introduce a new class of operators related to the nuclear operators, namely prenuclear, left, right nuclear operators define some related constants, prove parallel results to that introduced in [7] which are for projection operators. We also define a partially ordering amonge prenuclear operators and show that this ordering is an application of infinite matrices on the classical Banach space c_0 .

In fact, the space X is isomertic isomorphic to a Hilbert space if and only if any nuclear operator on X has absolutely summable eigenvalues. On the other hand the space X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space if and only if every subspace of which is complemented.

2. NOTATIONS AND BASIC DEFINITIONS

X, Y, Z, W and E denote Banach spaces, X^* denotes the conjugate space of X, B(X, Y) denotes the class of all linear bounded operators from a Banach space X into a Banach space Y while A and B, C, D and T denote elements in B(X, Y) and $\widehat{[Y]}$ denotes the closed linear span of the set Y.

An operator $A \in B(X, Y)$ is said to be nuclear if and only if it has the following representation

(2.1)
$$A(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} f_n(x) y_n, x \in X, \text{ simply } A = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} f_n \otimes y_n,$$

where $f_n \in X^*$, $y_n \in Y$ and $\{\|f_n\| \|y_n\|\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l_1$. The nuclear norm $\nu(A)$ of the nuclear operator A is defined as:

$$\nu(A) := \inf\{\|\{\|f_n\| \|y_n\|\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \|_{l_1}\},\$$

Copyright (C) Yokohama Publishers

where the infimum is taken over all representations of A given in (2.1). The operator ideal of all nuclear operators from X into Y will be denoted by N(X, Y), see [5].

The projective tensor product $X \otimes^{\wedge} Y$ between the normed spaces X and Y is defined as the completion of the largest cross norm on the space $X \otimes Y$ and the norm on the space $X \otimes Y$ is defined by

(2.2)
$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i\|_{X \otimes {}^{\wedge}Y} = \inf\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \|u_j\| \|v_j\|\},\$$

where the infimum is taken over all equivalent representations $\sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j \otimes v_j \in X \otimes Y$ of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i$; see [2] and [9].

A Banach space X is said to be separably injective if and only if for every separable Banach spaces Y, every subspace Z, $Z \subset Y$ and every linear bounded operator T from Z into X there is a linear bounded operator \widehat{T} extending T from Y into X.

We have the following theorem:

Theorem 1. [10], [11], [12] The Banach space X is separably injective if and only if it is linearly isomorphic to the space c_0 of all convergent to zero sequences. Moreover, for every separable Banach spaces Y, every subspace $Z, Z \subset Y$ and every linear bounded operator T from Z into X there a linear bounded operator \widehat{T} extending T from Y into X such that $\|\widehat{T}\| < 2\|T\|$.

The following lemma give a complete characterization of the linear bounded operators on the Banach space c_0 :

Lemma 1. [1] An infinite matrix $T = \{\tau_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathcal{N}}$ defines a bounded linear operator $T \in B(c_0)$ if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\{\tau_{nk}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c_0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{N}, \text{ i.e. each column is a convergent to zero sequence.}$ (2) $\{\{\tau_{nk}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l_{\infty}(l_1), \text{ i.e. } \sup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} |\tau_{nk}| < \infty.$

In this case, we have

(1) The operator T is given by the following formula:

$$T(\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) = \{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \tau_{nk} y_k\}_{n=1}^{\infty},\$$

(2)

$$||T|| = \sup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} |\tau_{nk}|.$$

We introduce the following new definition:

- Definition 1. (1) An operator $A \in B(X, Y)$ is said to be prenuclear if and only the representation $A(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} f_n(x) y_n$ converges for every $x \in X$, where $f_n \in X^*$, $y_n \in Y$. Simply we write $A = \{f_n \otimes y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.
 - (2) On the space of all prenuclear operators from a Banach space X into a Banach space Y a partial ordering \leq can be defined as follows: We write $A = \{g_n \otimes y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \leq B = \{f_n \otimes x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ if and only if there exists a non negative real number M such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} |g_n(x)f(y_n)| \le M \sup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} |f_n(x)f(x_n)| \ \forall x \in X, \ \forall f \in Y^*.$$

Equivalently, we can write $A \leq B$ if and only if there exists a non negative real number M such that

$$(2.3) ||\{g_n(x)f(y_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}||_{c_0} \le M ||\{f_n(x)f(x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}||_{c_0} \,\forall (x, f) \in X \times Y^*.$$

(3) The smallest such constants M denoted by M(A, B) is called the ordered constant between the two prenuclear operators A and B.

We have the following:

Lemma 2. Let $A = \{g_n \otimes y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be prenuclear operator. Then the sequence of rank one operators $\{g_n \otimes y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ from X into Y is b ounded.

Proof. Since the sequence $\{g_n(x)y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent to zero sequence for every $x \in X$, the sequence $\{g_n(x)y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded at each point $x \in X$, using the uniform boundedness principle, the sequence $\{\|g_n \otimes y_n\|\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded. \Box

Lemma 3. Let $A = \{g_n \otimes y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be prenuclear operator. Then the operator C defined by

$$C(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes f_i) = \{\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_n(x_i) f_i(y_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{g_n \otimes y_n(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes f_i)\}_{n=1}^{\infty},$$

is linear bounded operator from the projective tensor product $X \otimes^{\wedge} Y^*$ into c_0 .

Proof. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes f_i \in X \otimes^{\wedge} Y^*$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{l} u_j \otimes h_j$ be any of it s equivalent representations. Using lemma (2), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \otimes f_{i})\|_{c_{0}} &= \|\{g_{n} \otimes y_{n}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \otimes f_{i})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\|_{c_{0}} \\ &= \|\{g_{n} \otimes y_{n}(\sum_{j=1}^{l} u_{j} \otimes h_{j})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\|_{c_{0}} \\ &= \sup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} |\sum_{j=1}^{l} g_{n}(u_{j})h_{j}(y_{n})| \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \|g_{n} \otimes y_{n}\| \sum_{j=1}^{l} \|u_{j}\| \|h_{j}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimum over all such equivalent representations, we see that

$$||C|| \le \sup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} ||g_n \otimes y_n||.$$

Lemma 4. For any $x \in X$ the set $Y_x := \{\{g_n(x)f(y_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : f \in Y^*\} = \{\{g_n \otimes y_n(x \otimes f)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : f \in Y^*\}$ is closed linear subspace of the space c_0 .

Proof. Let $\{\{g_n(x)f^i(y_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a convergent sequence in the space Y_x , since the convergent in c_0 is the uniform coordinatewise convergent, $g_n(x)\lim_{i\to\infty}f^i(y_n) = \lim_{i\to\infty}f^i(g_n(x)y_n) < \infty$ uniformly for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Once $f^i \in Y^*$ for each $i \in \mathcal{N}$, $\lim_{i\to\infty} f^i(x)$ exists for every $x\in \widehat{[y_n]}$. Define the linear bounded functional f on $\widehat{[y_n]}$ by the following formula:

$$f(x) := \lim_{i \to \infty} f^i(x).$$

Using Hahn-Banach theorem, f can be extended to a linear bounded functional $g \in Y^*$, the sequence $\{g_n(x)g(y_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the unique uniform coordinatewise limit of the given sequence.

Remark. (1) For every $g \otimes y \in X^* \otimes Y$, the functional again denoted by $g \otimes y$ and defined by

$$g \otimes y(x \times f) := g(x)f(y)$$

is a bounded bilinear form on the product $X \times Y^*$.

(2) Let $T = {\tau_{ij}}_{i,j\in\mathcal{N}} \in B(C_0)$ and $B = {g_n \otimes y_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be prenuclear. Then the operator $A(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}}\sum_{k\in\mathcal{N}}\tau_{nk}g_k(x)y_k$ is such that $\|{\sum_{k\in\mathcal{N}}\tau_{nk}f_k(x)f(x_k)}_{n=1}^{\infty}\|_{c_0} \leq \|T\|\|\|{\{g_n(x)f(y_n)\}}_{n=1}^{\infty}\|_{c_0}$ for every $(x, f) \in X \times Y^*$.

We also give the following interesting result:

Theorem 2. Let $A = \{f_n \otimes x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $B = \{g_n \otimes y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be two prenuclear operators and $A \leq B$. Then for every $x \in X$ there exists a n infinite matrix $T = \{\tau_{ij}\}_{i,j\in\mathcal{N}} \in B(c_0)$ such that

$$\{f_n(x)x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \tau_{nk}g_k(x)y_k\}_{n=1}^{\infty}.$$

Proof. On the space Y_x , define the linear bounded operator

$$\hat{T}_x: Y_x \to c_0$$

by

$$\acute{T}_x(\{g_n(x)f(y_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty) := \{f_n(x)f(x_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty.$$

To show that T_x is bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \dot{T}_{x}(\{g_{n}(x)f(y_{n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) \right\|_{c_{0}} &= \left\| \{f_{n}(x)f(x_{n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \right\|_{c_{0}} \\ &\leq M(A, B) \left\| \{g_{n}(x)f(y_{n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \right\|_{c_{0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that c_0 is separable Banach space and theorem (1), T_x can be extended to a linear bounded operator $T_x \in B(c_0)$, using (1), the matrix representation of the operator T_x has the required properties.

3. RIGHT AND LEFT-NUCLEAR-DECOMPOSABLE OPERATORS:

In this section we will introduce a novel class of operators having parallel characterizations as that proved for the left complemented operators [7] and we also collect some of its properties. Our definitions for the Right and Left-Nuclear-Decomposable operators are some way opposite to the factorization of operators through Banach spaces that given in [4] and [6].

434

Definition 2. (1) An operator $A \in B(X, Y)$ (respectively $A \in B(X)$) is said to be Right-Nuclear-Decomposable (respectively l_p -Right-Nuclear-Decomposable) with respect to a Banach space Z if and only if there exists a non-nuclear linear bounded operator T from Y into Z (respectively from X into Z) such that the compositi on TA is nuclear (respectively TA is nuclear and the sequence of nuclear norms $\{\nu(TA^n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an element of the space l_p . i.e.,

$$\left\{ \| \{ \nu(TA^n) \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \|_{l_p} \right\}^p = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} [\nu(TA^n)]^p < \infty.)$$

(2) The space Z in this case is said to be Right-Nuclearlizer or l_p -Right-Nuclearlizer of the operator A respectively.

RNDO(X, Y; Z) denotes the class of all Right-Nuclear-Decomposable operators from a Banach space X into a Banach space Y with respect to the same Banach space $Z, l_p - RNDO(X; Z)$ denotes the class of all l_p -Right-Nuclear-Decomposable operators on a Banach space X with respect to the same Banach space Z. If $A \in RNDO(X, Y; Z)$, then the class of all nonnuclear operators $T: Y \to Z$ such that TA is nuclear will be denoted by RNO(A; Z) and for $A \in l_p - RNDO(X; Z)$, the class of all nonnuclear operators $T: X \to Z$ such that TA is nuclear and $\{\nu(TA^n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l_p$ will be denoted by $l_p - RNO(A; Z)$. The class of all Rightnuclearlizer and l_p -Right-nuclearlizer of A will be denoted respectively by RN(A)and $l_p - RN(A)$.

Definition 3. (1) If $A \in RNDO(X, Y; Z)$, then the right-relative nuclear constant of the operator A denoted by R(A, Z) is defined by:

$$R(A, Z) := \inf\{\nu(TA) : T \in RNO(A; Z)\}.$$

(2) If $A \in l_p - RNDO(X; Z)$, then the p-right-relative nuclear constant of the operator A denoted by $R^p(A, Z)$ is defined by:

 $R^{p}(A, Z) := \inf\{\|\{\nu(TA^{n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\|_{l_{p}} : T \in l_{p} - RNO(A; Z)\}.$

(3) The absolute Right-Nuclear and p-Right-Nuclear constant s of A are defined respectively by:

$$R(A) = \sup\{R(A, Z) : Z \in RN(A)\}.$$

and

$$R^{p}(A) = \sup\{R^{p}(A, Z) : Z \in l_{p} - RN(A)\}.$$

A parallel arguments can be defined from the left.

Definition 4. (1) An operator $A \in B(X, Y)$ (respectively $A \in B(X)$) is said to be Left-Nuclear-Decomposable (respectively l_p -Left-Nuclear-Decomposable) with respect to a Banach space Z if and only if there exists a non-nuclear linear bounded operator T from Z into X (respectively T from Z into X) such that the composition AT is nuclear (respectively AT is nuclear and the sequence of nuclear norms $\{\nu(A^nT)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an element of the space l_p . i.e.,

$$\left\{ \| \{\nu(A^n T)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \|_{l_p} \right\}^p = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} [\nu(A^n T)]^p < \infty.)$$

SAHAR MOHAMED ALI

(2) The space Z in this case is said to be Left-Nuclearlizer or l_p -Left-Nuclearlizerof the operator A respectively.

LNDO(X, Y; Z) denotes the class of all Left-Nuclear-Decomposable operators from X into Y with respect to the same Banach space Z. $l_p - LNDO(X; Z)$ denote the class of all l_p -Left-Nuclear-Decomposable operators on a Banach space X with respect to the same Banach space Z. If $A \in LNDO(X, Y; Z)$, then the class of all nonnuclear operators $T: Z \to X$ such that AT is nuclear will be denoted by LNO(A; Z). If $A \in l_p - LNDO(X; Z)$, then the class of all nonnuclear operators $T: Z \to X$ such that AT is nuclear and $\{\nu(A^nT)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l_p$ will be denoted by $l_p - LNO(A; Z)$. The class of all Left-nuclearlizer spaces and l_p -Left-nuclearlizer spaces of A will be denoted respectively by LN(A) and $l_p - LN(A)$.

Definition 5. (1) If $A \in LNDO(X, Y; Z)$, then the left-relative nuclear constant of the operator A denoted by L(A, Z) is defined by:

$$L(A, Z) := \inf\{\nu(TA) : T \in LNO(A; Z)\}.$$

(2) If $A \in l_p - LNDO(X; Z)$, then the p-left-relative nuclear constant of the operator A denoted by $L^p(A, Z)$ is defined by:

$$L^{p}(A, Z) := \inf\{\|\{\nu(A^{n}T)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\|_{l_{p}} : T \in l_{p} - LNO(A; Z)\}.$$

(3) The absolute Left-Nuclear and p-Left-Nuclear constants are defined respectively by:

$$L(A) = \sup\{L(A, Z) : Z \in LN(A)\}.$$

and

$$L^{p}(A) = \sup\{L^{p}(A, Z) : Z \in l_{p} - LN(A)\}.$$

4. Some preliminary lemmas

We have the following main properties:

- **Lemma 5.** (1) The classes RNO(A; Z) and $l_p RNO(A; Z)$ are linear subspaces of the spaces B(Y, Z) and B(X, Z) respectively.
 - (2) The classes LNO(A; Z) and $l_p LNO(A; Z)$ are also linear subspaces.
 - (3) The class L(X, Y; Z) of the intersection of all classes RNO(A; Z) over all nonnuclear operators $A \in RNDO(X, Y; Z)$

$$L(X, Y; Z) := \bigcap_{A \in RNDO(X, Y; Z)/N(X, Y)} RNO(A; Z) \subset B(Y, Z),$$

is linear subspace of B(Y, Z).

(4) The class R(X, Y; Z) of the intersection of all classes LNO(A; Z) over all nonnuclear operators $A \in LNDO(X, Y; Z)$

$$R(X, Y; Z) := \bigcap_{A \in LNDO(X, Y; Z)/N(X, Y)} LNO(A; Z),$$

is a linear subspace of B(Z, X).

(5) If $A \in RNDO(X, Y; Z)$, $T \in RNO(A; Z)$ and W is any Banach space with some $T_0: Z \to W$ such that T_0T is not nuclear, then $A \in RNDO(X, Y; W)$.

436

- (6) If $A \in N(X, Y)$, then $A \in RNDO(X, Y; Z)$ and $A \in LNDO(X, Y; Z)$ for any Banach space Z.
- (7) If $A \in B(X)$ and $\{\|A^n\|\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l_p$, then

$$A \in \begin{cases} l_p - RNDO(X; Z), & if A \in RNDO(X; Z); \\ l_p - LNDO(X; Z), & if A \in LNDO(X; Z). \end{cases}$$

In fact, if $T \in RNO(A; Z)$ (respectively $T \in LNO(A; Z)$), we have $\nu(TA^n) \leq \nu(TA) \|A^{n-1}\|$ (respectively $\nu(A^nT) \leq \nu(AT) \|A^{n-1}\|$).

- (8) If $A \in l_p RNDO(X; Z)$ (respectively $A \in l_p LNDO(X; Z)$), $B \in B(X)$, AB = BA and $\{\|B^n\|\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l_q$, then $AB \in l_r - RNDO(X; Z)$ (respectively $A \in l_r - LNDO(X; Z)$), where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$. In fact, if $T \in RNO(A; Z)$ (respectively $T \in LNO(A; Z)$), we have $\nu(T[AB]^n) = \nu(TA^nB^n) \leq \nu(TA^n)\|B^n\|$ (respectively $\nu([BA]^nT) = \nu(B^nA^nT) \leq \nu(A^nT)\|B^n\|$), using the Minkowisky inequality, we get the proof.
- (9) If $A \in LNDO(X, Y; Z)$, then $A \in LNDO(X, Y; W)$ where W is a subspace of l_{∞} .
- (10) If $A \in RNDO(X, Y; Z)$, then $A \in RNDO(X, Y; l_{\infty})$.
- (11) Let A be a projection from X onto a closed subspace Y and $A_{\alpha} = \alpha A$. Then for $A \in RNDO(X, Y; Z)$, we have

$$A_{\alpha} \begin{cases} \in l_p - RNDO(X, Y; Z), & if \ |\alpha^p| < 1; \\ \notin l_p - RNDO(X, Y; Z), & if \ |\alpha^p| \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover in the first, case we have

$$R_p(A, Z) \le R(A, Z)^p \left[\frac{|\alpha^p|}{1-|\alpha^p|}\right].$$

We also have the same from the left, in particular the projection operators are not Power-Nuclear-Decomposable operators.

- (12) Let $A \in B(X, Y)$, $B \in B(Y, E)$, and $C \in B(W, X)$. Then
 - If $A \in LNDO(X, Y; Z)$, and B homeomorphism, then $BA \in LNDO(X, E; Z)$ and $AC \in LNDO(W, Y; Z)$.
 - If $A \in RNDO(X, Y; Z)$ and B homeomorphism, then $BA \in RNDO(X, E; Z)$ and $AC \in RNDO(W, Y; Z)$.

We have the following theorem:

Theorem 3. Let $A \in LNDO(X, Y; l_{\infty})$. Then $A \in LNDO(X, Y; Z)$ for every Banach space Z. Moreover the absolute Left-Nuclear-Decomposable constant L(A)of the operator A attains its supremum at l_{∞} . i.e.,

$$L(A) = L(A, l_{\infty}).$$

Proof. Let l_{∞} be a left nuclearlizer of the operator A. Then there exists a linear bounded non-nucle ar operator $T: l_{\infty} \to X$ such that $AT: l_{\infty} \to Y$ is nuclear. If Z is any Banach space, $J: Z \to l_{\infty}$ is the isometric embedding of Z into l_{∞} , then TJ

is not nuclear with A(TJ) = (AT)J nuclear and $\nu(A(TJ)) \le ||J|| \nu(AT) = \nu(AT)$, from that we conclude for the infimum,

$$L(A, Z) \leq L(A, l_{\infty}), \text{ for every Banach space } Z.$$

Taking the supremum over all Banach spaces Z we get the proof.

5. EXAMPLES

we have the following examples:

- (1) The identity operator I_X on an infinite dimensional Banach space X is not Left and not Right-Nuclear-Decomposable with respect to any Banach space Z, if X is a Banach space having Schauder basis, then I_X is prenuclear.
- (2) Consider the operator $A \in B(l_1)$ defined by

$$A(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \{\frac{\alpha x_i}{i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty},\$$

where α is a real number with $|\alpha| \leq 1$.

• A is prenuclear non-nuclear. In fact A has the representation

$$A(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} f_n(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) e_n$$

where $f_n = \{0, 0, \ldots, \frac{\alpha}{n}, 0, 0, \ldots\} \in l_{\infty}$, the nonzero coordinate is the n-coordinate. i.e., $f_n(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \frac{\alpha x_n}{n}$ and $e_n = \{0, 0, \ldots, 1, 0, 0, \ldots\}$, $n \in \mathcal{N}$ are the canonical basis elements of the space l_1 . On the other hand,

• A is Right-Nuclear-Decomposable with respect to l_1 . In fact, consider the linear bounded non-nuclear operator T on l_1 defined by

$$T(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \{\frac{x_i}{i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty},\$$

we have

$$TA(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \{\frac{\alpha x_i}{i^2}\}_{i=1}^{\infty},$$

which is linear bounded nuclear operator. This showed also that T is Left-Nuclear-Decomposable with respect to l_1 .

• A is l_p -Power-Nuclear-Decomposable with respect to l_1 . In fact, we have

$$(TA^n)(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \{\frac{\alpha x_i}{i^{n+1}}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$$

and

$$\nu(TA^{n}) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{|\alpha|^{n}}{i^{n+1}},$$
$$\|\{\nu(TA^{n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\|_{l_{p}} \leq \frac{|\alpha|}{(1-|\alpha|^{p})^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

• Finally, we have

$$R^{p}(A) \le \frac{|\alpha|}{(1-|\alpha|^{p})^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

438

(3) Consider the last operator as an operator from l_q into l_1 and T as an operator from l_1 into l_{∞} . For this two operators A is Right-Nuclear-Decomposable with respect to l_{∞} and T is Left-Nuclear-Decomposable with respect to l_q .

6. Problems

We have the following problems:

Problem 1. Under what conditions are the spaces L(X, Y; Z) and R(X, Y; Z) not empty?

Problem 2. Under what conditions are there infinite matrices $T = {\tau_{ij}}_{i,j \in \mathcal{N}} \in B(c_0)$ satisfying theorem (2) valied for every $x \in X$?

References

- [1] I. J. Maddox, "Elements of functional analysis", University Press, 1970.
- [2] H. Jarchow, "Locally Convex Spaces", Stuttgart: Teubner, 1981.
- [3] H. Konig, "Eigenvalue Distribution Of Compact Operators", Brikhauser Verlag. Basel. Boston. Sluttgart, Vol.16, 1986.
- S. Kwapien, "On Operators Factorizable Through L_p Spaces", B ull. Soc. Math. France., memoire 31-32, 1972, P. 215-225.
- [5] A. Pietsh, "Operator Ideals", North-Holland Publishing Company Amsterdam. New York. Oxford, 1980.
- [6] G. Pisier, "Factorization of Banach Spaces", Conference board of the mathematical science regional conference series in mathematics number 60, A. M. S, (1986).
- [7] E. M. El-Shobaky, Sahar Mohammed Ali and Wataru Takahashi, "On The Projection Constants Of Some Topological Spaces And Some Applications", Abstr. Appl. Anal. 6 (2001), no. 5, 299-308.
- [8] E. M. El-Shobaky, Sahar Mohammed Ali and Wataru Takahashi, "On The Projection Constant Problems And The Existence Of The Metric Projections in normed spaces", Abstr. Appl. Anal. 6 (2001), no.7, 401-410.
- [9] H. H. Schaefer, "Topological Vector Spaces", New York, 1967.
- [10] A. Sobczyk, "Projection of the space m on its subspace c₀", Bull.Amer. Math. Soc., 47 (1941), 938-947.
- [11] W. A. Veech, "Short Proof of Sobczyk's Theorem", Proc. Amer. Soc., 28 (1971), 627-628.
- [12] M. Zippin, "The Separable Extension Problem", Israel J. Math., 26 (1977), 372-387.

Manuscript received December 13, 2003 revised Semtember 6, 2005

Sahar Mohamed Ali

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. *E-mail address:* sahar25520002000@yahoo.com