



## EQUILIBRIA OF ABSTRACT ECONOMIES WITH APPLICATIONS

CHIEN-HAO HUANG AND LIANG-JU CHU\*

ABSTRACT. In a recent paper, we established a common existence theorem of maximal elements for majorized  $Q_\alpha$ -condensing mappings in  $l.c.$ -spaces. As an application, we derive a new existence theorem of equilibria for noncompact abstract economies. Finally, the existence of solutions for a system of generalized quasi-variational inequalities in  $l.c.$ -spaces are also derived.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY

Since Arrow and Debreu [1] established the existence theorem of Walrasian equilibria, the result has been extended in many directions. For more details, the reader might consult the references [4, 5, 9, 10, 11] and the references therein. Let  $I$  be any (finite or infinite) set of agents. An **abstract economy** is defined as a family of order quadruples  $\Omega := (X_\alpha, A_\alpha, B_\alpha, P_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  such that for each  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $X_\alpha$  is a topological space,  $A_\alpha, B_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  are constraint correspondences, where  $X := \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ , and  $P_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  is a preference correspondence. An **equilibrium point** of  $\Omega$  is a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that for each  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $\hat{x}_\alpha \in \text{cl}B_\alpha(\hat{x})$  and  $A_\alpha(\hat{x}) \cap P_\alpha(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ , where  $\hat{x}_\alpha = \pi_\alpha(\hat{x})$  denotes the projection of  $\hat{x}$  onto  $X_\alpha$ . When  $A_\alpha = B_\alpha$  and  $X_\alpha$  is a topological vector space for each  $\alpha \in I$ , our definitions of an abstract economy and an equilibrium point coincide with the standard definition of Shafer and Sonnenschein [8].

Throughout this paper, all topological spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. First we recall some definitions and notations. For a nonempty set  $X$ , we denote the set of all subsets of  $X$  and the set of all nonempty finite subsets of  $X$  by  $2^X$  and  $\langle X \rangle$ , respectively. In addition, for any subset  $C$  of a topological space  $X$ , the closure of  $C$  is denoted by  $\text{cl}_X C$ .

An **H-space** is a topological space  $X$ , equipped with a family  $\{\Gamma_D\}$  of some nonempty contractible subsets of  $X$ , indexed by  $D \in \langle X \rangle$  such that  $\Gamma_D \subset \Gamma_{D'}$  whenever  $D \subset D'$ . A nonempty subset  $C$  of  $X$  is said to be **H-convex**, if  $\Gamma_D \subseteq C$  for all  $D \in \langle C \rangle$ . For a nonempty subset  $C$  of  $X$ , the **H-convex hull** of  $C$  is defined as

$$H\text{-co}C := \bigcap \{K \mid K \text{ is } H\text{-convex in } X \text{ and } C \subseteq K\}.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H04, 52A99, 54H25, 58E35, 91A10.

*Key words and phrases.*  $l.c.$ -space, maximal element, abstract economy, equilibrium point, quasi-variational inequalities.

\*Corresponding author.

In fact,  $C$  is  $H$ -convex if and only if  $C = H\text{-co}C$ . Moreover, for any finite set  $D \in \langle X \rangle$ ,  $H\text{-co}D$  is called a **polytope**. We shall say that an  $H$ -space  $X$  is an  **$H$ -space with precompact polytopes**, if any polytope of  $X$  is precompact. For example, a locally convex topological vector space  $X$  is an  $H$ -space with precompact polytopes, by setting  $\Gamma_D = \text{co}D$  for all  $D \in \langle X \rangle$ .

An  $H$ -space  $(X, \{\Gamma_D\})$  is called an  **$l.c.$ -space**, if  $X$  is a uniform space whose topology is induced by its uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ , and there is a base  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of symmetric entourages in  $\mathcal{U}$  such that for each  $V \in \mathcal{B}$ , the set  $V(E) := \{y \in X \mid (x, y) \in V \text{ for some } x \in E\}$  is  $H$ -convex whenever  $E$  is  $H$ -convex. For more details about uniform spaces, we refer to [7, 11, 12]. We shall use the notation  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B})$  to stand for an  $l.c.$ -space, and adopt the following **measure of precompactness** of a subset  $A$  in  $X$ , defined by

$$Q(A) := \{V \in \mathcal{B} \mid A \subseteq \text{cl}_X V(K) \text{ for some precompact set } K \text{ of } X\}.$$

Let  $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be a family of  $l.c.$ -spaces with precompact polytope, where  $I$  is a finite or infinite index set, and let  $X := \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$  be the product  $H$ -space. For each  $\alpha \in I$ , let  $\pi_\alpha$  be the projection of  $X$  onto  $X_\alpha$ , and  $Q_\alpha$  be a measure of precompactness in  $X_\alpha$ . We say that a set-valued mapping  $T_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  is  **$Q_\alpha$ -condensing**, if  $Q_\alpha(\pi_\alpha(C)) \subsetneq Q_\alpha(T_\alpha(C))$  for each  $C \in X$  satisfying  $\pi_\alpha(C)$  is a nonprecompact subset of  $X_\alpha$ . It is clear that  $T_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  is  $Q_\alpha$ -condensing whenever  $X_\alpha$  is compact. In case the index set  $I$  is singleton and  $\pi_\alpha$  is the identity mapping, the  $Q_\alpha$ -condensing mapping reduces to an usual  $Q$ -condensing mapping.

## 2. EQUILIBRIA OF ABSTRACT ECONOMIES

In order to establish our main result, we first list some fundamental notions. Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $Y$  an  $H$ -space,  $S, T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  two set-valued mappings, and  $\theta : X \rightarrow Y$  be a single-valued map.

- (1)  $T$  is said to be **upper semicontinuous**, if for each  $x \in X$  and each open subset  $V$  of  $Y$  with  $T(x) \subseteq V$ , there exists a neighborhood  $N_x$  of  $x$  such that  $T(z) \subseteq V$  for all  $z \in N_x$ .
- (2)  $T$  is said to be **almost upper semicontinuous**, if for each  $x \in X$  and each open subset  $V$  of  $Y$  with  $T(x) \subseteq V$ , there exists a neighborhood  $N_x$  of  $x$  such that  $T(z) \subseteq \text{cl}_Y V$  for all  $z \in N_x$ .
- (3) The set-valued mappings  $S \cap T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  and  $\text{cl}T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  are defined by

$$(S \cap T)(x) := S(x) \cap T(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{cl}T(x) := \text{cl}_Y T(x) \text{ for each } x \in X.$$

- (4)  $T$  is said to be **of class  $\mathcal{L}_\theta$** , if
  - (a) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\theta(x) \notin H\text{-co}T(x)$ ,
  - (b) for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $T^{-1}(y)$  is compactly open in  $X$ .
- (5) A set-valued mapping  $T_x : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be an  **$\mathcal{L}_\theta$ -majorant of  $T$  at  $x$** , if there exists an open neighborhood  $N_x$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that
  - (a) for each  $z \in N_x$ ,  $T(z) \subseteq T_x(z)$  and  $\theta(z) \notin H\text{-co}T_x(z)$ ,
  - (b) for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $T_x^{-1}(y)$  is compactly open in  $X$ .
- (6)  $T$  is said to be  **$\mathcal{L}_\theta$ -majorized**, if for each  $x \in X$  with  $T(x) \neq \emptyset$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{L}_\theta$ -majorant of  $T$  at  $x$ .

The following Theorem A [12, Theorem 2.1] and Theorem B [6, Theorem 2.6] shall play an important role.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be a family of l.c.-spaces with precompact polytopes,  $X := \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ , and  $T_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  be  $Q_\alpha$ -condensing for each  $\alpha \in I$ . Then there exists a nonempty compact  $H$ -convex subset  $K := \prod_{\alpha \in I} K_\alpha$  of  $X$  such that  $T_\alpha(K) \subseteq K_\alpha$ .*

**Theorem B.** *Let  $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be a family of l.c.-spaces with precompact polytopes,  $X := \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ , and  $T_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  be  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ -majorized  $Q_\alpha$ -condensing. If for each  $\alpha \in I$ , the set  $\{x \in X \mid T_\alpha(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is compactly open in  $X$ , then there exists  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $T_\alpha(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ ; that is,  $\hat{x}$  is a common maximal element of  $T_\alpha$ .*

Now, we are ready to deduce an existence theorem of equilibria for noncompact abstract economies.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega := (X_\alpha, A_\alpha, B_\alpha, P_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be an abstract economy, and  $X := \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ , where  $I$  is a set of agents such that for each  $\alpha \in I$ ,*

- (1)  $X_\alpha$  is an l.c.-space with precompact polytopes,
- (2) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $A_\alpha(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $H\text{-co}A_\alpha(x) \subseteq \text{cl}B_\alpha(x)$ ,
- (3) for each  $y_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ ,  $A_\alpha^{-1}(y_\alpha)$  is compactly open in  $X$ ,
- (4)  $\text{cl}B_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  is upper semicontinuous and  $Q_\alpha$ -condensing,
- (5)  $A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ -majorized,
- (6) the set  $\{x \in X \mid (A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha)(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is compactly open in  $X$ .

*Then  $\Omega$  has an equilibrium point.*

*Proof.* Since each  $\text{cl}B_\alpha$  is  $Q_\alpha$ -condensing, by Theorem A, there exists a nonempty compact  $H$ -convex subset  $K := \prod_{\alpha \in I} K_\alpha$  of  $X$  such that  $\text{cl}B_\alpha(K) \subseteq K_\alpha$ . By (2), it follows that  $A_\alpha(x) \subseteq K_\alpha$  for each  $x \in K$ . Notice that the set  $F_\alpha := \{x \in K \mid x_\alpha \in \text{cl}B_\alpha(x)\}$  is closed in  $K$  for each  $\alpha \in I$ , since  $\text{cl}B_\alpha$  is upper semicontinuous. Now, we define  $T_\alpha : K \rightarrow 2^{K_\alpha}$  by

$$T_\alpha(x) := \begin{cases} (A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha)(x) & , \text{ if } x \in F_\alpha, \\ H\text{-co}A_\alpha(x) & , \text{ if } x \in K \setminus F_\alpha. \end{cases}$$

We shall show that  $T_\alpha$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem B. First, for each  $\alpha \in I$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in K \mid T_\alpha(x) \neq \emptyset\} &= \{x \in F_\alpha \mid T_\alpha(x) \neq \emptyset\} \cup \{x \in K \setminus F_\alpha \mid T_\alpha(x) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= (F_\alpha \cap \{x \in K \mid (A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha)(x) \neq \emptyset\}) \cup (K \setminus F_\alpha) \\ &= \{x \in K \mid (A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha)(x) \neq \emptyset\} \cup (K \setminus F_\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

which is open in  $K$  by (6), since  $K$  is compact and  $K \setminus F_\alpha$  is open in  $K$ .

Next, for each  $x \in K$  with  $T_\alpha(x) \neq \emptyset$ , we will find an  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ -majorant of  $T_\alpha$  at  $x$ . To see this, we consider the following two cases:

**Case 1.**  $x \in K \setminus F_\alpha$ .

Let  $S_x := H\text{-co}A_\alpha$ , and  $N_x := K \setminus F_\alpha$ . Then  $N_x$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $K$ , satisfying the following facts:

- (a)  $T_\alpha(z) = S_x(z)$  for each  $z \in N_x$ , and  $z_\alpha = \pi_\alpha(z) \notin H\text{-co}A_\alpha(z) = H\text{-co}S_x(z)$  by (2).
- (b)  $S_x^{-1}(y_\alpha)$  is open in  $K$  for all  $y_\alpha \in K_\alpha$ , by (3) and [5, Lemma 3.1].

Therefore,  $S_x$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ -majorant of  $T_\alpha$  at  $x$ .

**Case 2.**  $x \in F_\alpha$ .

Since  $A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ -majorized by (5),  $A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha$  admits a  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ -majorant  $T_x : K \rightarrow 2^{K_\alpha}$  at  $x$ ; that is, there exists an open neighborhood  $N_x$  of  $x$  in  $K$  such that

- (a') for each  $z \in N_x$ ,  $T_\alpha(z) = (A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha)(z) \subseteq T_x(z)$  and  $z_\alpha \notin H\text{-co}T_x(z)$ .
- (b') for each  $y_\alpha \in K_\alpha$ ,  $T_x^{-1}(y_\alpha)$  is (compactly) open in  $K$ .

Define  $S_x : K \rightarrow 2^{K_\alpha}$  by

$$S_x(z) := \begin{cases} (H\text{-co}A_\alpha(z)) \cap T_x(z) & , \text{ if } z \in F_\alpha, \\ H\text{-co}A_\alpha(z) & , \text{ if } z \notin F_\alpha. \end{cases}$$

Note that for each  $z \in N_x$ ,  $z \notin F_\alpha$ . It follows that  $z_\alpha \notin H\text{-co}S_x(z)$  by (a'). Moreover, for any  $y_\alpha \in K_\alpha$ , the set

$$\begin{aligned} S_x^{-1}(y_\alpha) &= \{z \in F_\alpha \mid y_\alpha \in S_x(z)\} \cup \{z \in K \setminus F_\alpha \mid y_\alpha \in S_x(z)\} \\ &= \{z \in F_\alpha \mid y_\alpha \in (H\text{-co}A_\alpha(z)) \cap T_x(z)\} \cup \{z \in K \setminus F_\alpha \mid y_\alpha \in H\text{-co}A_\alpha(z)\} \\ &= [F_\alpha \cap (H\text{-co}A_\alpha)^{-1}(y_\alpha)T_x^{-1}(y_\alpha)] \cup [(K \setminus F_\alpha) \cap (H\text{-co}A_\alpha)^{-1}(y_\alpha)] \\ &= [T_x^{-1}(y_\alpha) \cup (K \setminus F_\alpha)] \cap (H\text{-co}A_\alpha)^{-1}(y_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

is open in  $K$  by (3) and [5, Lemma 3.1]. Therefore,  $S_x$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ -majorant of  $T_\alpha$  at  $x$ .

Consequently,  $T_\alpha$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ -majorized, and hence by Theorem B, there exists  $\hat{x} \in K$  such that  $T_\alpha(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ . By (2), it follows that  $\hat{x} \in F_\alpha$  and  $T_\alpha(\hat{x}) = A_\alpha(\hat{x}) \cap P_\alpha(\hat{x})$  for each  $\alpha \in I$ . Hence  $\hat{x}_\alpha \in \text{cl}B_\alpha(\hat{x})$  and  $A_\alpha(\hat{x}) \cap P_\alpha(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $\hat{x}$  is an equilibrium point of  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

We remark that Theorem 2.1 improves and generalizes [4, Theorem 4] and [10, Theorem 7] to general noncompact *l.c.*-spaces. As a consequence, we have an extension of Ding [4] as follows.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\Omega := (X_\alpha, A_\alpha, P_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be an abstract economy, where  $I$  is a set of agents such that for each  $\alpha \in I$ ,*

- (1)  $X_\alpha$  is a compact  $H$ -space,
- (2)  $A_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  is almost upper semicontinuous with nonempty  $H$ -convex values,
- (3)  $A_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$  is open for each  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ ,
- (4)  $A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ -majorized,
- (5) the set  $\{x \in X \mid (A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha)(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is open in  $X$ .

Then  $\Omega$  has an equilibrium point.

*Proof.* First, we note that the set-valued mapping  $\text{cl}A_\alpha$  is upper semicontinuous and  $Q_\alpha$ -condensing, since  $A_\alpha$  is almost upper semicontinuous and  $X_\alpha$  is compact. Next, although the existence of  $K$  in proving Theorem 2.1 requires the conditions (1) and (4) of Theorem 2.1, we can directly take  $K_\alpha := X_\alpha$  and  $K := \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ , instead of using those conditions. Thus, following the proof of Theorem 2.1 with  $A_\alpha = B_\alpha$ , the abstract economy  $(X_\alpha, A_\alpha, A_\alpha, P_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  has an equilibrium point; that is,  $\Omega$  has an equilibrium point.  $\square$

### 3. SYSTEM OF QUASI-VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

Let  $I$  be an index set,  $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ ,  $(Y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  two families of topological spaces, and  $X := \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ ,  $Y := \prod_{\alpha \in I} Y_\alpha$ . For each  $\alpha \in I$ , let  $T_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{Y_\alpha}$ ,  $A_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  be two set-valued mappings, and  $\phi_\alpha : X \times Y_\alpha \times X_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued function. The **system of generalized quasi-variational inequalities** (in short, **SGQVI**) is defined as follow:

$$(\text{SGQVI}) : \begin{cases} \text{Find } (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in X \times Y \text{ such that for each } \alpha \in I, \\ \hat{x}_\alpha \in \text{cl}A_\alpha(\hat{x}), \hat{y}_\alpha \in T_\alpha(\hat{x}), \text{ and } \phi_\alpha(\hat{x}, \hat{y}_\alpha, z_\alpha) \geq 0 \text{ for all } z_\alpha \in A_\alpha(\hat{x}). \end{cases}$$

If the index set  $I = \{1\}$ , then SGQVI reduces to the quasi-variational inequality: Find  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in X \times Y$  such that  $\hat{x} \in \text{cl}A(\hat{x})$ ,  $\hat{y} \in T(\hat{x})$ , and  $\phi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, z) \geq 0$  for all  $z \in A(\hat{x})$ .

As an application to SGQVI, we recall that a topological space  $X$  is called **acyclic**, if all of its reduced Čech homology groups over rationals vanish. In particular, any contractible space is acyclic, and thus any convex or star-shaped set is acyclic. In an  $H$ -space  $X$ , a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$  is called  **$H$ -quasiconvex**, provided that for each  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\{x \in X \mid f(x) < r\}$  is  $H$ -convex. Next result provides an existence theorem of solutions to SGQVI.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be a family of l.c.-spaces with precompact polytopes,  $(Y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  a family of topological space, and  $X := \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ ,  $Y := \prod_{\alpha \in I} Y_\alpha$ . Suppose that for each  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $A_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  is an almost upper semicontinuous  $Q_\alpha$ -condensing set-valued mapping with nonempty  $H$ -convex values, satisfying  $A_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$  is compactly open for each  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ , and  $T_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{Y_\alpha}$  is upper semicontinuous with nonempty compact values. If  $\phi_\alpha : X \times Y_\alpha \times X_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an upper semicontinuous function such that*

- (1) for each  $(x, y_\alpha) \in X \times Y_\alpha$ ,  $z_\alpha \mapsto \phi_\alpha(x, y_\alpha, z_\alpha)$  is  $H$ -quasiconvex,
- (2) for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $y_\alpha \in T_\alpha(x)$  such that  $\phi_\alpha(x, y_\alpha, x_\alpha) \geq 0$ ,
- (3) for each  $(x, z_\alpha) \in X \times X_\alpha$ , the set  $\{y_\alpha \in T_\alpha(x) \mid \phi_\alpha(x, y_\alpha, z_\alpha) \geq 0\}$  is acyclic,

then there is a solution to **SGQVI**.

*Proof.* For each  $\alpha \in I$ , we define a set-valued mapping  $P_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  by

$$P_\alpha(x) := \left\{ z_\alpha \in X_\alpha \mid \sup_{y_\alpha \in T_\alpha(x)} \phi_\alpha(x, y_\alpha, z_\alpha) < 0 \right\}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Then each  $P_\alpha(x)$  is an  $H$ -convex set, since  $\phi_\alpha$  is  $H$ -quasiconvex in  $z_\alpha$ . Since  $\phi_\alpha$  is upper semicontinuous and  $T_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{Y_\alpha}$  is upper semicontinuous with nonempty compact values, the function  $x \mapsto \sup_{y_\alpha \in T_\alpha(x)} \phi_\alpha(x, y_\alpha, z_\alpha)$  is upper semicontinuous, by Proposition 21 of [2, p.119]. Hence for each  $z_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ ,

$$P_\alpha^{-1}(z_\alpha) = \{x \in X \mid z_\alpha \in P_\alpha(x)\} = \left\{ x \in X \mid \sup_{y_\alpha \in T_\alpha(x)} \phi_\alpha(x, y_\alpha, z_\alpha) < 0 \right\}$$

is open in  $X$ . In addition, by (2),  $x_\alpha \notin P_\alpha(x) = H\text{-co}P_\alpha(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ . Thus,  $P_\alpha$  is of class  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ , and hence is  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ -majorized. Therefore, the set-valued mapping  $A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha$  is also  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi_\alpha}$ -majorized.

Note that for each nonempty compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , since  $A_\alpha^{-1}(z_\alpha) \cap K$  is open, the set

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in X \mid (A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha)(x) \neq \emptyset\} \cap K &= \left( \bigcup_{z_\alpha \in X_\alpha} (A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha)^{-1}(z_\alpha) \right) \cap K \\ &= \bigcup_{z_\alpha \in X_\alpha} (A_\alpha^{-1}(z_\alpha) \cap K) \cap P_\alpha^{-1}(z_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

is open in  $K$ ; i.e., the set  $\{x \in X \mid (A_\alpha \cap P_\alpha)(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is compactly open in  $X$ . Further, the set-valued mapping  $\text{cl}A_\alpha$  is upper semicontinuous and  $Q_\alpha$ -condensing. Consequently, by virtue of Theorem 2.1 with  $B_\alpha = A_\alpha$ , there exists  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $\hat{x}_\alpha \in \text{cl}A_\alpha(\hat{x})$  and  $A_\alpha(\hat{x}) \cap P_\alpha(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for each  $\alpha \in I$ . It follows that

$$\sup_{y_\alpha \in T_\alpha(\hat{x})} \phi_\alpha(\hat{x}, y_\alpha, z_\alpha) \geq 0, \quad \forall z_\alpha \in A_\alpha(\hat{x}).$$

Since each  $\phi_\alpha$  is upper semicontinuous and  $T_\alpha(\hat{x})$  is compact, it follows that for each  $z_\alpha \in A_\alpha(\hat{x})$ , there exists  $y_\alpha(z_\alpha) \in T_\alpha(\hat{x})$  such that  $\phi_\alpha(\hat{x}, y_\alpha(z_\alpha), z_\alpha) \geq 0$ . This leads us to define a set-valued mapping  $G_\alpha : A_\alpha(\hat{x}) \rightarrow 2^{T_\alpha(\hat{x})}$  by

$$G_\alpha(z_\alpha) := \{y_\alpha \in T_\alpha(\hat{x}) \mid \phi_\alpha(\hat{x}, y_\alpha, z_\alpha) \geq 0\}, \quad \forall z_\alpha \in A_\alpha(\hat{x}).$$

By the upper semicontinuity of  $\phi_\alpha$ , the graph of  $G_\alpha$  is closed. Moreover, since  $T_\alpha(\hat{x})$  is compact,  $G_\alpha$  is upper semicontinuous, with nonempty acyclic values by (3).

Assume that the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 is false. Then there exists  $\beta \in I$  such that for each  $y_\beta \in T_\beta(\hat{x})$ , there exists a point  $z_\beta \in A_\beta(\hat{x})$  satisfying  $\phi_\beta(\hat{x}, y_\beta, z_\beta) < 0$ . Let the set-valued mapping  $S_\beta : T_\beta(\hat{x}) \rightarrow 2^{A_\beta(\hat{x})}$  be defined by

$$S_\beta(y_\beta) := \{z_\beta \in A_\beta(\hat{x}) \mid \phi_\beta(\hat{x}, y_\beta, z_\beta) < 0\}, \quad \forall y_\beta \in T_\beta(\hat{x}).$$

Then, by (1) and the  $H$ -convexity of  $A_\beta(\hat{x})$ ,  $S_\beta$  has nonempty  $H$ -convex values. For each  $z_\beta \in A_\beta(\hat{x})$ , the set

$$S_\beta^{-1}(z_\beta) = \{y_\beta \in T_\beta(\hat{x}) \mid z_\beta \in S_\beta(y_\beta)\} = \{y_\beta \in T_\beta(\hat{x}) \mid \phi_\beta(\hat{x}, y_\beta, z_\beta) < 0\}$$

is open in  $T_\beta(\hat{x})$ . By [3, Theorem 3.1], there exists a coincidence  $(\bar{x}_\beta, \bar{y}_\beta)$  for  $S_\beta$  and  $G_\beta$ ; that is,  $\bar{x}_\beta \in S_\beta(\bar{y}_\beta)$  and  $\bar{y}_\beta \in G_\beta(\bar{x}_\beta)$ . It follows that  $\phi_\beta(\hat{x}, \bar{y}_\beta, \bar{x}_\beta) < 0$  and  $\phi_\beta(\hat{x}, \bar{y}_\beta, \bar{x}_\beta) \geq 0$ , which is a trivial contradiction each other. Therefore, we complete the proof.  $\square$

Remark that Theorem 3.1 generalizes and improves [11, Theorem 8] as follows:

- (1) The space  $X$  need not be perfectly normal.
- (2) Theorem 3.1 is concerning a system of generalized quasivariational inequalities.
- (3) Theorem 3.1 need not have an extra acyclic condition [11, Theorem 8 (iii)].

As a consequence, the following Corollary extends [11, Corollary 9].

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  be a family of locally convex topological vector spaces,  $X_\alpha^*$  the conjugate space with respect to  $X_\alpha$ , and  $X := \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ ,  $X^* := \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha^*$ . For each  $\alpha \in I$ , let  $A_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha}$  be an almost upper semicontinuous  $Q_\alpha$ -condensing set-valued mapping with nonempty convex values, satisfying  $A_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$  is compactly open for each  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ , and  $T_\alpha : X \rightarrow 2^{X_\alpha^*}$  be upper semicontinuous with nonempty compact convex values. Then there exists  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in X \times X^*$  such that for each  $\alpha \in I$ ,*

$$\hat{x}_\alpha \in clA_\alpha(\hat{x}), \hat{y}_\alpha \in T_\alpha(\hat{x}), \text{ and } Re\langle \hat{y}_\alpha, z_\alpha - \hat{x}_\alpha \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } z_\alpha \in A_\alpha(\hat{x}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$  be the family of all neighborhoods of zero in  $X_\alpha$ . For each  $N_\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ , we define  $U_\alpha := \{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in X_\alpha \times X_\alpha \mid x_\alpha - y_\alpha \in N_\alpha\}$ . Then  $(X_\alpha, \{coD_\alpha\})$  ( $D_\alpha \in \langle X_\alpha \rangle$ ) is an l.c.-space with precompact polytopes, whose uniformity is  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha := \{U_\alpha \mid N_\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha\}$ . For each  $(x, y_\alpha, z_\alpha) \in X \times X_\alpha^* \times X_\alpha$ , let  $\phi_\alpha(x, y_\alpha, z_\alpha) = Re\langle y_\alpha, z_\alpha - \pi_\alpha(x) \rangle$ . Then  $\phi_\alpha$  is continuous and satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Consequently, the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. J. Arrow and G. Debreu, *Existence of an equilibrium for a competitive economy*, *Econometrica* **22** (1954), 265–290.
- [2] J. P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1984.
- [3] X. P. Ding and E. Tarafder, *Some coincidence theorems and applications*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **50** (1994), 73–80.
- [4] X. P. Ding, W. K. Kim and K. K. Tan, *Equilibria of non-compact Generalized Games with  $\mathcal{L}^*$ -majorized preference correspondences*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **164** (1992), 508–517.
- [5] X. P. Ding, *Fixed points, minimax inequalities and equilibria of noncompact abstract economics*, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **2** (1998), 25–55.
- [6] C. H. Huang and L. J. Chu, *Common Maximal Elements of Condensing Mappings*, (2012) (submitted for publication).
- [7] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [8] W. Shafer and H. Sonnenschein, *Equilibrium in abstract economies in without ordered preferences*, *J. Math. Econom.* **2** (1975), 345–348.
- [9] K. K. Tan and X. Z. Yuan, *Some minimax inequalities and applications to existence of equilibria in  $H$ -spaces*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **24** (1995), 1457–1470.
- [10] X. Wu, *Existence theorem for maximal elements in  $H$ -spaces with applications on the minimax inequalities and equilibrium of games*, *J. Appl. Anal.* **6** (2000), 283–293.
- [11] X. Wu and Z. F. Shen, *Equilibrium of abstract economy and generalized quasi-variational inequality in  $H$ -spaces*, *Topology Appl.* **153** (2005), 123–132.
- [12] Y. L. Wu, C. H. Huang and L. J. Chu, *An extension of Mehta theorem with applications*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **391(2)** (2012), 489–495.

CHIEN-HAO HUANG

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China

*E-mail address:* `huangch@math.ntnu.edu.tw`

LIANG-JU CHU

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China

*E-mail address:* `chulj@math.ntnu.edu.tw`