



## GENERALIZED $n$ -METRIC SPACES AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS

KAMRAN ALAM KHAN

ABSTRACT. Gähler ([3],[4]) introduced the concept of 2-metric as a possible generalization of usual notion of a metric space. In many cases the results obtained in the usual metric spaces and 2-metric spaces are found to be unrelated (see [5]). Mustafa and Sims [8] took a different approach and introduced the notion of  $G$ -metric. The author [6] generalized the notion of  $G$ -metric to more than three variables and introduced the concept of  $K$ -metric as a function  $K: X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , ( $n \geq 3$ ). In this paper, We improve the definition of  $K$ -metric by making symmetry condition more general. This improved metric denoted by  $G_n$  is called the *Generalized  $n$ -metric*. We develop the theory for generalized  $n$ -metric spaces and obtain some fixed point theorems.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Gähler ([3],[4]) introduced the concept of 2-metric as a possible generalization of usual notion of a metric space. K. S. Ha et al [5] have pointed out that the construction by Gähler is an independent approach and in many cases there is no connection between the results obtained in the usual metric spaces and 2-metric spaces. It was mentioned by Gähler [3] that the notion of a 2-metric is an extension of an idea of ordinary metric and geometrically  $d(x, y, z)$  represents the area of a triangle formed by the points  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $X$  as its vertices. But this is not always true. Sharma [9] showed that  $d(x, y, z) = 0$  for any three distinct points  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

B. C. Dhage [2] introduced the concept of  $D$ -metric in order to translate results from usual metric space to  $D$ -metric space. Mustafa and Sims [7] showed that most of the results concerning  $D$ -metrics are incorrect. This led them to introduce a new class of generalized metrics called  $G$ -metric in which the tetrahedral inequality is replaced by an inequality involving repetition of indices (see [8]). Many authors (such as [1]) obtained fixed point results for  $G$ -metric spaces. Recently the author [6] generalized the notion of  $G$ -metric space to more than three variables and introduced the concept of  $K$ -metric. In the present work we improve the definition of  $K$ -metric by making symmetry condition more general. This improved metric denoted by  $G_n$  is called the *Generalized  $n$ -metric*. We develop the theory for generalized  $n$ -metric spaces and obtain some fixed point theorems.

**Definition 1.1** ([6]). Let  $X$  be a non-empty set, and  $\mathbb{R}^+$  denote the set of non-negative real numbers. Let  $K: X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a function satisfying the following properties:

[K 1]  $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$  if  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ ,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54E35; Secondary 47H10.

*Key words and phrases*. 2-metric space,  $G$ -metric space,  $K$ -metric space, fixed point.

- [K 2]  $K(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_1, x_2) > 0$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ ,
- [K 3]  $K(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_1, x_2) \leq K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$  with the condition that any two of the points  $x_2, \dots, x_n$  are distinct,
- [K 4]  $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = K(x_{\pi^r(1)}, x_{\pi^r(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi^r(n)})$ , for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$  and a permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\pi(s) = s + 1$  for all  $1 \leq s < n$ ,  $\pi(n) = 1$  and for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- [K 5]  $K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq K(x_1, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) + K(x_{n+1}, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} \in X$ .

Then the function  $K$  is called a  $K$ -metric on  $X$ , and the pair  $(X, K)$  a  $K$ -metric space.

**Example 1.2.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the set of all real numbers. Define a function  $\rho: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , ( $n \geq 3$ ) by

$$\rho(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \max\{|x_1 - x_2|, \dots, |x_{n-1} - x_n|, |x_n - x_1|\}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $(\mathbb{R}, \rho)$  is a  $K$ -metric space.

**Example 1.3.** For any metric space  $(X, d)$ , the following functions define  $K$ -metrics on  $X$ :

- (1)  $K_1^d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} [\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} d(x_r, x_{r+1}) + d(x_n, x_1)]$ ,
- (2)  $K_2^d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, x_3), \dots, d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_1)\}$ .

Geometrically the  $K$ -metric represents the notion of the perimeter of an oriented polygon with vertices  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . Here we observe that the condition of symmetry is not satisfied in general as in  $G$ -metric. Thus the notion of a  $K$ -metric is not a straight forward translation of the concept of  $G$ -metric. Now we introduce an  $n$  point analogue of  $G$ -metric as follows.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set, and  $\mathbb{R}^+$  denote the set of non-negative real numbers. Let  $G_n: X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a function satisfying the following properties:

- [G 1]  $G_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$  if  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ ,
- [G 2]  $G_n(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_1, x_2) > 0$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ ,
- [G 3]  $G_n(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_1, x_2) \leq G_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$  with the condition that any two of the points  $x_2, \dots, x_n$  are distinct,
- [G 4]  $G_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = G_n(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ , for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$  and every permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,
- [G 5]  $G_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq G_n(x_1, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) + G_n(x_{n+1}, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} \in X$ .

Then the function  $G_n$  is called a *Generalized  $n$ -metric* on  $X$ , and the pair  $(X, G_n)$  a *Generalized  $n$ -metric space*.

From now on we always have  $n \geq 3$  for  $(X, G_n)$  to be a generalized  $n$ -metric space.

**Example 2.2.** Define a function  $\rho: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , ( $n \geq 3$ ) by

$$\rho(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \max\{|x_r - x_s| : r, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, r \neq s\}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ . Then  $(\mathbb{R}, \rho)$  is a generalized  $n$ -metric space.

**Example 2.3.** For any metric space  $(X, d)$ , the following functions define generalized  $n$ -metrics on  $X$ :

- (1)  $K_1^d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_r \sum_s d(x_r, x_s),$
- (2)  $K_2^d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \max\{d(x_r, x_s) : r, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, r \neq s\}.$

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $G_n : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, (n \geq 3)$  be a generalized  $n$ -metric defined on  $X$ , then for  $x, y \in X$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad G_n(x, y, y, \dots, y) \leq (n - 1)G_n(y, x, x, \dots, x).$$

*Proof.* Using [G 5] it is trivial to prove the result. □

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, G_n)$  be a generalized  $n$ -metric space, then for  $x_0 \in X, r > 0$ , the  $G_n$ -ball with centre  $x_0$  and radius  $r$  is

$$B_G(x_0, r) = \{y \in X : G_n(x_0, y, y, \dots, y) < r\}$$

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $(X, G_n)$  be a generalized  $n$ -metric space, then the  $G_n$ -ball is open in  $X$ .

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward. □

Hence the collection of all such balls in  $X$  is closed under arbitrary union and finite intersection and therefore induces a topology on  $X$  called the generalized  $n$ -metric topology  $\mathfrak{S}(G_n)$  generated by the generalized  $n$ -metric on  $X$ .

From example 2.3 it is clear that for a given metric we can always define generalized  $n$ -metrics. The converse is also true for if  $G_n$  is a generalized  $n$ -metric then we can define a metric  $d_G$  as follows

$$d_G(x, y) = G_n(x, y, y, \dots, y) + G_n(x, x, \dots, x, y).$$

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $B_{d_G}(x, r)$  denote the open ball in the metric space  $(X, d_G)$  and  $B_G(x, r)$  the  $G_n$ -ball in the corresponding generalized  $n$ -metric space  $(X, G_n)$ . Then we have

$$B_G\left(x, \frac{r}{n}\right) \subseteq B_{d_G}(x, r)$$

*Proof.* Let  $y \in B_G(x, \frac{r}{n})$  then  $G_n(x, y, y, \dots, y) < \frac{r}{n}$ . From (2.1) and [G 4] we have

$$G_n(x, x, \dots, x, y) \leq (n - 1)G_n(x, y, y, \dots, y) < (n - 1)r/n.$$

Therefore

$$d_G(x, y) = G_n(x, y, y, \dots, y) + G_n(x, x, \dots, x, y) < \frac{r}{n} + (n - 1)\frac{r}{n} = r.$$

Hence we have  $y \in B_{d_G}(x, r)$  and therefore  $B_G(x, \frac{r}{n}) \subseteq B_{d_G}(x, r)$  □

This indicates that the topology induced by the generalized  $n$ -metric on  $X$  coincides with the metric topology induced by the metric  $d_G$ . Thus every generalized  $n$ -metric space is topologically equivalent to a metric space.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $(X, G_n)$  be a generalized  $n$ -metric space. A sequence  $\langle x_m \rangle$  in  $X$  is said to be  $G_n$ -convergent if it converges to a point  $x$  in the generalized  $n$ -metric topology  $\mathfrak{S}(G_n)$  generated by the  $G_n$ -metric on  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $G_r: X^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , ( $r \geq 3$ ) be a generalized  $r$ -metric defined on  $X$ . Then for a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  in  $X$  and  $x \in X$  the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is  $G_r$ -convergent to  $x$ .*
- (2)  *$d_G(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*
- (3)  *$G_r(x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*
- (4)  *$G_r(x_n, x, \dots, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Since the topology induced by the  $G_r$ -metric on  $X$  coincides with the metric topology induced by the metric  $d_G$ , hence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). Now

$$(2.2) \quad d_G(x_n, x) = G_r(x_n, x, \dots, x) + G_r(x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n, x).$$

Hence  $G_r(x_n, x, \dots, x) \rightarrow 0$  and  $G_r(x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  whenever  $d_G(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ . Thus (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (2) $\Rightarrow$ (4). From (2.1) we have

$$(2.3) \quad G_r(x_n, x, \dots, x) \leq (r - 1)G_r(x, x_n, \dots, x_n).$$

Thus (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Similarly (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Also from (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$d_G(x_n, x) \leq rG_r(x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n, x).$$

Therefore (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). □

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $(X, G_n^X)$  and  $(Y, G_n^Y)$  be generalized  $n$ -metric spaces. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *Generalized  $n$ -continuous* at a point  $x \in X$  if  $f^{-1}(B_{G_n^Y}(f(x), r)) \in \mathfrak{S}(G_n^X)$ , for all  $r > 0$ . The function  $f$  is said to be *generalized  $n$ -continuous* if it is generalized  $n$ -continuous at all points of  $X$ .

Since every generalized  $n$ -metric space is topologically equivalent to a metric space, hence we have the following result:

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $(X, G_n^X)$  and  $(Y, G_n^Y)$  be generalized  $n$ -metric spaces. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *generalized  $n$ -continuous* at a point  $x \in X$  if and only if it is *generalized  $n$ -sequentially continuous* at  $x$ ; that is, whenever the sequence  $\langle x_m \rangle$  is  $G_n^X$ -convergent to  $x$ , the sequence  $\langle f(x_m) \rangle$  is  $G_n^Y$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ .*

**Proposition 2.12.** *Let  $(X, G_n)$  be a generalized  $n$ -metric space, then the function  $G_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is jointly continuous in the variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\langle x_{m_1} \rangle, \langle x_{m_2} \rangle, \dots, \langle x_{m_n} \rangle$  be the sequences in the generalized  $n$ -metric space  $(X, G_n)$  such that  $x_{m_1} \rightarrow x_1, x_{m_2} \rightarrow x_2, \dots, x_{m_n} \rightarrow x_n$ . Then by [G 4] and [G 5] we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, \dots, x_{m_n}) - G_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &\leq G_n(x_{m_1}, x_1, \dots, x_1) \\ &\quad + G_n(x_{m_2}, x_2, \dots, x_2) \\ &\quad + \dots + G_n(x_{m_n}, x_n, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - G_n(x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, \dots, x_{m_n}) &\leq G_n(x_1, x_{m_1}, \dots, x_{m_1}) \\ &\quad + G_n(x_2, x_{m_2}, \dots, x_{m_2}) \\ &\quad + \dots + G_n(x_n, x_{m_n}, \dots, x_{m_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore on using (2.1) we have

$$|G_n(x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, \dots, x_{m_n}) - G_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq (n - 1)\{G_n(x_1, x_{m_1}, \dots, x_{m_1}) + G_n(x_2, x_{m_2}, \dots, x_{m_2}) + \dots + G_n(x_n, x_{m_n}, \dots, x_{m_n})\}.$$

Making  $m_1 \rightarrow \infty, m_2 \rightarrow \infty, \dots, m_n \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$G_n(x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, \dots, x_{m_n}) \rightarrow G_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

Hence the result follows. □

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $(X, G_m)$  be a generalized  $m$ -metric space. A sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  in  $X$  is said to be  $G_m$ -Cauchy if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$G_m(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots, x_{n_m}) < \epsilon \text{ for all } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m \geq N.$$

**Proposition 2.14.** Let  $(X, G_m)$  be a generalized  $m$ -metric space. A sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  in  $X$  is  $G_m$ -Cauchy if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad G_m(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots, x_{n_2}) < \epsilon \text{ for all } n_1, n_2 \geq N.$$

*Proof.* If  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is  $G_m$ -Cauchy then the result follows from definition 2.13. Conversely suppose that the condition (2.4) holds for a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  in  $X$ . Then for  $n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq N$  we have from [G 5]

$$G_m(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, x_{n_3}, \dots, x_{n_3}) \leq G_m(x_{n_1}, x_{n_3}, \dots, x_{n_3}) + G_m(x_{n_3}, x_{n_2}, x_{n_3}, \dots, x_{n_3}) < \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon.$$

Continuing the above argument, for  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m \geq N$  we have

$$G_m(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots, x_{n_m}) < (m - 1)\epsilon.$$

i.e.  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is  $G_m$ -Cauchy. □

**Proposition 2.15.** Every  $G_n$ -convergent sequence in a generalized  $n$ -metric space is  $G_n$ -Cauchy.

*Proof.* The result follows from proposition 2.9 and (2.4). □

**Definition 2.16.** A generalized  $n$ -metric space  $(X, G_n)$  is said to be  $G_n$ -complete if every  $G_n$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G_n)$  is  $G_n$ -convergent in  $(X, G_n)$ .

**Theorem 2.17.** Let  $G_r: X^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, (r \geq 3)$  be a generalized  $r$ -metric and  $(X, G_r)$  be a  $G_r$ -complete generalized  $r$ -metric space. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self mappings on  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (2)  $g$  is continuous,
- (3)  $G_r(f\xi_1, f\xi_2, \dots, f\xi_r) \leq q G_r(g\xi_1, g\xi_2, \dots, g\xi_r)$  for every  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r \in X$  and  $0 < q < 1$

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$  provided  $f$  and  $g$  commute.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $X$ . Since  $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$  hence there exists a point  $x_1$  such that  $fx_0 = gx_1$ . In general we can choose  $x_{n+1}$  such that  $y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}$ . Using (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_r(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, \dots, fx_{n+1}) &\leq q G_r(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+1}) \\ &= q G_r(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, \dots, fx_n) \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding in above manner we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_r(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, \dots, fx_{n+1}) &\leq q^n G_r(fx_0, fx_1, \dots, fx_1) \\ \Rightarrow G_r(y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}) &\leq q^n G_r(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_1). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the sequence  $\langle y_n \rangle$  in  $X$  is  $G_r$ -Cauchy in  $X$ . For all natural numbers  $n$  and  $m (> n)$  we have from [G 5]

$$\begin{aligned} G_r(y_n, y_m, \dots, y_m) &\leq G_r(y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}) + G_r(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \dots, y_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \dots + G_r(y_{m-1}, y_m, \dots, y_m) \\ &\leq (q^n + q^{n+1} + \dots + q^{m-1}) G_r(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_1) \\ &\leq (q^n + q^{n+1} + \dots) G_r(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_1) \\ &= \frac{q^n}{1-q} G_r(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the sequence  $\langle y_n \rangle$  is a  $G_r$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . By completeness of  $(X, G_r)$ , there exists a point  $u \in X$  such that  $\langle y_n \rangle$  is  $G_r$ -convergent to  $u$ . Since  $y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}$  hence we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = u$ . Now  $g$  is continuous hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = gu.$$

Also  $f$  and  $g$  commute, therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggx_n = gu.$$

Taking  $\xi_1 = gx_n, \xi_k = x_n (2 \leq k \leq r)$  in (3) we have

$$G_r(fgx_n, fx_n, \dots, fx_n) \leq q G_r(ggx_n, gx_n, \dots, gx_n).$$

Making  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$G_r(gu, u, \dots, u) \leq q G_r(gu, u, \dots, u).$$

Which gives  $gu = u$ . For otherwise  $q \geq 1$  contradicting the fact that  $0 < q < 1$ . Now by taking  $\xi_1 = x_n, \xi_k = u (2 \leq k \leq r)$  in (3) we have

$$G_r(fx_n, fu, \dots, fu) \leq q G_r(gx_n, gu, \dots, gu).$$

Making  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $fu = u$ . Therefore we have  $fu = gu = u$ , i.e.  $u$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

For uniqueness of  $u$ , suppose that  $v \neq u$  is such that  $fv = gu = v$ . Then we have  $G_r(u, v, \dots, v) > 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} G_r(u, v, \dots, v) &= G_r(fu, fv, \dots, fv) \leq q G_r(gu, gv, \dots, gv) = q G_r(u, v, \dots, v) \\ &< G_r(u, v, \dots, v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get a contradiction, hence we have  $u = v$ . □

**Theorem 2.18.** *Let  $G_r: X^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, (r \geq 3)$  be a generalized  $r$ -metric and  $(X, G_r)$  be a  $G_r$ -complete generalized  $r$ -metric space. Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping which satisfies the following condition for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \in X$*

$$G_r(fx_1, fx_2, \dots, fx_r) \leq k \max\{G_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r), G_r(x_1, fx_1, \dots, fx_1), \dots, G_r(x_r, fx_r, \dots, fx_r), G_r(x_1, fx_2, \dots, fx_2), G_r(x_2, fx_3, \dots, fx_3), \dots, G_r(x_r, fx_1, \dots, fx_1)\}.$$

Where  $0 \leq k < 1/2$ . Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point (say  $u$ ) and  $f$  is generalized  $r$ -continuous at  $u$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfying the given condition. Let  $y_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point. Define a sequence  $\langle y_n \rangle$  by the relation  $y_n = f^n y_0$ , then by the given condition we have

$$G_r(fy_{n-1}, fy_n, \dots, fy_n) \leq k \max\{G_r(y_{n-1}, y_n, \dots, y_n), G_r(y_{n-1}, fy_{n-1}, \dots, fy_{n-1}), \dots, G_r(y_n, fy_n, \dots, fy_n), G_r(y_{n-1}, fy_n, \dots, fy_n), G_r(y_n, fy_n, \dots, fy_n), \dots, G_r(y_n, fy_{n-1}, \dots, fy_{n-1})\}$$

which gives

$$(2.5) \quad G_r(y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}) \leq k \max\{G_r(y_{n-1}, y_n, \dots, y_n), G_r(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1})\}.$$

By [G 5] we have

$$G_r(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}) \leq G_r(y_{n-1}, y_n, \dots, y_n) + G_r(y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}).$$

Hence from (2.5) we have

$$G_r(y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}) \leq k \max\{G_r(y_{n-1}, y_n, \dots, y_n), G_r(y_{n-1}, y_n, \dots, y_n) + G_r(y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1})\}.$$

Thus

$$G_r(y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}) \leq k \{G_r(y_{n-1}, y_n, \dots, y_n) + G_r(y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1})\}.$$

Which gives

$$(2.6) \quad G_r(y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} G_r(y_{n-1}, y_n, \dots, y_n).$$

Let  $q = \frac{k}{1-k}$ , then  $q < 1$  since  $0 \leq k < 1/2$  and by repeated application of (2.6) we have

$$(2.7) \quad G_r(y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}) \leq q^n G_r(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_1).$$

For all natural numbers  $n$  and  $m (> n)$  we have by repeated use of [G 5] and (2.7) that

$$G_r(y_n, y_m, \dots, y_m) \leq \frac{q^n}{1-q} G_r(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus the sequence  $\langle y_n \rangle$  is a  $G_r$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . By completeness of  $(X, G_r)$ , there exists a point  $u \in X$  such that  $\langle y_n \rangle$  is  $G_r$ -convergent to  $u$ . Suppose that  $fu \neq u$ , then

$$G_r(y_n, fu, \dots, fu) \leq k \max\{G_r(y_{n-1}, u, \dots, u), G_r(y_{n-1}, y_n, \dots, y_n), \dots, \\ G_r(u, fu, \dots, fu), G_r(y_{n-1}, fu, \dots, fu), \\ G_r(u, fu, \dots, fu), \dots, G_r(u, y_n, \dots, y_n)\}$$

or

$$G_r(y_n, fu, \dots, fu) \leq k \max\{G_r(y_{n-1}, u, \dots, u), G_r(y_{n-1}, y_n, \dots, y_n), \\ G_r(u, fu, \dots, fu), G_r(y_{n-1}, fu, \dots, fu), \\ G_r(u, y_n, \dots, y_n)\}.$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and using the fact that the function  $G_r$  is continuous on its variables, we have  $G_r(u, fu, \dots, fu) \leq k G_r(u, fu, \dots, fu)$ , which is a contradiction, since  $0 \leq k < 1/2$ . So we have  $u = fu$ .

For uniqueness of  $u$ , suppose that  $v \neq u$  is such that  $fv = v$ , then we have

$$G_r(u, v, \dots, v) = G_r(fu, fv, \dots, fv) \leq k \max\{G_r(u, v, \dots, v), G_r(v, fu, \dots, fu)\}$$

or

$$G_r(u, v, \dots, v) \leq k \max\{G_r(u, v, \dots, v), G_r(v, u, \dots, u)\}.$$

So, it must be the case that  $G_r(u, v, \dots, v) \leq k G_r(v, u, \dots, u)$ .

Again by the same argument we find that  $G_r(v, u, \dots, u) \leq k G_r(u, v, \dots, v)$ . Thus we have  $G_r(u, v, \dots, v) \leq k^2 G_r(u, v, \dots, v)$ . Which implies that  $u = v$ , since  $0 \leq k < 1/2$ .

Now to prove that  $f$  is generalized  $r$ -continuous at  $u$ , let  $\langle y_n \rangle$  be any sequence in  $X$  such that it is  $G_r$ -convergent to  $u$ , then

$$G_r(fy_n, fu, \dots, fu) \leq k \max\{G_r(y_n, u, \dots, u), G_r(y_n, fy_n, \dots, fy_n), \dots, \\ G_r(u, fu, \dots, fu), G_r(y_n, fu, \dots, fu), \dots, \\ G_r(u, fu, \dots, fu), G_r(u, fy_n, \dots, fy_n)\}$$

or

$$G_r(fy_n, u, \dots, u) \leq k \max\{G_r(y_n, u, \dots, u), G_r(y_n, fy_n, \dots, fy_n), \\ G_r(u, fy_n, \dots, fy_n)\}.$$

By [G 5] we have

$$G_r(y_n, fy_n, \dots, fy_n) \leq G_r(y_n, u, \dots, u) + G_r(u, fy_n, \dots, fy_n).$$

Thus we deduce that

$$G_r(fy_n, u, \dots, u) \leq k \{G_r(y_n, u, \dots, u) + G_r(u, fy_n, \dots, fy_n)\}.$$

Using proposition 2.4 we have

$$G_r(fy_n, u, \dots, u) \leq k \{G_r(y_n, u, \dots, u) + (r-1) G_r(fy_n, u, \dots, u)\}$$

or

$$G_r(fy_n, u, \dots, u) \leq \frac{k}{1 - (r-1)k} G_r(y_n, u, \dots, u).$$



Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that  $G_r(fy_n, u, \dots, u) \rightarrow 0$  and so by proposition 2.9 the sequence  $\langle fy_n \rangle$  is  $G_r$ -convergent to  $u = fu$ . Therefore proposition 2.11 implies that  $f$  is generalized  $r$ -continuous at  $u$ . □

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions on this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas, W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, *Coupled fixed point of generalized contractive mappings on partially ordered  $G$ -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl.2012, (2012) Article ID:31.
- [2] B. C. Dhage, *A study of some fixed point theorem*, Ph.D. Thesis, Marathwada Univ. Aurangabad, 1984.
- [3] S. Gähler, *2-metrische räume und ihre topologische struktur*, Math. Nachr. **26** (1963), 115–148.
- [4] S. Gähler, *Zur geometrische 2-metrische räume*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. **11** (1966), 664–669.
- [5] K. S. Ha, Y. J. Cho and A. White, *Strictly convex and 2-convex 2-normed spaces*, Math. Japonica **33** (1988), 375–384.
- [6] K. A. Khan, *On the possibility of  $N$ -topological spaces*, Int. J. Math. Arc. **3** (2012), 2520–2523.
- [7] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *Some remarks concerning  $D$ -metric spaces*, in Proceedings of the International Conferences on Fixed Point Theory and Applications J. G. Falset, E. L. Fuster, B. Sims (eds), Valencia (Spain), July 2003, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2004, pp. 189–198.
- [8] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **7** (2006), 289–297.
- [9] A. K. Sharma, *A note on fixed points in 2-metric spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **11** (1980), 1580–1583.

*Manuscript received May 3, 2013*

*revised May 8, 2013*

K. A. KHAN

Department of Mathematics, V. R. A. L. Govt. Girls P. G. College, Bareilly (U.P.)-INDIA

*E-mail address:* kamran12341@yahoo.com