

## STRONG CONVERGENCE OF THE METHOD OF ALTERNATING RESOLVENTS

OGANEDITSE A. BOIKANYO AND GHEORGHE MOROȘANU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we present a generalization of the method of alternating resolvents introduced in the previous authors' paper [On the method of alternating resolvents, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011), 5147-5160]. It is shown that the sequence generated by this method converges strongly under weaker conditions on the control parameters. Concerning the error sequences, many more conditions are used here as compared to the above quoted paper.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be nonempty, closed and convex sets in a real Hilbert space  $H$  with nonempty intersection, and consider the convex feasibility problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \text{find an } x \in K_1 \cap K_2.$$

One of the interesting topics in convex optimization and nonlinear analysis is to solve problem (1.1) iteratively. In 1933, von Neumann showed that when  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are vector subspaces of  $H$ , the sequence of alternating projections

$$H \ni x_0 \mapsto x_1 = P_{K_1}x_0 \mapsto x_2 = P_{K_2}x_1 \mapsto x_3 = P_{K_1}x_2 \mapsto x_4 = P_{K_2}x_3 \mapsto \cdots,$$

converges strongly to the point in  $K_1 \cap K_2$  which is the nearest to the starting point  $x_0$ . For proofs of this result, see, e.g., [9, 10] and the references therein. In the case when  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are arbitrary, closed and convex sets with nonempty intersection, Bregman [5] proved that the sequence  $(x_n)$  generated by the method of alternating projections converges weakly to a point in  $K_1 \cap K_2$ . Recently, Hundal [8] constructed an example in  $\ell^2$  showing that there is a hyperplane  $K_1$  and a cone  $K_2$  with  $K_1 \cap K_2 = \{0\}$  such that given any starting  $x_0 \in \ell^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , the sequence of alternating projections  $(x_n)$  converges weakly to zero, but not strongly; see also Matoušková and Reich [12].

A generalization of the method of alternating projections is the so called method of alternating resolvents

$$H \ni x_0 \mapsto x_1 = J_\lambda^A x_0 \mapsto x_2 = J_\lambda^B x_1 \mapsto x_3 = J_\lambda^A x_2 \mapsto x_4 = J_\lambda^B x_3 \mapsto \cdots,$$

for  $\lambda > 0$ , where  $J_\lambda^A := (I + \lambda A)^{-1}$  is the resolvent of a maximal monotone  $A$  (which is the projection operator  $P_{K_1}$  if  $A$  is the normal cone to  $K_1$ ), while  $J_\lambda^B := (I + \lambda B)^{-1}$  for another maximal monotone operator  $B$ . Bauschke et al. [1] showed that the sequence generated from this method converges weakly to a point of  $\text{Fix } J_\lambda^A J_\lambda^B$  - the

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47J25, 47H05, 47H09.

*Key words and phrases.* Maximal monotone operator, proximal point algorithm, nonexpansive map, resolvent operator, prox-Tikhonov method.

fixed point set of the composition  $J_{\lambda}^A J_{\lambda}^B$  - provided that this set is not empty. A weak convergence result associated with the inexact method of alternating resolvents

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2n+1} &= J_{\beta_n}^A(x_{2n} + e_n) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots, \\ x_{2n} &= J_{\mu_n}^B(x_{2n-1} + e'_n) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

was proved in [4], where  $x_0 \in H$  is a given starting point,  $(\beta_n)$  and  $(\mu_n)$  are sequences of positive real numbers, while  $(e_n)$  and  $(e'_n)$  are sequences of computational errors. As pointed out before, the sequence  $(x_n)$  generated by this method is not strongly convergent for general maximal monotone operators  $A$  and  $B$ . However, there are additional sufficient conditions that guarantee strong convergence. A general condition in this respect is the so-called *convergence condition*, introduced by A. Pazy in 1978 in the Hilbert space setting, which works for first order difference schemes associated with a single  $m$ -accretive operator in a Banach space (cf. [13]). If one of the operators  $A$  and  $B$  satisfies the convergence condition, then a strong convergence result holds for the method of alternating resolvents associated with  $A$  and  $B$ , similar to Theorem 2 in [13].

In this paper we follow a different idea which allows us to achieve strong convergence for general maximal monotone operators  $A$  and  $B$ . More precisely, we consider a modified version of the method of alternating resolvents. Several modifications (following the ideas involved in the case of a single maximal monotone operator, cf., [15, 16, 11, 2, 3]) were introduced in [4]. One such modification was defined as follows

$$(1.2) \quad x_{2n+1} = J_{\beta_n}^A(\alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)x_{2n} + e_n) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$(1.3) \quad x_{2n} = J_{\mu_n}^B(\alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)x_{2n-1} + e'_n) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

for any given  $x_0, u \in H$ . The purpose of this paper is to study the convergence of a sequence  $(x_n)$  generated by

$$(1.4) \quad x_{2n+1} = J_{\beta_n}^A(\alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)x_{2n} + e_n) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$(1.5) \quad x_{2n} = J_{\mu_n}^B(\lambda_n u + (1 - \lambda_n)x_{2n-1} + e'_n) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\alpha_n, \lambda_n \in (0, 1)$ , under weaker conditions than those used in [4]. Note that algorithm (1.4), (1.5) contains algorithm (1.2), (1.3) as a special case, hence the results of this paper generalize and refine the main results of [4].

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In the sequel,  $H$  will be a real Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and induced norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Recall that a map  $T : H \rightarrow H$  is called nonexpansive if for every  $x, y \in H$  we have  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ . In the case when  $\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle$  holds for any  $x, y \in H$ , then  $T$  is said to be firmly nonexpansive. Obviously, firmly nonexpansive mappings are nonexpansive. An operator  $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow 2^H$  is said to be monotone if

$$\langle x - x', y - y' \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, y), (x', y') \in G(A).$$

In other words, its graph  $G(A) = \{(x, y) \in H \times H : x \in D(A), y \in Ax\}$  is a monotone subset of the product space  $H \times H$ . An operator  $A$  is called maximal

monotone if in addition to being monotone, its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator. For a maximal monotone operator  $A$ , the resolvent of  $A$ , defined by  $J_\beta^A := (I + \beta A)^{-1}$ , is well defined on the whole space  $H$  and is single-valued for every  $\beta > 0$ . In addition,  $J_\beta^A$  is firmly nonexpansive. Firmly nonexpansive operators are characterized by the following

**Lemma 2.1** (Goebel and Reich [7], p. 42 or Goebel and Kirk [6]). *A map  $T : H \rightarrow H$  is firmly nonexpansive if and only if  $2T - I$  (where  $I$  is the identity map) is nonexpansive.*

Below we give a list of lemmas which will be useful in proving our main result.

**Lemma 2.2** (Suzuki [14]). *Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be bounded sequences in a real Banach space and let  $(\rho_n)$  be a sequence in  $(0, 1)$ , with  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n < 1$ . Suppose that  $x_{n+1} = \rho_n y_n + (1 - \rho_n)x_n$  for all integers  $n \geq 0$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0$ .*

The next lemma can be proved easily.

**Lemma 2.3** (Resolvent Identity). *For any  $\beta, \mu > 0$ , and  $x \in H$ , the identity*

$$J_\beta^A x = J_\mu^A \left( \frac{\mu}{\beta} x + \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{\beta} \right) J_\beta^A x \right)$$

*holds true, where  $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow 2^H$  is a maximal monotone operator.*

Using similar arguments to those used in proving Lemma 2.5 [15], we can prove the following lemma which will also be useful in proving our main result.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(s_n)$  be a sequence of non-negative real numbers satisfying*

$$(2.1) \quad s_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \lambda_n)s_n + \alpha_n b_n + \lambda_n c_n + d_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

*where  $(\alpha_n)$ ,  $(\lambda_n)$ ,  $(b_n)$ ,  $(c_n)$  and  $(d_n)$  satisfy the conditions: (i)  $\alpha_n, \lambda_n \in [0, 1]$ , with  $\prod_{n=0}^\infty (1 - \alpha_n) = 0$ , (ii)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \leq 0$ , (iii)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \leq 0$ , and (iv)  $d_n \geq 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$  with  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty d_n < \infty$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $N$  be an integer big enough so that

$$\sum_{n=N}^\infty d_n < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad b_n < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \text{and} \quad c_n < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Then by induction, we have for  $n > N$

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n+1} &\leq \left[ \prod_{k=N}^n (1 - \alpha_k)(1 - \lambda_k) \right] s_N + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \left[ 1 - \prod_{k=N}^n (1 - \alpha_k) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \left[ 1 - \prod_{k=N}^n (1 - \lambda_k) \right] + \sum_{k=N}^n d_k. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \leq \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this completes the proof. □

*Remark 2.5.* If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ , then  $\prod_{n=0}^\infty (1 - \alpha_n) = 0$  if and only if  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$ .

*Remark 2.6.* When  $\lambda_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , we reobtain Lemma 2.5 [15]. Lemma 2.4 is thus a generalization of Lemma 2.5 [15].

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

We begin by proving a strong convergence result associated with the exact iterative process

$$(3.1) \quad v_{2n+1} = J_{\beta_n}^A(\alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)v_{2n}) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$(3.2) \quad v_{2n} = J_{\mu_n}^B(\lambda_n u + (1 - \lambda_n)v_{2n-1}) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\alpha_n, \lambda_n \in (0, 1)$  and  $v_0, u \in H$  are given.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow 2^H$  and  $B : D(B) \subset H \rightarrow 2^H$  be maximal monotone operators with  $A^{-1}(0) \cap B^{-1}(0) =: F \neq \emptyset$ . For arbitrary but fixed vectors  $v_0, u \in H$ , let  $(v_n)$  be the sequence generated by (3.1), (3.2), where  $\alpha_n, \lambda_n \in (0, 1)$  and  $\beta_n, \mu_n \in (0, \infty)$ . Assume that (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$ , (ii) either  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  or  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ , and (iii) both  $(\beta_n)$  and  $(\mu_n)$  are bounded from below away from zero, with*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n}\right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\mu_n}\right) = 0.$$

Then  $(v_n)$  converges strongly to the point of  $F$  nearest to  $u$ .

*Proof.* We begin by showing that  $(v_n)$  is bounded. Denote  $M := \max\{\|u - p\|, \|v_0 - p\|\}$ , where  $p \in F$ . We show by induction that

$$(3.3) \quad \|v_n - p\| \leq M \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

If (3.3) holds for  $n := 2k$  for some integer  $k$ , then using the fact that the resolvent operator is nonexpansive, we see from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{2k+1} - p\| &\leq \lambda_k \|u - p\| + (1 - \lambda_k) \|v_{2k} - p\| \\ &\leq \lambda_k M + (1 - \lambda_k) M, \end{aligned}$$

showing that (3.3) also holds for  $n + 1$ . If (3.3) holds for  $n := 2k + 1$ , then starting with (3.2), we can show in a similar way that (3.3) also holds for  $n + 1$ .

Note that using the resolvent identity (see Lemma 2.3), we can write (3.2) as

$$v_{2n} = J_{\varepsilon}^B \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_n} (\lambda_n u + (1 - \lambda_n)v_{2n-1}) + \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_n}\right) v_{2n} \right),$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is the greatest lower bound of  $(\mu_n)$ . Then by the nonexpansivity of the resolvent operator  $J_{\varepsilon}^B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{2n+2} - v_{2n}\| &\leq \left\| \left( \frac{\varepsilon \lambda_{n+1}}{\mu_{n+1}} - \frac{\varepsilon \lambda_n}{\mu_n} \right) (u - v_{2n-1}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_{n+1}} (1 - \lambda_{n+1})(v_{2n+1} - v_{2n-1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_{n+1}}\right) (v_{2n+2} - v_{2n}) + \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_{n+1}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_n} \right) (v_{2n-1} - v_{2n}) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_{n+1}} (1 - \lambda_{n+1}) \|v_{2n+1} - v_{2n-1}\| + \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_{n+1}}\right) \|v_{2n+2} - v_{2n}\| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{\varepsilon \lambda_{n+1}}{\mu_{n+1}} - \frac{\varepsilon \lambda_n}{\mu_n} \right| K + \left| \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_{n+1}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_n} \right| L, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v_{2n+2} - v_{2n}\| &\leq (1 - \lambda_{n+1}) \|v_{2n+1} - v_{2n-1}\| \\ &\quad + \left| \lambda_{n+1} - \frac{\lambda_n \mu_{n+1}}{\mu_n} \right| K + \left| 1 - \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\mu_n} \right| L. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $J_{\beta_n}^A$  is firmly nonexpansive for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we know from Lemma 2.1 that there is a nonexpansive map  $T_n^A$  such that  $T_n^A = 2J_{\beta_n}^A - I$ . Therefore, we have from (1.4) that

$$v_{2n+1} = \frac{z_n + T_n^A z_n}{2},$$

where  $z_n := \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)v_{2n}$ . Using the resolvent identity, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| J_{\beta_{n+1}}^A z_n - J_{\beta_n}^A z_n \right\| &= \left\| J_{\beta_{n+1}}^A z_n - J_{\beta_{n+1}}^A \left( \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} z_n + \left( 1 - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} \right) J_{\beta_n}^A z_n \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left| 1 - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} \right| \left\| z_n - J_{\beta_n}^A z_n \right\| \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the definition of  $z_n$  and the nonexpansivity of  $T_{n+1}^A$ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{n+1}^A z_{n+1} - T_n^A z_n\| &\leq \|T_{n+1}^A z_{n+1} - T_{n+1}^A z_n\| + \|T_{n+1}^A z_n - T_n^A z_n\| \\ &\leq \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| + 2 \left\| J_{\beta_{n+1}}^A z_n - J_{\beta_n}^A z_n \right\| \\ &\leq (\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n) C_1 + \|x_{2n+2} - x_{2n}\| \\ &\quad + 2 \left| 1 - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} \right| \left\| z_n - J_{\beta_n}^A z_n \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant  $C_1$ . Similarly, we write (1.5) as

$$v_{2n} = \frac{w_n + F_n^B w_n}{2},$$

where  $w_n := \lambda_n u + (1 - \lambda_n)x_{2n-1}$  and  $F_n^B := 2J_{\mu_n}^B - I$ . In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{n+1}^B w_{n+1} - F_n^B w_n\| &\leq \|w_{n+1} - w_n\| + 2 \left\| J_{\mu_{n+1}}^B w_n - J_{\mu_n}^B w_n \right\| \\ &\leq (\lambda_{n+1} + \lambda_n) C_1 + \|x_{2n+1} - x_{2n-1}\| \\ &\quad + 2 \left| 1 - \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\mu_n} \right| \left\| w_n - J_{\mu_n}^B w_n \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, an elementary computation shows that

$$v_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{4} v_{2n-1} + \frac{3}{4} y_n,$$

where

$$y_n = \frac{1}{3} (\lambda_n (1 - \alpha_n) (u - v_{2n-1}) + \alpha_n (2u - v_{2n-1} - F_n^B w_n) + (F_n^B w_n + 2T_n^A z_n))$$

Note that  $(y_n)$  is bounded, since  $(x_n)$  is so. Therefore, for some positive constants  $C_2, C_2^*, C_3$  and  $C_4$ , we have

$$3 \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \leq (\lambda_{n+1} + \lambda_n) C_1 + (\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n) C_2 + \|F_{n+1}^B w_{n+1} - F_n^B w_n\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2 \|T_{n+1}^A z_{n+1} - T_n^A z_n\| \\
\leq & 2C_1(\lambda_{n+1} + \lambda_n) + C_2^*(\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n) + 3 \|v_{2n+1} - v_{2n-1}\| \\
& + \left|1 - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n}\right| C_3 + \left|1 - \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\mu_n}\right| C_4 + 2K \left|\lambda_{n+1} - \frac{\lambda_n \mu_{n+1}}{\mu_n}\right|,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|v_{2n+1} - v_{2n-1}\|\} \leq 0.$$

It then follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - v_{2n-1}\| = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{2n+1} - v_{2n-1}\| = 0.$$

Passing to the limit in (3.4), we also see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{2n+2} - v_{2n}\| = 0.$$

Now multiplying the inclusion relation

$$v_{2n+1} - v_{2n+2} + \beta_n A v_{2n+1} \ni \alpha_n (u - v_{2n}) + v_{2n} - v_{2n+2}$$

scalarly by  $v_{2n+1} - p$  (where  $p \in F$ ) and using the monotonicity of  $A$ , we get

$$(3.5) \quad \langle v_{2n+1} - v_{2n+2}, v_{2n+1} - p \rangle \leq \alpha_n K' + L' \|v_{2n+2} - v_{2n}\|,$$

for some positive constants  $K'$  and  $L'$ . Similarly, multiplying the inclusion

$$v_{2n+2} - v_{2n+1} + \mu_{n+1} B v_{2n+2} \ni \lambda_{n+1} (u - v_{2n+1})$$

scalarly by  $v_{2n+2} - p$  and using the monotonicity of  $B$ , we arrive at

$$(3.6) \quad \langle v_{2n+2} - v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2} - p \rangle \leq \lambda_{n+1} L^*,$$

for some positive constant  $L^*$ . Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we arrive at

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_{n+1} - v_n\| = 0.$$

Therefore passing to the limit in

$$A v_{2n+1} \ni \frac{\alpha_n (u - v_{2n}) + v_{2n} - v_{2n+1}}{\beta_n},$$

and noting that  $(\beta_n)$  is bounded below away from zero, we see that  $\omega_w((v_{2n+1})) \subset A^{-1}(0)$ . Similarly, we derive  $\omega_w((v_{2n})) \subset B^{-1}(0)$ . It then follows from these two inclusions and equation (3.7) that  $\omega_w((v_n)) \subset F = A^{-1}(0) \cap B^{-1}(0)$ . We can now extract a weakly convergent subsequence  $(v_{n_k})$  of  $(v_n)$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - P_F u, v_n - P_F u \rangle &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - P_F u, v_{n_k} - P_F u \rangle \\
&= \langle u - P_F u, z - P_F u \rangle \leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $z \in F$  is a weak limit of  $(v_{n_k})$ , and  $q = P_F u$  denotes the projection of  $u$  on  $F$ . We remark that the set  $F$  is closed and convex - being the intersection of two

closed and convex sets - therefore, the projection operator  $P_F$  is well defined. We shall show that  $v_n \rightarrow q$ . For this purpose, we multiply

$$v_{2n+1} - q + \beta_n A v_{2n+1} \ni \alpha_n(u - q) + (1 - \alpha_n)(v_{2n} - q)$$

scalarly by  $v_{2n+1} - q$  and use the monotonicity of  $A$  to derive

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \|v_{2n+1} - q\|^2 &\leq 2(1 - \alpha_n)\langle v_{2n} - q, v_{2n+1} - q \rangle + 2\alpha_n\langle u - q, v_{2n+1} - q \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)(\|v_{2n} - q\|^2 + \|v_{2n+1} - q\|^2) + 2\alpha_n\langle u - q, v_{2n+1} - q \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.8) \quad \|v_{2n+1} - q\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|v_{2n} - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle u - q, v_{2n+1} - q \rangle.$$

Similarly, starting with (3.2), we arrive at

$$\|v_{2n} - q\|^2 \leq (1 - \lambda_n)\|v_{2n-1} - q\|^2 + 2\lambda_n\langle u - q, v_{2n} - q \rangle,$$

which together with (3.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{2n+1} - q\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \lambda_n)\|v_{2n-1} - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\langle u - q, v_{2n+1} - q \rangle \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \alpha_n)\lambda_n\langle u - q, v_{2n} - q \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.4, we derive  $v_{2n+1} \rightarrow q = P_F u$ . Since  $v_{n+1} - v_n \rightarrow 0$ , we deduce strong convergence of  $(v_n)$  to  $P_F u$ .  $\square$

The inexact iterative scheme of (3.1), (3.2), namely, the iterative process defined by (1.4), (1.5) is constructed in such a way that strong convergence (to the point  $P_F u$ ) of the sequence generated by it is obtained under the weaker condition that the sequences of error terms converges to zero strongly. When this condition on the error sequences is satisfied, and in addition, the series on  $\|e_n\|$  and  $\|e'_n\|$  are divergent, then one chooses appropriate parameters  $\alpha_n$  and  $\lambda_n$  such that any of the conditions (f)-(l) of Theorem 3.2 below is satisfied. Note that if any of the series involving  $\|e_n\|$  and  $\|e'_n\|$  is convergent, then we are able to choose one of the parameters independent of the error sequences.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow 2^H$  and  $B : D(B) \subset H \rightarrow 2^H$  be maximal monotone operators with  $A^{-1}(0) \cap B^{-1}(0) =: F \neq \emptyset$ . For arbitrary but fixed vectors  $x_0, u \in H$ , let  $(x_n)$  be the sequence generated by (1.4), (1.5), where  $\alpha_n, \lambda_n \in (0, 1)$  and  $\beta_n, \mu_n \in (0, \infty)$ . Assume that (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$ , (ii) either  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  or  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ , and (iii) both  $(\beta_n)$  and  $(\mu_n)$  are bounded from below away from zero, with*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n}\right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\mu_n}\right) = 0.$$

*If any of the following conditions is satisfied,*

- (a)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|e_n\| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e'_n\| < \infty$ ;
- (b)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|e_n\| < \infty$  and  $\|e'_n\|/\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (c)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|e_n\| < \infty$  and  $\|e'_n\|/\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (d)  $\|e_n\|/\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e'_n\| < \infty$ ;
- (e)  $\|e_n\|/\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e'_n\| < \infty$ ;
- (f)  $\|e_n\|/\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|e'_n\|/\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (g)  $\|e_n\|/\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|e'_n\|/\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ ;

- (h)  $\|e_n\|/\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|e'_n\|/\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (i)  $\|e_n\|/\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|e'_n\|/\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (j)  $\|e_n\|/\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|e'_n\|/\alpha_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (k)  $\|e_{n-1}\|/\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|e'_n\|/\alpha_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (l)  $\|e_{n-1}\|/\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|e'_n\|/\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (m)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|e_n\| < \infty$  and  $\|e'_n\|/\alpha_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (n)  $\|e_{n-1}\|/\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e'_n\| < \infty$ ,

then  $(x_n)$  converges strongly to the point of  $F$  nearest to  $u$ .

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 3.1, it is enough to show that  $\|x_n - v_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Since the resolvent of  $B$  is nonexpansive, we derive from (1.5) and (3.2) that

$$(3.9) \quad \|x_{2n} - v_{2n}\| \leq (1 - \lambda_n) \|x_{2n-1} - v_{2n-1}\| + \|e'_n\|.$$

Similarly, from (1.4) and (3.1), we have

$$(3.10) \quad \|x_{2n+1} - v_{2n+1}\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_{2n} - v_{2n}\| + \|e_n\|.$$

These two inequalities imply that

$$\|x_{2n+1} - v_{2n+1}\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \lambda_n) \|x_{2n-1} - v_{2n-1}\| + \|e_n\| + \|e'_n\|.$$

Therefore if the error sequence satisfies any of the conditions (a)-(i), then it readily follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $\|x_{2n+1} - v_{2n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$ . Passing to the limit in (3.9), we derive  $\|x_{2n} - v_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$  as well. If the error sequence satisfies any of the conditions (j)-(n), then from (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$\|x_{2n} - v_{2n}\| \leq (1 - \alpha_{n-1})(1 - \lambda_n) \|x_{2n-2} - v_{2n-2}\| + \|e_{n-1}\| + \|e'_n\|.$$

It then follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $\|x_{2n} - v_{2n}\| \rightarrow 0$ . Passing to the limit in (3.10), we derive  $\|x_{2n+1} - v_{2n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$  as well. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* We point out that when  $\lambda_n = \alpha_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , algorithm (1.4), (1.5) reduces to algorithm (24), (25) introduced by the authors in [4]. When  $\lambda_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , algorithm (1.4), (1.5) reduces to algorithm (14), (15) which was also introduced by the authors in [4]. By making use of the firmly nonexpansive property of the resolvent operator, we could drop the conditions

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$$

used in both [4, Theorem 2] and [4, Theorem 3]. In addition, we were able to replace the conditions

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n| < \infty$$

used in both [4, Theorem 2] and [4, Theorem 3] with the weaker conditions

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n}\right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\mu_n}\right) = 0.$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.2 contains [4, Theorem 2] and [4, Theorem 3] as special cases.



## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Many thanks to Professor S. Reich for suggesting that we investigate the iterative process (1.4), (1.5).

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. H. Bauschke, P. L. Combettes and S. Reich, *The asymptotic behavior of the composition of two resolvents*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **60** (2005), 283–301.
- [2] O. A. Boikanyo and G. Moroşanu, *Inexact Halpern-type proximal point algorithms*, *J. Glob. Optim.* **51** (2011), 11–26.
- [3] O. A. Boikanyo and G. Moroşanu, *Four parameter proximal point algorithms*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2011), 544–555.
- [4] O. A. Boikanyo and G. Moroşanu, *On the method of alternating resolvents*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2011), 5147–5160.
- [5] L. M. Bregman, *The method of successive projection for finding a common point of convex sets*, *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **6** (1965), 688–692.
- [6] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [7] K. Goebel and S. Reich, *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1984.
- [8] H. Hundal, *An alternating projection that does not converge in norm*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **57** (2004), 35–61.
- [9] E. Kopecká and S. Reich, *A note on the von Neumann alternating projections algorithm*, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **5** (2004), 379–386.
- [10] E. Kopecká and S. Reich, *Another note on the von Neumann alternating projections algorithm*, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **11** (2010), 455–460.
- [11] N. Lehdili and A. Moudafi, *Combining the proximal algorithm and Tikhonov regularization*, *Optimization* **37** (1996), 239–252.
- [12] E. Matoušková and S. Reich, *The Hundal example revisited*, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **4** (2003), 411–427.
- [13] O. Nevenlinna and S. Reich, *Strong convergence of contraction semigroups and of iterative methods for accrative operators in Banach spaces*, *Israel J. Math.* **32** (1979), 44–58.
- [14] T. Suzuki, *Strong convergence theorems for infinite families of nonexpansive mappings in general Banach spaces*, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2005), 103–123.
- [15] H. K. Xu, *Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators*, *J. London Math. Soc.* **66** (2002), 240–256.
- [16] H. K. Xu, *A regularization method for the proximal point algorithm*, *J. Glob. Optim.* **36** (2006), 115–125.

*Manuscript received July 13, 2011*

*revised February 29, 2012*

OGANEDITSE A. BOIKANYO

Department of Mathematics and its Applications, Central European University, Nador u. 9, H-1051 Budapest, Hungary

*E-mail address:* boikanyoa@gmail.com

GHEORGHE MOROŞANU

Department of Mathematics and its Applications, Central European University, Nador u. 9, H-1051 Budapest, Hungary

*E-mail address:* morosanug@ceu.hu