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#### Abstract

We present an elementary geometric proof of von Neumann's classical theorem on alternating orthogonal projections as well as several results regarding this algorithm and its additive counterpart. The nonlinear case is also discussed.


## 1. Introduction

Let $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ be two closed subspaces of a real Hilbert space $(H,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$, and let $P_{1}: H \rightarrow S_{1}$ and $P_{2}: H \rightarrow S_{2}$ be the corresponding orthogonal projections of $H$ onto $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, respectively. Denote by $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ the set of nonnegative integers. Let $x_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in $H$, and define the sequence of alternating projections by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2 n+1}=P_{1} x_{2 n} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{2 n+2}=P_{2} x_{2 n+1}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Theorem 1.1. The sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ defined by (1) converges in norm to $P x_{0}$, where $P: H \rightarrow S$ is the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto the intersection $S=S_{1} \cap S_{2}$.

This classical result is due to von Neumann; see [Ne], p. 475. It was rediscovered by several other authors; see, for example, $[\mathrm{Ar}],[\mathrm{Na}]$ and [Wi]. More information concerning this theorem and its many applications can be found in [De] and the references mentioned there. Our main aim in this note is to present an elementary proof of von Neumann's theorem. Our proof is geometric in nature and has some points in common with the proofs presented in [DR], [Sa], [De] and [BMR]. In addition, we present several results concerning von Neumann's algorithm (Section 2) and its additive counterpart (Section 3). The nonlinear case is discussed in Section 4.

## 2. Products

We begin with a simple lemma on (random) infinite products of projections which may be of independent interest.

[^0]Let $\left\{S_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$ be $m$ closed subspaces of $H$, and let $P_{j}: H \rightarrow S_{j}$ be the corresponding orthogonal projections of $H$ onto $S_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$. Let $r:\{1,2, \ldots\} \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ be a surjective mapping which assumes each one of its values infinitely often. Let $x_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in $H$, and define the sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=P_{r(n+1)} x_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, let $S$ denote the intersection $\bigcap\left\{S_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$ and let $P: H \rightarrow$ $S$ be the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto $S$.
Lemma 2.1. Let the sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be defined by (2). Then
(a) $\left\langle x_{n}, s\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{0}, s\right\rangle$ for all $s \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(b) $P x_{n}=P x_{0}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(c) If $\left\{x_{n}: n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ converges weakly to $x_{\infty}$, then $x_{\infty}=P x_{0}$.

Proof. (a) If $\left\langle x_{n}, s\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{0}, s\right\rangle$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in S$, then $\left\langle x_{n+1}, s\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle P_{r(n+1)} x_{n}, s\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{n}, P_{r(n+1)} s\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{n}, s\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{0}, s\right\rangle$. Therefore this part follows by induction.
(b) Using part (a), we have, for any $s \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N},\left\langle x_{n}-P x_{0}, s\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{n}, s\right\rangle-$ $\left\langle P x_{0}, s\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{0}, s\right\rangle-\left\langle x_{0}, P s\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{0}, s\right\rangle-\left\langle x_{0}, s\right\rangle=0$.
(c) Part (b) shows that $P x_{\infty}=P x_{0}$. Since $x_{\infty} \in S, P x_{\infty}=x_{\infty}=P x_{0}$, as claimed. Alternatively, we get from part (a) that $\left\langle x_{0}-x_{\infty}, s\right\rangle=0$ for all $s \in S$. Since $x_{\infty} \in S$, it must coincide with $P x_{0}$.

Remark 2.2. As a matter of fact, it is known [AA] that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ defined by (2) does converge weakly.

Returning to the sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ defined by (1), we first note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{n}\right|^{2}=\left|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{n+1}\right|^{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the Pythagorean Theorem. Here $|x|=\sqrt{\langle x, x\rangle}$ denotes the norm of $x \in H$ induced by the inner product.

Now we formulate and prove our key lemma. Given two integers $l \geq k \geq 1$, we denote by $m=m(k, l)=[(k+l+1) / 2]$ the integer part of $(k+l+1) / 2$; that is, $m=(k+l) / 2$ if $k+l$ is even and $m=(k+l+1) / 2$ if $k+l$ is odd.
Lemma 2.3. Let the sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be defined by (1), let $l \geq k \geq 1$ be integers, and let $m=m(k, l)=[(k+l+1) / 2]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{k}-x_{l}\right|^{2}=\left|x_{k}\right|^{2}-2\left|x_{m}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{l}\right|^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use induction on $n=l-k$. It is clear that (4) is true for $n=0$ (that is, $k=l$ ) and $n=1$ (by (3)). Now suppose (4) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $l-k=n+1$.

If $n$ is even, then $x_{k+1}$ and $x_{l}$ belong to the same subspace and therefore the inner product $\left\langle x_{k}-x_{k+1}, x_{k+1}-x_{l}\right\rangle=0$. Also, $m(k+1, l)=m(k, l)=(k+l+1) / 2$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{k}-x_{l}\right|^{2} & =\left|x_{k}-x_{k+1}+x_{k+1}-x_{l}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|x_{k}-x_{k+1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{k+1}-x_{l}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left|x_{k}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{k+1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{k+1}\right|^{2}-2\left|x_{m(k+1, l)}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{l}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|x_{k}\right|^{2}-2\left|x_{m(k, l)}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{l}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (3) and the induction hypothesis.
If $n$ is odd, then the points $x_{k}$ and $x_{l}$ belong to the same subspace, the inner product $\left\langle x_{l-1}-x_{l}, x_{k}-x_{l}\right\rangle=0$, and $m(k, l-1)=m(k, l)=(k+l) / 2$. Hence, again by the induction hypothesis and (3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{k}-x_{l-1}\right|^{2} & =\left|x_{k}-x_{l}+x_{l}-x_{l-1}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|x_{k}-x_{l}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{l}-x_{l-1}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{k}-x_{l}\right|^{2} & =\left|x_{k}-x_{l-1}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{l}-x_{l-1}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|x_{k}\right|^{2}-2\left|x_{m(k, l-1)}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{l-1}\right|^{2}-\left(\left|x_{l-1}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{l}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\left|x_{k}\right|^{2}-2\left|x_{m(k, l)}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{l}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the numerical sequence $\left\{\left|x_{n}\right|: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is decreasing and $k \leq m(k, l) \leq l$, equality (4) immediately implies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{k}-x_{l}\right|^{2} \leq\left|x_{k}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{l}\right|^{2}, \quad l \geq k \geq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is Cauchy and converges strongly to $P x_{0}$ by part (c) of Lemma 2.1.

The following rather curious proposition is also a consequence of Lemma 2.3.
Proposition 2.4. Let the sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be defined by (1). Then

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}-x_{n+2}\right|^{2}=\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}
$$

Proof. Using induction, we obtain from Lemma 2.3

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|x_{n}-x_{n+2}\right|^{2}=\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{N+1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{N+2}\right|^{2}
$$

for any $N \geq 2$, whence the result follows when $N \rightarrow \infty$.
In a similar vein we note another such result.
Proposition 2.5. Let the sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be defined by (1). Then

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}-x_{n+4}\right|^{2}=\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{3}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{4}\right|^{2}
$$

Proof. Again using induction and Lemma 2.3, we get this time

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|x_{n}-x_{n+4}\right|^{2}= & \left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{3}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{4}\right|^{2} \\
& -\left|x_{N+1}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{N+2}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{N+3}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{N+4}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $N \geq 4$.
More generally, the following identity can also be established by induction.
Theorem 2.6. Let the sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be defined by (1). Then for each $k \geq 1$,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|x_{n}-x_{n+2 k}\right|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|x_{k+i}\right|^{2}
$$

## 3. Sums

Instead of composing $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ we can also form their (midpoint) average and, starting from an arbitrary point $y_{0} \in H$, consider the sequence $\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=\left(P_{1} y_{n}+P_{2} y_{n}\right) / 2 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, consider again $\left\{S_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}, m$ closed subspaces of $H$, with corresponding orthogonal projections $P_{j}: H \rightarrow S_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$, and let $\left\{a_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$ be $m$ positive numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}=1$. Given a point $y_{0} \in H$, define the sequence $\left\{y_{n}: n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} P_{j} y_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we shall see shortly, the following theorem (cf. [La] and [Re2]) can be deduced from Theorem 1.1.
Theorem 3.1. The sequence $\left\{y_{n}: n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ defined by (7) converges in norm to Py, where $P: H \rightarrow S$ is the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto the intersection $S=\bigcap\left\{S_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$.
Proof. We equip the $m$-th power $H^{m}$ of $H$ (that is, $H^{m}=H \times H \times \cdots \times H, m$ times) with the inner product

$$
\left\langle\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)\right\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}\left\langle u_{j}, v_{j}\right\rangle,
$$

and consider the two closed subspaces $S_{1} \times S_{2} \times \cdots \times S_{m}$ and $D=\{(u, u, \ldots, u) \in$ $\left.H^{m}: u \in H\right\}$ of $H^{m}$ with the corresponding orthogonal projections (cf. [Pi])

$$
Q_{1}: H^{m} \rightarrow S_{1} \times S_{2} \times \cdots \times S_{m}
$$

and

$$
Q_{2}: H^{m} \rightarrow D
$$

Since

$$
Q_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)=\left(P_{1} u_{1}, P_{2} u_{2}, \ldots, P_{m} u_{m}\right)
$$

and

$$
Q_{2}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)=(v, v, \ldots, v)
$$

where $v=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} u_{j}$, we have

$$
z_{n}:=\left(y_{n}, y_{n}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\left(Q_{2} Q_{1}\right)^{n}\left(y_{0}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{0}\right)=\left(Q_{2} Q_{1}\right)^{n} z_{0}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Therefore Theorem 1.1 implies that the sequence $\left\{z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ converges in norm to $Q z_{0}$, where $Q$ is the orthogonal projection of $H^{m}$ onto the intersection

$$
\left(S_{1} \times S_{2} \times \cdots \times S_{m}\right) \cap D=S \times S \times \cdots \times S
$$

In other words, the sequence $\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ converges in norm to $P y_{0}$, as asserted.
Applying Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, we now obtain the following result for the sequence $\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ defined by (7).
Proposition 3.2. Let the sequence $\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be defined by (7). Then
(a) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\left|y_{n}-y_{n+1}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}\left|P_{j} y_{n}-P_{j} y_{n+1}\right|^{2}\right\}=\left|y_{0}\right|^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}\left|P_{j} y_{0}\right|^{2}$.
(b) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\left|y_{n}-y_{n+2}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}\left|P_{j} y_{n}-P_{j} y_{n+2}\right|^{2}\right\}$
$=\left|y_{0}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}\left|P_{j} y_{0}\right|^{2}-\left|y_{1}\right|^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}\left|P_{j} y_{1}\right|^{2}$.
Applying Theorem 2.6 with $k=2 q, q \geq 1$, we also arrive at the following identity for the iteration (7).
Proposition 3.3. Let the sequence $\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be defined by (7). Then for each $k=2 q, q \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\left|y_{n}-y_{n+k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}\left|P_{j} y_{n}-P_{j} y_{n+k}\right|^{2}\right\} \\
= & \sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\left\{\left|y_{i}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}\left|P_{j} y_{i}\right|^{2}-\left|y_{q+i}\right|^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}\left|P_{j} y_{q+i}\right|^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Nonlinear Projections

Returning to the composition of orthogonal projections, we first recall that a linear contraction $T: H \rightarrow H$ is said to satisfy condition (S) if $\left\{x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ whenever the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $\left\{\left|x_{n}\right|-\left|T x_{n}\right|\right\} \rightarrow 0$. Since each orthogonal projection obviously satisfies condition (S) $\left(|x-P x|^{2}=|x|^{2}-|P x|^{2}\right)$, and since the class of linear operators satisfying condition ( S ) is closed under composition, it follows that the composition $T=P_{m} P_{m-1} \ldots P_{1}$ of $m$ orthogonal projections also satisfies condition (S) and hence is asymptotically regular: $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(T^{n} x-T^{n+1} x\right)=0$ for each $x \in H$.

The Yosida mean ergodic theorem can now be used to show that the sequence defined by (2) converges strongly whenever the mapping $r:\{1,2, \ldots\} \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ is periodic ([Ha], [AA]). As a matter of fact, this result is susceptible to a nonlinear
generalization even in certain Banach spaces; see Theorem 4.5 in [MR] and the references cited there. We mention, in particular, the following nonlinear analog of Theorem 1.1, where this time $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are two closed convex subsets of the Hilbert space $H$ with corresponding nearest point projections $P_{1}: H \rightarrow C_{1}$ and $P_{2}: H \rightarrow C_{2}$. We denote by $d\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ the distance between $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. In this connection, see also [BB1] and [BB2].
Theorem 4.1. Let the sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be defined by (1).
(a) If $d\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ is attained, then $\left\{x_{2 n}\right\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point $z$ of $P_{2} P_{1}$ and $\left\{x_{2 n+1}\right\}$ converges weakly to $P_{1} z$.
(b) If $d\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ is not attained, then $\left\{\left|x_{n}\right|\right\} \rightarrow \infty$.
(c) If $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are symmetric with respect to the origin, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in the intersection $C=C_{1} \cap C_{2}$.
Proof. (a) In this case we already know by Corollary 4.6 of $[\mathrm{MR}]$ that $\left\{x_{2 n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point $z$ of $P_{2} P_{1}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{2 n+2}-z\right| & =\left|P_{2} x_{2 n+1}-P_{2} P_{1} z\right| \\
& \leq\left|x_{2 n+1}-P_{1} z\right|=\left|P_{1} x_{2 n}-P_{1} z\right| \\
& \leq\left|x_{2 n}-z\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left|x_{2 n+1}-P_{1} z\right|-\left|P_{2} x_{2 n+1}-P_{2} P_{1} z\right|\right)=0$ from which it follows (because $P_{2}$ is strongly nonexpansive in the sense of $\left.[\mathrm{BR}]\right)$ that the strong $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(x_{2 n+1}-\right.$ $\left.x_{2 n+2}\right)=P_{1} z-z$. Thus $\left\{x_{2 n+1}\right\}$ converges weakly to $P_{1} z$, as claimed.
(b) Again we already know that $\left\{\left|x_{2 n}\right|\right\} \rightarrow \infty$ for any $x_{0} \in H$. But $P_{2} P_{1}$ has a fixed point if and only if $P_{1} P_{2}$ does. Hence $\left\{\left|x_{2 n+1}\right|\right\} \rightarrow \infty$ too.
(c) This time we know that $\left\{x_{2 n}\right\}$ converges in norm to a fixed point $z$ of $P_{2} P_{1}$ and that $C=C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ is not empty. Therefore $z \in C$ and $x_{2 n+1}=P_{1} x_{2 n} \rightarrow P_{1} z=z$.

Applying a Banach space version of Theorem 4.1 to the $m$-th power $E^{m}$ of a Banach space $E$, we can obtain an alternative proof of Theorem 4.8 in [MR]. Here, however, we just illustrate this method of proof by presenting a variant of Corollary 4.10 there. This variant is concerned with the iteration

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=a_{1} P_{1} y_{n}+a_{2} P_{2} y_{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{0} \in H, a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are positive, and $a_{1}+a_{2}=1$.
Theorem 4.2. Let the sequence $\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be defined by (8).
(a) If $d\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ is attained, then $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of $a_{1} P_{1}+$ $a_{2} P_{2}$.
(b) If $d\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ is not attained, then $\left\{\left|y_{n}\right|\right\} \rightarrow \infty$.
(c) If $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are symmetric, then $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in the intersection $C=C_{1} \cap C_{2}$.
We precede the proof of Theorem 4.2 with a few preparatory considerations. Endowing the power $H^{2}=H \times H$ with the inner product $\left\langle\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right\rangle=$ $a_{1}\left\langle u_{1}, v_{1}\right\rangle+a_{2}\left\langle u_{2}, v_{2}\right\rangle$, we consider this time the closed convex subset $C_{1} \times C_{2}$ and the closed subspace $D=\left\{(u, u) \in H^{2}: u \in H\right\}$ of $H^{2}$ with the corresponding nearest point projection $Q_{1}: H^{2} \rightarrow C_{1} \times C_{2}$ and orthogonal projection $Q_{2}: H^{2} \rightarrow D$. In
the setting of Theorem 4.1, the distance $d\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ is attained if and only if the fixed point sets of $P_{2} P_{1}$ and $P_{1} P_{2}$ are not empty. We now observe that this is also true for the mappings $Q_{1} Q_{2}$ and $Q_{2} Q_{1}$.
Lemma 4.3. The mapping $Q_{1} Q_{2}$ has a fixed point if and only if the distance $d\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ is attained.
Proof. If $Q_{1} Q_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, then $P_{1}\left(a_{1} z_{1}+a_{2} z_{2}\right)=z_{1}$ and $P_{2}\left(a_{1} z_{1}+a_{2} z_{2}\right)=z_{2}$. Since both $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are sunny retractions, it follows that $P_{1} z_{2}=z_{1}$ and $P_{2} z_{1}=z_{2}$. Thus $d\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|$. Conversely, if $d\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|$ for some $z_{1} \in C_{1}$ and $z_{2} \in C_{2}$, then necessarily $P_{1} z_{2}=z_{1}$ and $z_{2}=P_{2} z_{1}$. Hence $P_{1}\left(a_{1} z_{1}+a_{2} z_{2}\right)=z_{1}$ and $P_{2}\left(a_{1} z_{1}+a_{2} z_{2}\right)=z_{2}$. In other words, $Q_{1} Q_{2}$ has a fixed point.
Proof of Theorem 4.2. This theorem is now seen to follow from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.3 because $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}\right)=\left(Q_{2} Q_{1}\right)^{n}\left(y_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the mapping $Q_{2} Q_{1}$ has a fixed point if and only if $Q_{1} Q_{2}$ does.

Remark 4.4. Denote the limits obtained in parts (a) and (c) of Theorem 4.2 by z. Then we also see that in part (a), the sequence $\left\{P_{1} y_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $P_{1} z$ and the sequence $\left\{P_{2} y_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $P_{2} z$. In part (c), both $\left\{P_{1} y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{P_{2} y_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to z. In part (b), $\max \left\{\left|P_{1} y_{n}\right|,\left|P_{2} y_{n}\right|\right\} \rightarrow \infty$.

Applying the product space method to the iteration defined in Theorem 5.1 of [BMR], we observe that the weak convergence asserted in part (a) of Theorem 4.1 cannot, in general, be replaced with convergence in norm, even when $C=C_{1} \cap C_{2} \neq$ $\emptyset$ and one of these closed convex subsets is, in fact, a closed subspace (cf. [Hu]). Thus part (c) of Theorem 4.1 seems to be a good nonlinear analog of von Neumann's Theorem 1.1. We remark in passing that such results can be used to derive certain product formulas for nonlinear semigroups in the spirit of [Re1] and [Re3].
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