



HOMOTOPY PRINCIPLES FOR d -ESSENTIAL ACYCLIC MAPS

DONAL O'REGAN

ABSTRACT. This paper discusses acyclic maps and we present a definition of a d -essential map in this setting. Continuation theorems are presented for this type of map.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of an essential map was introduced by Granas [3] and he showed for single valued maps that if a map F is essential and $F \cong G$ then G is essential. This notion was extended to d -essential maps by Precup [6]. In this paper we discuss d -essential maps for a very large class of maps, namely the class of acyclic maps.

Let X and Z be subsets of Hausdorff topological spaces. We will consider maps $F : X \rightarrow K(Z)$; here $K(Z)$ denotes the family of nonempty compact subsets of Z . A nonempty topological space is said to be acyclic if all its reduced Čech homology groups over the rationals are trivial. Now $F : X \rightarrow K(Z)$ is acyclic if F is upper semicontinuous with acyclic values.

2. d -ESSENTIAL MAPS

Let E be a normed linear space and U an open subset of E .

Definition 2.1. We say $F \in AC(\overline{U}, E)$ if $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(E)$ is an acyclic compact map; here \overline{U} denotes the closure of U in E .

Definition 2.2. We say $F \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ if $F \in AC(\overline{U}, E)$ with $x \notin F(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$; here ∂U denotes the boundary of U in E .

For any map $F \in AC(\overline{U}, E)$ let $F^* = I \times F : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times E)$, with $I : \overline{U} \rightarrow \overline{U}$ given by $I(x) = x$, and let

$$(2.1) \quad d : \left\{ (F^*)^{-1}(B) \right\} \cup \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \Omega$$

be any map with values in the nonempty set Ω ; here $B = \{(x, x) : x \in \overline{U}\}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $F \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ with $F^* = I \times F$. We say $F^* : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times E)$ is d -essential if for every map $J \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ with $J^* = I \times J$ and $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ we have that $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$.

Remark 2.4. If F^* is d -essential then

$$\emptyset \neq (F^*)^{-1}(B) = \{x \in \overline{U} : (x, F(x)) \cap (x, x) \neq \emptyset\},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 47H11 .

Key words and phrases. Continuation methods, d -essential, fixed points.

and this together with $x \notin F(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$ implies that there exists $x \in U$ with $(x, x) \in F^*(x)$ (i.e. $x \in F(x)$).

Theorem 2.5. *Let E be a normed linear space, U an open subset of E , $B = \{(x, x) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ and d be the map defined in (2.1). Suppose $G \in AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$, $H : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ is an upper semicontinuous compact map, $H_t : \bar{U} \rightarrow K(E)$ has acyclic values for each $t \in [0, 1]$ (here $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$) and assume the following hold:*

$$(2.2) \quad H(x, 0) = G(x) \text{ for } x \in \bar{U}$$

$$(2.3) \quad G^* = I \times G : \bar{U} \rightarrow K(\bar{U} \times E) \text{ is } d\text{-essential}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad x \notin H(x, t) \text{ for } x \in \partial U \text{ and } t \in (0, 1].$$

Let $F(x) = H(x, 1)$ for $x \in \bar{U}$ and $F^* = I \times F$. Then

$$d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset).$$

Proof. Let $H^* : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\bar{U} \times E)$ be given by

$$H^*(x, \lambda) = (x, H(x, \lambda)).$$

Consider

$$D = \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, x) \in H^*(x, t) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Notice $D \neq \emptyset$ since for $t = 0$, $H^*(x, 0) = G^*(x)$ and G^* is d -essential (i.e. in particular there exists $x \in U$ with $(x, x) \in (x, G(x)) = H^*(x, 0)$). Also D is closed in E . To see this let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq D$ with $x_n \rightarrow x \in \bar{U}$. Now there exists $t_n \in (0, 1]$ with

$$x_n \in H(x_n, t_n) \text{ for each } n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Without loss of generality assume $t_n \rightarrow t \in [0, 1]$ so $(x_n, t_n) \rightarrow (x, t)$. Now since $H : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ is an upper semicontinuous map we have $x \in H(x, t)$. As a result $(x, x) \in H^*(x, t)$, so D is closed. Next notice (2.4), with $G \in AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$, guarantees that $D \cap \partial U = \emptyset$. Thus there exists a continuous map $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\mu(\partial U) = 0$ and $\mu(D) = 1$. Define a map $R_\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow K(E)$ by $R_\mu(x) = H(x, \mu(x)) = H_{\mu(x)}(x) = H \circ \tau(x)$ and let $R_\mu^* = I \times R_\mu$; here $\tau : \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{U} \times [0, 1]$ is given by $\tau(x) = (x, \mu(x))$. Notice $R_\mu \in AC(\bar{U}, E)$ (note $H : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ is an upper semicontinuous compact map and $H_t : \bar{U} \rightarrow K(E)$ has acyclic values for each $t \in [0, 1]$) and notice $R_\mu|_{\partial U} = G|_{\partial U}$ since $\mu(\partial U) = 0$. Thus $R_\mu \in AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ with $R_\mu|_{\partial U} = G|_{\partial U}$ and since G^* is d -essential we have

$$(2.5) \quad d\left((R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset).$$

Also notice since $\mu(D) = 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B) &= \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, x) \cap (x, H(x, \mu(x))) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, x) \cap (x, H(x, 1)) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= (F^*)^{-1}(B). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.5) yields

$$d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset).$$

□

Remark 2.6. From the proof we see in Theorem 2.5 that we can replace E being a normed linear space with E being a normal topological space. Also the map H being compact could be replaced by H condensing or indeed H satisfying other compactness type conditions (see [1]).

Remark 2.7. We remark here that acyclic maps are a special case of maps presented in [4, 5] so the proof presented in Theorem 2.5 is the same as that in [4]. For completeness we include the proof here. Our goal in this paper is to establish a result so that the map F^* (in Theorem 2.5) is d -essential. Unfortunately the ideas in [4] do not work for acyclic maps so new ideas are needed here (see Theorem 2.9).

Remark 2.8. If we discuss the existence of fixed points the function d is

$$d(Q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \emptyset \neq Q \subseteq \overline{U} \\ 0 & \text{if } Q = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

whereas if we discuss degree theory the values of d are usually integers which can be obtained by means of degree. Recall [2] a map $F \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ is essential in $AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ if for any map $J \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ with $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ we have that there exists a $x \in U$ with $x \in F(x)$. Notice a map $F \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ is essential in $AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ implies that $F^* = I \times F$ is d_1 -essential where

$$d_1(Q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \emptyset \neq Q \subseteq \overline{U} \\ 0 & \text{if } Q = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

To see this suppose $F \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ is essential in $AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$. Then for any $J \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ with $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ there exists $x \in U$ with $x \in J(x)$. Thus $(x, x) \in (x, J(x)) \equiv J^*(x)$ and so $(J^*)^{-1}(B) \neq \emptyset$ (in particular $(F^*)^{-1}(B) \neq \emptyset$). Hence $d_1\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = 1$ and $d_1\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = 1$ so $d_1\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d_1\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d_1(\emptyset)$.

We now present a result which guarantees that F^* in Theorem 2.5 is d -essential.

Theorem 2.9. *Let E be an infinite dimensional normed linear space, U an open convex subset of E with $0 \in U$, $B = \{(x, x) : x \in \overline{U}\}$ and d be the map defined in (2.1). Suppose $F \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$, $G \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ with $G|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$. In addition assume*

$$(2.6) \quad G^* = I \times G : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times E) \text{ is } d\text{-essential.}$$

Let $F^ = I \times F$. Then $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ and $F^* : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times E)$ is d -essential.*

Proof. We know [2] there exists a continuous retraction $r : \overline{U} \rightarrow \partial U$. Let

$$H(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} G(2\lambda r(x) + (1 - 2\lambda)x) = G \circ j(x, \lambda) & \text{for } (x, \lambda) \in \overline{U} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ F((2 - 2\lambda)r(x) + (2\lambda - 1)x) = F \circ k(x, \lambda) & \text{for } (x, \lambda) \in \overline{U} \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

where $j : \bar{U} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \bar{U}$ is given by $j(x, \lambda) = 2\lambda r(x) + (1 - 2\lambda)x$ and $k : \bar{U} \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \rightarrow \bar{U}$ is given by $k(x, \lambda) = (2 - 2\lambda)r(x) + (2\lambda - 1)x$. Note for $x \in \bar{U}$ that $G \circ j(x, \frac{1}{2}) = G(r(x))$ and $F \circ k(x, \frac{1}{2}) = F(r(x)) = G(r(x)) = G \circ j(x, \frac{1}{2})$ since $G|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$. Note $H : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ is an upper semicontinuous compact map and $H_t : \bar{U} \rightarrow K(E)$ has acyclic values for each $t \in [0, 1]$ (here $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$). Also notice $x \notin H(x, t)$ for $x \in \partial U$ and $t \in (0, 1]$ since if there exists a $x \in \partial U$ and without loss of generality a $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ with $x \in H(x, \lambda)$ then since $r(x) = x$ we have $x \in G(2\lambda x + (1 - 2\lambda)x) = G(x)$, a contradiction. Now Theorem 2.5 guarantees that

$$(2.7) \quad d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset).$$

Next we show $F^* : \bar{U} \rightarrow K(\bar{U} \times E)$ is d -essential. Let $J \in AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ be any map with $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$. We must show if $J^* = I \times J$ then

$$(2.8) \quad d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset).$$

Let

$$Q(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} G(2\lambda r(x) + (1 - 2\lambda)x) = G \circ j(x, \lambda) & \text{for } (x, \lambda) \in \bar{U} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ J((2 - 2\lambda)r(x) + (2\lambda - 1)x) = J \circ k(x, \lambda) & \text{for } (x, \lambda) \in \bar{U} \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Note for $x \in \bar{U}$ that $G \circ j(x, \frac{1}{2}) = G(r(x))$ and $J \circ k(x, \frac{1}{2}) = J(r(x)) = F(r(x)) = G(r(x)) = G \circ j(x, \frac{1}{2})$ since $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U} = G|_{\partial U}$.

Let $Q^* : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\bar{U} \times E)$ be given by

$$Q^*(x, \lambda) = (x, Q(x, \lambda))$$

and consider

$$D = \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, x) \in Q^*(x, t) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

The same reasoning as in Theorem 2.5 guarantees that $D \neq \emptyset$ is closed. Suppose there exists $x \in D$ with $x \in \partial U$. Then $x \in Q(x, \lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Suppose $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then since $x \in \partial U$ we have $r(x) = x$ so $x \in G(2\lambda x + (1 - 2\lambda)x) = G(x)$, a contradiction. Next suppose $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Then $x \in J((2 - 2\lambda)r(x) + (2\lambda - 1)x) = J(x) = F(x)$ since $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$, a contradiction. Thus $D \cap \partial U = \emptyset$. Thus there exists a continuous map $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\mu(\partial U) = 0$ and $\mu(D) = 1$. Define a map $\Phi_\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow K(E)$ by $\Phi_\mu(x) = Q(x, \mu(x)) = Q_{\mu(x)}(x) = Q \circ \tau(x)$ and let $\Phi_\mu^* = I \times \Phi_\mu$; here $\tau : \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{U} \times [0, 1]$ is given by $\tau(x) = (x, \mu(x))$. Notice $\Phi_\mu \in AC(\bar{U}, E)$ with $\Phi_\mu|_{\partial U} = G|_{\partial U}$ since $\mu(\partial U) = 0$. Since G^* is d -essential we have

$$(2.9) \quad d\left((\Phi_\mu^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset).$$

However notice since $\mu(D) = 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_\mu^*)^{-1}(B) &= \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, x) \cap (x, Q(x, \mu(x))) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, x) \cap (x, Q(x, 1)) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= (J^*)^{-1}(B). \end{aligned}$$

and so with (2.9) we have

$$(2.10) \quad d\left((J^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset).$$

Combine (2.7) and (2.10) and we have

$$d\left((F^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((J^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset).$$

□

For completeness we present a more general formulation for new d -essential maps (a bigger class than in Definition 2.3). Let E be a normed linear space and U an open subset of E .

Definition 2.10. Let $F, G \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$. We say $F \cong G$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ if there exists a upper semicontinuous compact map $\Psi : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ with $\Psi_t \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$, $\Psi_0 = F$ and $\Psi_1 = G$ (here $\Psi_t(x) = \Psi(x, t)$).

Remark 2.11. It is easy to see that \cong is an equivalence relation in $AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$.

Definition 2.12. Let $F \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ with $F^\star = I \times F$. We say $F^\star : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times E)$ is d -essential if for every map $J \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ with $J^\star = I \times J$ and $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ and $J \cong F$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ we have that $d\left((F^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((J^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$. Otherwise F^\star is d -inessential. It is easy to check that this means either $d\left((F^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ or there exists a map $J \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ with $J^\star = I \times J$ and $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ and $J \cong F$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ such that $d\left((F^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((J^\star)^{-1}(B)\right)$.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2.13. Let E be a normed linear space, U an open subset of E , $B = \{(x, x) : x \in \overline{U}\}$ and d be the map defined in (2.1). Suppose $F \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i). $F^\star = I \times F : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times E)$ is d -inessential;
- (ii). $d\left((F^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ or there exists a map $G \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ with $G^\star = I \times G$ and $G \cong F$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ such that $d\left((F^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((G^\star)^{-1}(B)\right)$.

Proof. (i) implies (ii) is immediate. Next we prove (ii) implies (i). If $d\left((F^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ then trivially (i) is true. Next suppose there exists a map $G \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ with $G^\star = I \times G$ and $G \cong F$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ such that $d\left((F^\star)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((G^\star)^{-1}(B)\right)$. Let $H : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ be a upper semicontinuous compact map with $H_t \in AC_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$, $H_0 = F$ and $H_1 = G$ (here $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$). Let $H^\star : \overline{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(\overline{U} \times E)$ be given by

$$H^\star(x, \lambda) = (x, H(x, \lambda)).$$

Consider

$$D = \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, x) \in H^*(x, t) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

If $D = \emptyset$ then in particular $(H^*(x, 0))^{-1}(B) = \emptyset$ i.e. $(F^*)^{-1}(B) = \emptyset$ so $d((F^*)^{-1}(B)) = d(\emptyset)$, so F^* is d -inessential. Next suppose $D \neq \emptyset$. Note D is closed in E . Also since $x \notin H_t(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ then $D \cap \partial U = \emptyset$. Thus there exists a continuous map $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\mu(\partial U) = 0$ and $\mu(D) = 1$. Define a map $R_\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow K(E)$ by $R_\mu(x) = H(x, \mu(x))$ and let $R_\mu^* = I \times R_\mu$. As in Theorem 2.5 note $R_\mu \in AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ with $R_\mu|_{\partial U} = H_0|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ since $\mu(\partial U) = 0$. Note also (see Theorem 2.5) since $\mu(D) = 1$ that $(R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B) = (G^*)^{-1}(B)$ so $d((R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B)) = d((G^*)^{-1}(B))$. Thus $d((F^*)^{-1}(B)) \neq d((R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B))$.

We now claim

$$(2.11) \quad R_\mu \cong F \text{ in } AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E).$$

Let $Q : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ be given by $Q(x, t) = H(x, t\mu(x))$. Now $Q_0 = F$, $Q_1 = R_\mu$, $Q : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K(E)$ is an upper semicontinuous compact map with $Q_t \in AC(\bar{U}, E)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Also $x \notin Q_t(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ since if there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in \partial U$ with $x \in Q_t(x)$ then $x \in H(x, t\mu(x))$ so $x \in D$ and as a result $\mu(x) = 1$ i.e. $x \in H(x, t)$, a contradiction. Thus (2.11) holds.

Consequently F^* is d -inessential (take $J = R_\mu$ in the definition of d -inessential). \square

Now Theorem 2.13 immediately yields the following continuation theorem.

Theorem 2.14. *Let E be a normed linear space, U an open subset of E , $B = \{(x, x) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ and d be the map defined in (2.1). Suppose Φ and Ψ are two maps in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ with $\Phi^* = I \times \Phi$ and $\Psi^* = I \times \Psi$ and with $\Phi \cong \Psi$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$. The Φ^* is d -inessential if and only if Ψ^* is d -inessential.*

Proof. Assume Φ^* is d -inessential. Then (see Theorem 2.13) either $d((\Phi^*)^{-1}(B)) = d(\emptyset)$ or there exists a map $Q \in AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ with $Q^* = I \times Q$ and $Q \cong \Phi$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ such that $d((\Phi^*)^{-1}(B)) \neq d((Q^*)^{-1}(B))$.

Suppose first that $d((\Phi^*)^{-1}(B)) = d(\emptyset)$. There are two cases to consider, either $d((\Psi^*)^{-1}(B)) \neq d(\emptyset)$ or $d((\Psi^*)^{-1}(B)) = d(\emptyset)$.

Case (1). Suppose $d((\Psi^*)^{-1}(B)) \neq d(\emptyset)$.

Then $d((\Phi^*)^{-1}(B)) \neq d((\Psi^*)^{-1}(B))$ and we know $\Phi \cong \Psi$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$. Now Theorem 2.13 (with $F = \Psi$ and $G = \Phi$) guarantees that Ψ^* is d -inessential.

Case (2). Suppose $d((\Psi^*)^{-1}(B)) = d(\emptyset)$.

Then by definition Ψ^* is d -inessential.

Next suppose there exists a map $Q \in AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ with $Q^* = I \times Q$ and $Q \cong \Phi$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ such that $d\left((\Phi^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((Q^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$. Note (since \cong is an equivalence relation in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$) also that $Q \cong \Psi$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$. There are two cases to consider, either $d\left((Q^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((\Psi^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ or $d\left((Q^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((\Psi^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$.

Case (1). Suppose $d\left((Q^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((\Psi^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$.

Then Theorem 2.13 (with $F = \Psi$ and $G = Q$) guarantees that Ψ^* is d -inessential.

Case (2). Suppose $d\left((Q^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((\Psi^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$.

Then $d\left((\Phi^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((\Psi^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ and we know $\Phi \cong \Psi$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$. Now Theorem 2.13 (with $F = \Psi$ and $G = \Phi$) guarantees that Ψ^* is d -inessential.

Thus in all cases Ψ^* is d -inessential.

Similarly if Ψ^* is d -inessential then Φ^* is d -inessential. \square

Remark 2.15. An obvious question is the condition $F \cong J$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ automatically satisfied in Definition 2.12 i.e. if F and J are in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ with $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$ is $F \cong J$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$? The argument in Theorem 2.9 provides a partial answer. Let E be a infinite dimensional normed linear space and U an open convex subset of E with $0 \in U$. Let F, J be in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ with $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$. We know there exists a continuous retraction $r : \bar{U} \rightarrow \partial U$. Let the map F^* be given by $F^*(x) = F(r(x))$ for $x \in \bar{U}$. Of course $F^*(x) = J(r(x))$ for $x \in \bar{U}$ since $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$. With

$$H(x, \lambda) = J(2\lambda r(x) + (1 - 2\lambda)x) = J \circ j(x, \lambda) \quad \text{for } (x, \lambda) \in \bar{U} \times \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$$

(here $j : \bar{U} \times [0, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \bar{U}$ is given by $j(x, \lambda) = 2\lambda r(x) + (1 - 2\lambda)x$) it is easy to see that

$$J \cong F^* \quad \text{in } AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E);$$

notice if there exists $x \in \partial U$ and $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ with $x \in H_\lambda(x)$ then since $r(x) = x$ we have $x \in J(2\lambda x + (1 - 2\lambda)x) = J(x)$, a contradiction. Similarly with

$$Q(x, \lambda) = F((2 - 2\lambda)r(x) + (2\lambda - 1)x) \quad \text{for } (x, \lambda) \in \bar{U} \times \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$$

it is easy to see that

$$F^* \cong F \quad \text{in } AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E).$$

Combining gives $J \cong F$ in $AC_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$. For a certain subclass of the acyclic maps we can obtain a more complete answer. We say $F \in K(\bar{U}, E)$ if $F : \bar{U} \rightarrow CK(E)$ is a upper continuous compact map; here $CK(E)$ denotes the family of nonempty, convex, compact subsets of E . We can also write the analogue of $K_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$, essential in $K_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ and \cong in $K_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$. Let E be a normed linear space and U an open subset of E . If the maps F and J are in $K_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ and $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$

then it is easy to see that

$$\Psi(x, t) = tF(x) + (1 - t)J(x)$$

guarantees that $F \cong J$ in $K_{\partial U}(\overline{U}, E)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, *Homotopy and Leray-Schauder principles for multi maps*, Nonlinear Anal. Forum **7** (2002), 103–111.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, *A note on the topological transversality theorem for acyclic maps*, Appl. Math. Lett. **18** (2005), 17–22.
- [3] A. Granas, *Sur la méthode de continuité de Poincare*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **282** (1976), 983–985.
- [4] D. O'Regan, *Continuation principles and d-essential maps*, Math. Comput. Modelling **30** (1999), 1–6.
- [5] D. O'Regan, *Continuation theorems for acyclic maps in topological spaces*, Commun. Appl. Anal. **13** (2009), 39–45.
- [6] R. Precup, *On the topological transversality principle*, Nonlinear Anal. **20** (1993), 1–9.

Manuscript received October 6, 2011

DONAL O'REGAN

School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

E-mail address: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie