



ERGODIC THEOREMS FOR REVERSIBLE SEMIGROUPS OF NONLINEAR OPERATORS

KYUNG SOO KIM

ABSTRACT. Let S be a semitopological semigroup and C be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_s : s \in S\}$ be a continuous representation of S as weakly nonexpansive mappings of C into itself. In this paper, we prove that if S is right reversible, $F(\mathfrak{S})$ is nonempty and the net $\|T_s x - T_{gs}(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ for each fixed g in a generating set of S , then the net $\{T_s(x) : s \in S\}$ converges weakly to an element in $F(\mathfrak{S})$. Also if the space $RUC(S)$ of right uniformly continuous functions on S has a left invariant mean and there exist $x \in C$ with bounded orbit, then C contains a common fixed point for \mathfrak{S} .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S be a *semitopological semigroup* with identity, i.e., S is a semigroup with a Hausdorff topology such that for each $a \in S$ the mappings $s \rightarrow a \cdot s$ and $s \rightarrow s \cdot a$ from S to S are continuous.

A semitopological semigroup S is *left reversible* if any two closed right ideals of S has nonvoid intersection, i.e., $\overline{aS} \cap \overline{bS} \neq \emptyset, a, b \in S$. In this case, (S, \preceq) is a directed system when the binary relation " \preceq " on S is defined by $a \preceq b$ if and only if $\{a\} \cup \overline{aS} \supseteq \{b\} \cup \overline{bS}, a, b \in S$. Left reversible semitopological semigroups include all commutative semigroup. Right reversibility of S is defined similarly. S is called *reversible* if it is both left and right reversible.

Let S be a semitopological semigroup with identity, H be a real Hilbert space and $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_s : s \in S\}$ be a continuous representation of S on a closed convex subset C of H into C , i.e.,

- (i) $T_e = I$,
- (ii) $T_{ab}(x) = T_a T_b(x), a, b \in S, x \in C$,
- (iii) the mapping $(s, x) \rightarrow T_s(x)$ from $S \times C$ into C is continuous when $S \times C$ has the product topology.

Let $F(\mathfrak{S})$ denotes the set $\{x \in C : T_s(x) = x \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ of common fixed points of \mathfrak{S} in C . Then, as is well known, $F(\mathfrak{S})$ is a closed convex subset of C .

In [10], Lau proved the following: Let S be a semitopological semigroup and C be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_s : s \in S\}$ be a continuous representation of S as nonexpansive mappings of C into itself. If S is right reversible, $F(\mathfrak{S})$ is nonempty and the net $\|T_s x - T_{gs}(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ for each fixed g in a generating set of S , then the net $\{T_s(x) : s \in S\}$ converges weakly to an element in $F(\mathfrak{S})$. Also if the space $RUC(S)$ of right uniformly continuous functions

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A07, 43A60, 47H09, 47H10, 47H20.

Key words and phrases. Semitopological semigroup, almost periodic, right uniformly continuous, reversible, invariant mean, common fixed point, weakly nonexpansive mapping.

This work was supported by Kyungnam University Research Fund, 2011.

on S has a left invariant mean and there exist $x \in C$ with bounded orbit, then C contains a common fixed point for \mathfrak{S} .

In this paper, we shall study results of Lau in [10] on the continuous representation of S as weakly nonexpansive mappings of C into itself. For more recent works on fixed point properties for left reversible semigroups of nonexpansive mapping see [11], [12], [17] and [18].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Now, we introduce a new generalized mapping.

Definition 2.1. Let C be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of H and let $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_s : s \in S\}$ be a continuous representation of S on C .

(1) The mapping T_s is said to be *nonexpansive* if

$$\|T_s x - T_s y\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for $x, y \in C$ and $s \in S$.

(2) The mapping T_s is said to be *weakly nonexpansive* if for each x in C , there exist functions $\phi : S \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\limsup_{s \in S} \phi_s(k) \leq k$, $\gamma : S \times C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{s \in S} \gamma_s(x) = 0$ and $u : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{s \in S} u(s) = 0$ such that

$$\|T_s x - T_s y\| \leq \phi_s(\|x - y\|) + \gamma_s(x) + u(s)$$

for all $y \in C$ and $s \in S$, where $\phi_s(k) = \phi(s, k)$ and $\gamma_s(x) = \gamma(s, x)$.

Note that weakly nonexpansive mapping is the same almost asymptotically nonexpansive type mapping in [7].

Example 2.2. Let $S = \mathbb{N}$ (the set of all natural numbers with addition) and the closed convex subset $C = [0, 1]$. Define $T_s : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$T_s(x) = \begin{cases} x + \frac{1}{2^s} \sin \pi x, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and $s \in S$. Then T_s is weakly nonexpansive in the sense of (2). Indeed, let $\|x - y\| = k \in [0, \infty)$, define $\phi : S \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\gamma_s(x) : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $u : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\phi_s(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{\frac{s(s+1)}{2}-1} - 1}{2^{\frac{s(s+1)}{2}-1}} k, & \text{if } k \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ k - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{s(s+1)}{2}}, & \text{if } k \in [\frac{1}{2}, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_s(x) = \frac{1}{s} x$$

and

$$u(s) = \frac{1}{s},$$

for all $s \in S$ and $k \in [0, \infty)$.

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, S denotes a semitopological semigroup and $\mathfrak{S} = \{T_s : s \in S\}$ a continuous representation of S as weakly nonexpansive mappings from a nonempty closed convex subset C of a Hilbert space H into C .

3. WEAK CONVERGENCE OF $\{T_s(x) : s \in S\}$

If S is right reversible and S is directed, then for each $x \in C$, let $\omega(x)$ denote the set of all weak limit points of subnets of the net $\{T_s(x) : s \in S\}$. We will need in our proofs the following modification of Opial's condition for bounded nets in a Hilbert space.

Lemma 3.1 ([25]). *Let H be a Hilbert space and let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a bounded net in H converging weakly to x_0 . Then for any $x \in H, x \neq x_0$,*

$$\liminf_{\alpha} \|x_\alpha - x\| > \liminf_{\alpha} \|x_\alpha - x_0\|.$$

Here $\liminf_{\alpha} \{s_\alpha\}$ of a bounded net of real number $\{s_\alpha\}$ is the limit of the increasing net $\{t_\beta\}$, where $t_\beta = \inf_{\alpha \succeq \beta} s_\alpha$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that S is right reversible and $x \in C$.*

- (a) *If $F(\mathfrak{S})$ is nonempty and $\omega(x) \subseteq F(\mathfrak{S})$, then the net $\{T_t(x) : t \in S\}$ converges weakly to some $y \in F(\mathfrak{S})$.*
- (b) *Suppose the net $\{T_t(x) : t \in S\}$ converges weakly to some $y \in C$. Then $y \in F(\mathfrak{S})$ if and only if $\{T_t(x) : t \in S\}$ is bounded.*

Proof. (a) If $y \in F(\mathfrak{S})$, then the net $\{\|T_a x - y\| : a \in S\}$ is bounded. Also if $b \succeq a, b \in \overline{S a}$, let $\{s_\alpha\}$ be a net in S such that $s_\alpha a \rightarrow b$. Then, for each α ,

$$\|T_{s_\alpha a}(x) - y\| = \|T_{s_\alpha}(T_a x) - T_{s_\alpha} y\| \leq \phi_{s_\alpha}(\|T_a x - y\|) + \gamma_{s_\alpha}(T_a x) + u(s_\alpha).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_b x - y\| &= \limsup_{\alpha} \|T_{s_\alpha a}(x) - y\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{\alpha} \{\phi_{s_\alpha}(\|T_a x - y\|) + \gamma_{s_\alpha}(T_a x) + u(s_\alpha)\} \\ &= \|T_a x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the $\lim_a \|T_a x - y\|$ exists and is finite for each $y \in F(\mathfrak{S})$. Since the net $\{T_a x : a \in S\}$ is bounded, it must contain a subnet $\{T_{a_\alpha}(x)\}$ which converges weakly to some $z \in C$. By assumption, $z \in F(\mathfrak{S})$. Suppose $\{T_a x : a \in S\}$ does not converge weakly to z , then there exists another subnet $\{T_{a_\beta}(x)\}$ which converge weakly to some $u \in F(\mathfrak{S}), z \neq u$. Now by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha} \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - z\| &< \lim_{\alpha} \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - u\| \\ &= \lim_a \|T_a x - u\| = \lim_{\beta} \|T_{a_\beta}(x) - u\| \\ &< \lim_{\beta} \|T_{a_\beta}(x) - z\|, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible since

$$\lim_{\alpha} \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - z\| = \lim_{\beta} \|T_{a_\beta}(x) - z\|$$

by convergence of the net $\{\|T_a x - z\| : a \in S\}$.

(b) If $F(\mathfrak{S})$ is nonempty and $z \in F(\mathfrak{S})$, then

$$\|T_s x - z\| = \|T_s x - T_s z\| \leq \phi_s(\|x - z\|) + \gamma_s(x) + u(s)$$

for each $s \in S, x \in C$. So $\limsup_s \|T_s x - z\| \leq \|x - z\|$. Hence $\{T_s x : s \in S\}$ is bounded.

Conversely, if $\{T_s x : s \in S\}$ is bounded, let $a \in S$. If $T_a y \neq y$, then by Lemma 3.1,

$$\rho = \liminf_s \|T_s x - T_a y\| > \liminf_s \|T_s x - y\|.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $b \in S$ such that

$$\inf_{b \preceq s} \|T_s x - T_a y\| > \rho - \varepsilon.$$

In particular,

$$\|T_{as}(x) - T_a y\| > \rho - \varepsilon$$

for all $s \succeq b$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\liminf_s \|T_{as}(x) - T_a y\| \geq \rho.$$

On the other hand, for each $x \in C$,

$$\|T_{as}(x) - T_a y\| \leq \phi_a(\|T_s x - y\|) + \gamma_a(T_s x) + u(a)$$

for all $a, s \in S$. Fix $s \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{as}(x) - T_a y\| &\leq \limsup_a \|T_{as}(x) - T_a y\| \\ &\leq \limsup_a \{\phi_a(\|T_s x - y\|) + \gamma_a(T_s x) + u(a)\} \\ &\leq \|T_s x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we conclude

$$\liminf_s \|T_{as}(x) - T_a y\| \leq \liminf_s \|T_s x - y\|.$$

This is impossible. Hence $T_a y = y$. □

A subset G of S is called a *generating set* if elements of the form $g_1 g_2 g_3 \cdots g_n$, where $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G$ is dense in S .

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that S is right reversible and $x \in C$. If $F(\mathfrak{S})$ is nonempty and $\|T_a x - T_{ga}(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ for all g in a generating set G of S , then the net $\{T_a x : a \in S\}$ converges weakly to an element of $F(\mathfrak{S})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to show that $\omega(x) \subseteq F(\mathfrak{S})$. Let $\{T_{a_\alpha}(x)\}$ be a subnet of $\{T_a(x) : a \in S\}$ converging weakly to some $y \in C$. Let $g \in G$. If $T_g y \neq y$, then by Lemma 3.1

$$(3.1) \quad \liminf_\alpha \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - y\| < \liminf_\alpha \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - T_g y\|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - T_g y\| &\leq \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - T_{ga_\alpha}(x)\| + \|T_{ga_\alpha}(x) - T_g(y)\| \\ &\leq \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - T_{ga_\alpha}(x)\| + \phi_g(\|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - y\|) \\ &\quad + \gamma_g(T_{a_\alpha}(x)) + u(g), \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G$. Fix $a_\alpha \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - T_g(y)\| &\leq \limsup_g \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - T_g(y)\| \\ &\leq \limsup_g \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - T_{ga_\alpha}(x)\| + \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_\alpha \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - T_g y\| \\ \leq \liminf_\alpha (\limsup_g \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - T_{ga_\alpha}(x)\|) + \liminf_\alpha \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since $\lim_\alpha \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - T_{ga_\alpha}(x)\| = 0$, we have

$$\liminf_\alpha \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - T_g y\| \leq \liminf_\alpha \|T_{a_\alpha}(x) - y\|.$$

This contradicts to (3.1). Hence $T_g(y) = y$. Since $g \in G$ is arbitrary, it follows that $y \in F(\mathfrak{S})$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that S is right reversible and $F(\mathfrak{S})$ is nonempty. Let P be the metric projection of H onto $F(\mathfrak{S})$. Then for each $x \in C$, the net $\{PT_a(x) : a \in S\}$ converges in norm to some $z \in F(\mathfrak{S})$. Furthermore, if the net $\{PT_a(x) : a \in S\}$ converges weakly to some $y \in F(\mathfrak{S})$, then $y = z$.*

Proof. Observe that

$$(3.2) \quad \|P(T_a x) - T_a x\| \leq \|P(T_b x) - T_a x\|$$

for any $a, b \in S$. If $a \succeq b$ and $a \neq b$, let $s_\alpha b$ be a net converging to a . Then for each α ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(T_b x) - T_{s_\alpha b}(x)\| &= \|T_{s_\alpha} P(T_b x) - T_{s_\alpha}(T_b x)\| \\ &\leq \phi_{s_\alpha}(\|P(T_b x) - T_b x\|) + \gamma_{s_\alpha}(PT_b x) + u(s_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_\alpha \|P(T_b x) - T_{s_\alpha b}(x)\| \\ \leq \limsup_\alpha \{\phi_{s_\alpha}(\|P(T_b x) - T_b x\|) + \gamma_{s_\alpha}(PT_b x) + u(s_\alpha)\} \\ \leq \|P(T_b x) - T_b x\|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(3.3) \quad \|P(T_b x) - T_a x\| \leq \|P(T_b x) - T_b x\|$$

for $a \succeq b$. Hence if $a \succeq b$, by (3.2) and (3.3), then

$$(3.4) \quad \|P(T_a x) - T_a x\| \leq \|P(T_b x) - T_b x\|.$$

Let $u \in F(\mathfrak{S})$ and $v \in H$. By property of P , we have

$$\|Pv - u\|^2 \leq \|v - u\|^2 - \|Pv - v\|^2.$$

Put $v = T_ax$ and $u = PT_bx$. For $a \succeq b$, since (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(T_ax) - P(T_bx)\|^2 &\leq \|T_ax - P(T_bx)\|^2 - \|P(T_ax) - T_ax\|^2 \\ &\leq \|P(T_bx) - T_bx\|^2 - \|P(T_ax) - T_ax\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.4) that $\{P(T_ax) : x \in S\}$ is a norm Cauchy net in H . Hence it must converge to some $z \in F(\mathfrak{S})$. If $\{T_ax : a \in S\}$ converges weakly to some $y \in F(\mathfrak{S})$, then, by property of P , we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle T_ax - P(T_ax), u - PT_ax \rangle \leq 0$$

for all $u \in F(\mathfrak{S})$, $a \in S$. Hence

$$\langle y - z, u - z \rangle \leq 0$$

for all $u \in F(\mathfrak{S})$. In particular $y = z$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.5. Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 are generalization or improvement of Belluce and Kirk ([2]), Lau ([10]) and Pazy ([26]) for Hilbert spaces.

4. SEMIGROUP OF WEAKLY NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

Given a nonempty set S , we denote by $l^\infty(S)$ the Banach space of all bounded real valued functions on S with supremum norm. Let S be a semigroup. Then a subspace X of $l^\infty(S)$ is left (respectively right) translation invariant if $l_a(X) \subseteq X$ (respectively $r_a(X) \subseteq X$) for all $a \in S$, where $(l_af)(s) = f(as)$ and $(r_af)(s) = f(sa)$, $s \in S$.

We denote by $CB(S)$ the closed subalgebra of $l^\infty(S)$ consisting of continuous functions.

Let $AP(S)$ denote the space of almost periodic functions f in $CB(S)$, i.e., all $f \in CB(S)$ such that $\{l_af : a \in S\}$ is relatively compact in the norm topology of $CB(S)$, or equivalently $\{r_af : a \in S\}$ is relatively compact in the norm topology of $CB(S)$.

Let $LUC(S)$ (respectively $RUC(S)$) be the space of left (respectively right) uniformly continuous functions on S , i.e., all $f \in CB(S)$ such that the mapping from S into $CB(S)$ defined by $s \rightarrow l_sf$ (respectively $s \rightarrow r_sf$) is continuous when $CB(S)$ has the sup norm topology.

Remark 4.1 ([1], [23]). The followings are well-known.

- (1) $AP(S) \subseteq LUC(S) \cap RUC(S)$.
- (2) $LUC(S)$ and $RUC(S)$ are left and right translation invariant closed subalgebras of $CB(S)$, respectively, containing constants.

Let X be a subspace of $l^\infty(S)$ containing constants. Then $\mu \in X^*$ is called a *mean* on X if $\|\mu\| = \mu(1) = 1$. As is well known, μ is a mean on X if and only if

$$\inf_{s \in S} f(s) \leq \mu(f) \leq \sup_{s \in S} f(s) \quad \text{for all } f \in X.$$

Let X be l_s -invariant then, a mean μ on X is *left invariant* if $\mu(l_sf) = \mu(f)$ for all $s \in S$ and $f \in X$. Similarly we can define right invariant mean. μ is called an *invariant mean* if it is left and right invariant mean. The value of a mean μ at $f \in X$ will be denoted by $\mu(f)$, $\langle \mu, f \rangle$ or $\mu_t f(t)$.

A semigroup S which has a left (respectively right) invariant mean on $l^\infty(S)$ is called *left (respectively right) amenable*. A semigroup which has an invariant mean is called *amenable*.

Lemma 4.2. *If $x \in C$ with relatively compact orbit and $y \in H$, the the following functions are in $AP(S)$:*

- (a) $g_x(s) = \langle y, T_s x \rangle$,
- (b) $f_x(s) = \|T_s x - y\|$.

Proof. (a) It follows from [9].

(b) It is clear that $f \in CB(S)$. To see that f is almost periodic, for each $z \in C$, let

$$f_z(s) = \|y - T_s z\|.$$

Then

$$r_a f_x(s) = f_x(sa) = \|y - T_{sa}(x)\| = \|y - T_s T_a x\| = f_w(s),$$

where $w = T_a x$. Let $\tau : z \rightarrow f_z, z \in S$. If we can show that τ is continuous when $CB(S)$ has the sup norm topology, then $\overline{\tau(O(x))}$ is a compact subset of $CB(S)$ containing $\{r_a f : a \in S\}$. In particular, $f \in AP(S)$. To see that τ is continuous, let $\{z_n\}$ be a sequence in C , $z_n \rightarrow z$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau(z_n)(s) - \tau(z)(s)| &= |f_{z_n}(s) - f_z(s)| \\ &= |||y - T_s(z_n)| - \|y - T_s z||| \\ &\leq |||T_s z_n - T_s z||| = \|T_s z_n - T_s z\| \\ &\leq \phi_s(\|z_n - z\|) + \gamma_s(z_n) + u(s), \end{aligned}$$

by weakly nonexpansive of $T_s, s \in S$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_s |\tau(z_n)(s) - \tau(z)(s)| &\leq \limsup_s \{\phi_s(\|z_n - z\|) + \gamma_s(z_n) + u(s)\} \\ &\leq \|z_n - z\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $z_n \rightarrow z$, we have $\|z_n - z\| \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\|\tau(z_n) - \tau(z)\| \rightarrow 0$. \square

Let $x \in C$ with relatively compact orbit, and $\mu \in AP(S)^*$ be a mean. Then $\psi(y) = \mu(g_x)$, where $g_x(s) = \langle y, T_s x \rangle$ defines a bounded linear functional on H . Hence, by the Riesz representation theorem, there exists $z \in H$ such that $\psi(y) = \langle y, z \rangle$ for all $y \in H$. Since μ is the weak* limit of finite means of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \delta_{a_i}, \lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1, a_i \in S$, where $\delta_a(h) = h(a), h \in AP(S)$, and C is weakly closed, it follows that $z \in C$. Write $T_\mu(x) = z$. Then T_μ is a nonexpansive mapping from C into C and $F(T_\mu) \supseteq F(\mathfrak{S})$ as readily checked.

Lemma 4.3. *If μ is a left invariant mean on $AP(S)$, then $T_\mu(x)$ is a common fixed point for $\{T_t : t \in S\}$.*

Proof. Let $a \in S$ and $z = T_\mu(x)$. For each $s \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_a z - T_{as}(x)\| &= \|T_a z - T_a T_s x\| \\ &\leq \phi_a(\|z - T_s x\|) + \gamma_a(z) + u(a). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T_a z - T_{as}(x)\|^2 &\leq \{\phi_a(\|z - T_s x\|)\}^2 + (\gamma_a(z) + u(a))^2 \\ &\quad + 2\phi_a(\|z - T_s x\|)(\gamma_a(z) + u(a)), \end{aligned}$$

for $a, s \in S$. Fix $s \in S$, we apply \limsup_a to the inequality (4.1),

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\limsup_a \|T_a z - T_{as}(x)\|^2 \\ &\leq \limsup_a \{\phi_a(\|z - T_s x\|)\}^2 + \limsup_a (\gamma_a(z) + u(a))^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \limsup_a \phi_a(\|z - T_s x\|)(\gamma_a(z) + u(a)) \\ &\leq \|z - T_s x\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z - T_a z\|^2 + \|T_a z - T_s x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle z - T_a z, T_a z - T_s x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now for $w \in H$, the function $f_x(s) = \|w - T_s x\|^2$ is in $AP(S)$ by Lemma 4.2. Hence if $w = T_a z$, then $l_a f_x(s) = f_x(as) = \|T_a z - T_{as} x\|^2$ is in $AP(S)$. We apply the left invariant mean μ to the inequality (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_s(\limsup_a \|T_a z - T_{as}(x)\|^2) &\leq \|z - T_a z\|^2 + \mu_s(\|T_a z - T_s x\|^2) \\ &\quad + \mu_s(2\operatorname{Re}\langle z - T_a z, T_a z - T_s x \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_a \|T_a z - z\|^2 &\leq \|z - T_a z\|^2 + \|T_a z - z\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle z - T_a z, T_a z - z \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T_a z = z$. Since $a \in S$ is arbitrary, $z \in F(\mathfrak{S})$. □

Theorem 4.4. *If $AP(S)$ has a left invariant mean, $x \in C$ such that $\{T_s x : s \in S\}$ is relatively compact, then C contains a common fixed point for \mathfrak{S} . Furthermore, if μ is a left invariant mean on $AP(S)$, then $F(\mathfrak{S}) = F(T_\mu)$.*

Proof. This follows easily from Lemma 4.3. □

Remark 4.5. If S is a left reversible semitopological semigroup, then $AP(S)$ has a left invariant mean. However there exists semitopological semigroup S which is not left reversible but $CB(S)$ has a left invariant mean(see [4], [5], [6], [8], [9]).

Remark 4.6. Theorem 4.4 should be compared with Corollary 3.3 in [13].

Similarly, we can obtain the following results(see [13], p.1213).

Lemma 4.7. *If $x \in C$ with bounded orbit and $y \in H$, then the following functions are in $RUC(S)$:*

- (a) $g_x(s) = \langle y, T_s x \rangle$,
- (b) $f_x(s) = \|T_s x - y\|$.

Theorem 4.8. *If $RUC(S)$ has a left invariant mean and there exist $x \in C$ such that $\{T_s x : s \in S\}$ is bounded, then \mathfrak{S} has a common fixed point in C . Furthermore, if μ is a left invariant mean on $RUC(S)$, then $F(\mathfrak{S}) = F(T_\mu)$.*

Remark 4.9. Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.8 are generalization or improvement of Belluce and Kirk ([3]), Holmes and Lau ([4]) and Lim ([19], [20]) for Hilbert spaces.

5. SOME OPEN PROBLEMS

Definition 5.1. (1) Let $WAP(S)$ denote the space of weakly almost periodic functions f in $CB(S)$, i.e., all $f \in CB(S)$ such that $\{l_a f : a \in S\}$ is relatively compact in the weak topology of $CB(S)$, or equivalently $\{r_a f : a \in S\}$ is relatively compact in the weak topology of $CB(S)$.

(2) A function $f \in CB(S)$ is called *asymptotically left uniformly continuous* if for any $s \in S, \varepsilon > 0$, there exist a neighbourhood U of s and a right ideal J of S such that

$$\|l_u f - l_s f\|_J = \sup\{|f(ut) - f(st)| : t \in J\} < \varepsilon$$

for all $u \in U$. The closed linear span of the set of *asymptotically left uniformly continuous* functions on S is denoted by $ALUC(S)$. Similarly we define the closed subspace $ARUC(S)$ of $CB(S)$ with left and right interchanged.

Remark 5.2. ([21], [22]) The following are well-known:

- (1) $AP(S) \subseteq WAP(S)$.
- (2) $WAP(S) \not\subseteq RUC(S)$ and $RUC(S) \not\subseteq WAP(S)$.

When S is a group, then $WAP(S) \subseteq LUC(S) \cap RUC(S)$.

Remark 5.3. ([14]) $ALUC(S) \supseteq LUC(S)$ and $ARUC(S) \supseteq RUC(S)$.

Definition 5.4 ([24]). A real valued function μ on X is called a *submean* on X if the following conditions are satisfies:

- (i) $\mu(f + g) \leq \mu(f) + \mu(g)$ for every $f, g \in X$.
- (ii) $\mu(\alpha f) = \alpha \mu(f)$ for every $f \in X$ and $\alpha \geq 0$.
- (iii) for $f, g \in X, f \leq g$ implies $\mu(f) \leq \mu(g)$.
- (iv) $\mu(c) = c$ for every constant function c .

Remark 5.5. Clearly every mean is a submean(see also [15] and [16]).

Open Problems:

- 1. Are the functions g and f defined in Lemma 4.7 in $WAP(S)$?
- 2. Is Theorem 4.8 true with mean replaced by submean?
- 3. Is Theorem 4.8 true with $RUC(S)$ replaced by $WAP(S)$ or $ARUC(S)$?
- 4. Is Theorem 4.8 true for weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space? (see [18]).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Prof. Anthony To-Ming Lau for his helpful suggestions. Also, special thanks are due to the referee for his/her deep insight which improved the paper.

REFERENCES

[1] J. F. Berglund, H. D. Jungheun and P. Milnes, *Analysis on Semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1989.

- [2] L. P. Belluce and W. A. Kirk, *Fixed-point theorems for families of contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), 213–217.
- [3] L. P. Belluce and W. A. Kirk, *Nonexpansive mappings and fixed-points in Banach spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **11** (1967), 474–479.
- [4] R. D. Holmes and A. T. Lau, *Nonexpansive actions of topological semigroups and fixed points*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **5** (1972), 330–336.
- [5] J. I. Kang, *Fixed point set of semigroups of non-expansive mappings and amenability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **341** (2008), 1445–1456.
- [6] J. I. Kang, *Fixed points of non-expansive mappings associated with invariant means in a Banach space*, Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008), 3316–3324.
- [7] K. S. Kim, *Nonlinear ergodic theorems of nonexpansive type mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **358** (2009), 261–272.
- [8] K. S. Kim, *Convergence of a hybrid algorithm for a reversible semigroup of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **73** (2010), 3413–3419.
- [9] A. T.-M. Lau, *Invariant means on almost periodic functions and fixed point properties*, Rocky Mountain J. **3** (1973), 69–76.
- [10] A. T.-M. Lau, *Semigroup of nonexpansive mappings on a Hilbert space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **105** (1985), 514–522.
- [11] A. T.-M. Lau, *Invariant means and fixed point properties of semigroup of nonexpansive mappings*, Taiwanese J. Math. **12** (2008), 1525–1542.
- [12] A. T.-M. Lau and P. Mah, *Fixed point property for Banach algebras associated to locally compact groups*, J. Functional Analysis **258** (2010), 357–372.
- [13] A. T.-M. Lau, H. Miyake and W. Takahashi, *Approximation of fixed points for amenable semigroups of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **67** (2007), 1211–1225.
- [14] A. T.-M. Lau and W. Takahashi, *Invariant means and fixed point properties for nonexpansive representations of topological semigroups*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **5** (1995), 39–57.
- [15] A. T.-M. Lau and W. Takahashi, *Invariant submeans and semigroups of nonexpansive mappings on Banach spaces with normal structure*, Jour. Functional Anal. **142** (1996), 79–88.
- [16] A. T.-M. Lau and W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear submeans on semigroups*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **22** (2003), 345–353.
- [17] A. T.-M. Lau and W. Takahashi, *Fixed point properties for semigroup of nonexpansive mappings on Fréchet spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **70** (11) (2009), 3837–3841.
- [18] A. T.-M. Lau and Y. Zhang, *Fixed point properties of semigroup of non-expansive mappings*, Jour. Functional Anal. **254** (2008), 2534–2554.
- [19] T. C. Lim, *Characterization of normal structure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1974), 313–319.
- [20] T. C. Lim, *Asymptotic centers and nonexpansive mappings in conjugate Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **90** (1980), 135–143.
- [21] P. Milnes, *Compactifications of semitopological semigroups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **15** (1973), 488–503.
- [22] P. Milnes and J. S. Pym, *Function spaces on semitopological semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **19** (1980), 347–354.
- [23] T. Mitchell, *Topological semigroups and fixed points*, Illinois J. Math. **14** (1970), 630–641.
- [24] N. Mizoguchi and W. Takahashi, *On the existence of fixed points and ergodic retractions for Lipschitzian semigroups in Hilbert spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **14** (1990), 69–80.
- [25] Z. Opial, *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 591–597.
- [26] A. Pazy, *On the asymptotic behavior of iterates of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Israel J. Math. **26** (1977), 197–204.

KYUNG SOO KIM

Graduate School of Education, Education, Kyungnam University, Changwon, Kyungnam, 631-701,
Republic of Korea

E-mail address: `kksmj@kyungnam.ac.kr`