

MULTIVALUED PICARD OPERATORS

ADRIAN PETRUȘEL AND GABRIELA PETRUȘEL

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to realize a systematic study of the theory of multivalued Picard operators. Some open questions are also presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be a multivalued operator. The symbol $F_T := \{x \in X \mid x \in T(x)\}$ denotes the fixed point set of T , while $(SF)_T := \{x \in X \mid \{x\} = T(x)\}$ is the strict fixed point set of T . We also denote by H_d the Pompeiu-Hausdorff functional generated by d .

By definition, $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ is called a multivalued Picard operator (see [12], [15]) if and only if:

- (i) $(SF)_T = F_T = \{x^*\}$;
- (ii) $T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H_d} \{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in X$.

The aim of this paper is to realize a systematic study of the theory of multivalued Picard operators. Several new results and some open questions are presented. We will focus our attention on the (strict) fixed point problem for a ψ -multivalued Picard operator from the following perspectives:

- data dependence of the (strict) fixed point set;
- well-posedness of the (strict) fixed point problem;
- Ulam-Hyers stability of the (strict) fixed point problem.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall first the notations and concepts used in this paper. Let X be a nonempty set. Then we denote

$$\mathcal{P}(X) := \{Y \mid Y \text{ is a subset of } X\}, P(X) := \{Y \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid Y \text{ is non-empty}\}.$$

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We introduce the following notations:

$$P_b(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is bounded}\}, P_{cl}(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is closed}\},$$

$$P_{cp}(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is compact}\}, P_{b,cl}(X) := P_b(X) \cap P_{cl}(X).$$

The following (generalized) functionals are used throughout the paper.

The gap functional

$$D_d : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, D_d(A, B) := \inf\{d(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. Multivalued operator, fixed point, strict fixed point, multivalued Picard operator, data dependence, well-posedness, Ulam-Hyers stability.

This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0094.

The δ generalized functional

$$\delta_d : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, \quad \delta_d(A, B) := \sup\{d(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

In particular, $\delta(A) := \delta(A, A)$.

The excess generalized functional

$$\rho_d : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, \quad \rho_d(A, B) := \sup\{D_d(a, B) \mid a \in A\}.$$

The Hausdorff-Pompeiu generalized functional

$$H_d : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, \quad H_d(A, B) := \max\{\rho_d(A, B), \rho_d(B, A)\}.$$

If no confusion is possible, we will avoid the subscript d from the above notations.

If $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ is a multivalued operator, then by

$$\text{Graph}(T) := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : y \in T(x)\}$$

we denote the graphic of the multivalued operator T and by

$$I(T) := \{Y \subset X \mid T(Y) \subset Y\},$$

the set of all invariant subsets of T . A selection for T is an operator $t : X \rightarrow X$ with the property $t(x) \in T(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

We also denote by $T^0 := 1_X$, $T^1 := T$, \dots , $T^{n+1} = T \circ T^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the iterate operators of T . A sequence of successive approximations of T starting from $x \in X$ is a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in X with $x_0 = x$, $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the same framework, the operator $\hat{T} : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$, defined by

$$\hat{T}(Y) := \bigcup_{x \in Y} T(x), \quad \text{for } Y \in P(X)$$

is called the fractal operator generated by T .

If (X, d) is a metric space, then a multivalued operator $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ is called upper semicontinuous (briefly u.s.c.) on X if and only if $T^+(V) := \{x \in X \mid T(x) \subset V\}$ is open, for each open set $V \subset X$ and it is said to be lower semicontinuous (briefly l.s.c.) on X if and only if $T^-(W) := \{x \in X \mid T(x) \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$ is open, for each open set $W \subset X$. If T is u.s.c. and l.s.c. on X then it is called continuous on X .

Lemma 2.1 (see e.g. [1], [3], [10]). *If (X, d) is a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P_{cp}(X)$, then the following conclusions hold:*

- (a) *if T is upper semicontinuous, then $T(Y) \in P_{cp}(X)$, for every $Y \in P_{cp}(X)$;*
- (b) *the continuity of T implies the continuity of $\hat{T} : P_{cp}(X) \rightarrow P_{cp}(X)$;*
- (c) *If T is a multivalued α -contraction (i.e., $\alpha \in [0, 1[$ and $H_d(T(x), T(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$, for each $x, y \in X$), then the operator $\hat{T} : (P_{cp}(X), H_d) \rightarrow (P_{cp}(X), H_d)$ is a (singlevalued) α -contraction.*

Definition 2.2 ([23]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then, $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ is called a multivalued weakly Picard operator (briefly MWP operator) if for each $x \in X$ and each $y \in T(x)$ there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X such that:

- i) $x_0 = x$, $x_1 = y$;
- ii) $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- iii) the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent and its limit is a fixed point of T .

The sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X satisfying (i) and (ii) from the above definition is also called a sequence of successive approximations of T starting from $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(T)$.

Definition 2.3 ([12], [15]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be a MWP operator. Then we define the multivalued operator $T^\infty : \text{Graph}(T) \rightarrow P(F_T)$ by the formula $T^\infty(x, y) = \{ z \in F_T \mid \text{there exists a sequence of successive approximations of } T \text{ starting from } (x, y) \text{ that converges to } z \}$.

Definition 2.4 ([12], [15]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ a MWP operator. Then T is said to be a ψ -multivalued weakly Picard operator (briefly ψ -MWP operator) if and only if $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is increasing, continuous in 0 and satisfies $\psi(0) = 0$ and there exists a selection t^∞ of T^∞ such that

$$d(x, t^\infty(x, y)) \leq \psi(d(x, y)), \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \text{Graph}(T).$$

In particular, if ψ has a linear representation, i.e., there exists $c > 0$ such that $\psi(t) = ct$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then T is called a c -multivalued weakly Picard operator.

Definition 2.5 (see [18]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. An operator $f : X \rightarrow X$ is Picard operator if and only if:

- (i) $F_f = \{x^*\}$;
- (ii) $(f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $x \in X$.

For basic notions and results on the theory of weakly Picard and Picard operators see [12], [11], [15], [18], [24]. For related results concerning metric spaces, operators on metric spaces and fixed points see [2], [7], [8], [26].

3. MULTIVALUED ψ -PICARD OPERATORS

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Recall that $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ is called a multivalued Picard operator if:

- (i) $(SF)_T = F_T = \{x^*\}$;
- (ii) $T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H_d} \{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in X$.

We will give now some examples of multivalued Picard operators. Let us mention here that the theoretical support of these examples comes from several research directions in metric fixed point theory.

A first direction was given by the following result of S. Reich in [17].

Theorem 3.1 (Reich's Theorem). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P_b(X)$ be a multivalued δ -contraction of Reich type with coefficients α, β, γ , i.e., there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that*

$$\delta(T(x), T(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \delta(x, T(x)) + \gamma \delta(y, T(y)), \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then, $(SF)_T = F_T = \{x^\}$.*

Proof. Let $q > 1$ and let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary. Then there exists $x_1 \in T(x_0)$ such that $\delta(x_0, T(x_0)) \leq q \cdot d(x_0, x_1)$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x_1, T(x_1)) &\leq \delta(T(x_0), T(x_1)) \leq \alpha d(x_0, x_1) + \beta \delta(x_0, T(x_0)) + \gamma \delta(x_1, T(x_1)) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_0, x_1) + \beta q d(x_0, x_1) + \gamma \delta(x_1, T(x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get $\delta(x_1, T(x_1)) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta q}{1 - \gamma} d(x_0, x_1)$. By this approach we can construct a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ of successive approximations for T , such that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \delta(x_n, T(x_n)) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta q}{1 - \gamma} \right)^n d(x_0, x_1), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Choosing $q > 1$ with $q\beta < 1 - \alpha - \gamma$ we obtain $\frac{\alpha + \beta q}{1 - \gamma} < 1$. Hence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space (X, d) . Let us denote by $x^* \in X$ its limit. We show that x^* is a strict fixed point for T , i.e., $T(x^*) = \{x^*\}$. Indeed, since

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x^*, T(x^*)) &\leq d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + D(x_{n+1}, T(x_n)) + \delta(T(x_n), T(x^*)) \leq \\ &d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \alpha d(x_n, x^*) + \beta \delta(x_n, T(x_n)) + \gamma \delta(x^*, T(x^*)) \leq \\ &d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \alpha d(x_n, x^*) + \beta \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta q}{1 - \gamma} \right)^n \cdot d(x_0, x_1) + \gamma \delta(x^*, T(x^*)), \end{aligned}$$

we have, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that

$$\delta(x^*, T(x^*)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} (d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \alpha d(x_n, x^*) + \beta \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta q}{1 - \gamma} \right)^n \cdot d(x_0, x_1)).$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $\delta(x^*, T(x^*)) = 0$ and thus $T(x^*) = \{x^*\}$.

We will show now that $F_T = (SF)_T$. For this purpose it is enough to show that $F_T \subset (SF)_T$. Let $x \in F_T$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then, from the contraction type condition, by putting $y = x \in T(x)$, we get

$$\delta(T(x)) \leq (\beta + \gamma) \cdot \delta(x, T(x)) \leq (\beta + \gamma) \cdot \delta(T(x)).$$

If, we suppose, by contradiction, that $\text{card}(T(x)) > 1$, then $\delta(T(x)) > 0$ and, by above relation, we obtain $1 \leq \beta + \gamma$, a contradiction. Thus, we have proved that $\delta(T(x)) = 0$ and so $\{x\} = T(x)$.

For the uniqueness of the strict fixed point, let us consider $y \in (SF)_T$, with $y \neq x^*$. Then

$$d(x^*, y) = \delta(T(x^*), T(y)) \leq \alpha d(x^*, y) + \beta \delta(x^*, T(x^*)) + \gamma \delta(y, T(y)) = \alpha d(x^*, y),$$

which let us the contradiction $\alpha \geq 1$. The proof is now complete. \square

Remark 3.2. The original proof of this result is based on the idea of constructing a singlevalued Ćirić-Reich-Rus selection of the operator T , see [17]. See also I.A. Rus [21].

Adding a new condition on the coefficients we obtain an example of multivalued Picard operator.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P_b(X)$ be a multivalued δ -contraction of Reich type with coefficients α, β, γ . Additionally suppose that $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$. Then T is a multivalued Picard operator.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 we know that $(SF)_T = F_T = \{x^*\}$. We have to prove that $T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H_q} \{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in X$. We successively have:

$$\delta(T(x), x^*) = \delta(T(x), T(x^*)) \leq \alpha d(x, x^*) + \beta \delta(x, T(x)) + \gamma \delta(x^*, T(x^*)) =$$

$\alpha d(x, x^*) + \beta \delta(x, T(x)) \leq \alpha d(x, x^*) + \beta(d(x, x^*) + \delta(x^*, T(x)))$. Thus

$$\delta(T(x), x^*) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \beta} d(x, x^*), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Then

$$\delta(T^2(x), x^*) = \sup_{y \in T(x)} \delta(T(y), x^*) \leq \sup_{y \in T(x)} \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right) d(y, x^*) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right)^2 d(x, x^*).$$

By induction, we get that

$$\delta(T^n(x), x^*) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right)^n d(x, x^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ for each } x \in X.$$

The proof is now complete. □

For the next result we need the following two notions, see [21] for details. A mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be a comparison function if it is increasing and $\varphi^k(t) \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. As a consequence, we also have $\varphi(t) < t$, for each $t > 0$, $\varphi(0) = 0$ and φ is continuous in 0. A comparison function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ having the property that $t - \varphi(t) \rightarrow +\infty$, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ is said to be a strict comparison function.

A general result for multivalued operators satisfying a nonlinear contraction type condition was proved by I.A. Rus in [21], see Theorem 8.4.3, page 85.

Theorem 3.4 (Rus' Theorem). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $T : X \rightarrow P_b(X)$ be a multivalued operator and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a mapping. Suppose:*

- (i) $r, s \in \mathbb{R}_+^5, r \leq s$ implies that $\varphi(r) \leq \varphi(s)$;
- (ii) there exists $p > 1$ such that the mapping $\Phi_p : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ given by $t \mapsto \varphi(t, pt, pt, t, t)$ is a strict comparison function;
- (iii) $\delta(T(x), T(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y), \delta(x, T(x)), \delta(y, T(y)), \delta(x, T(y)), \delta(y, T(x)))$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Then, $(SF)_T = F_T = \{x^*\}$.

The above result also assures the first assumption from the definition of a multivalued Picard operator. In order to obtain the second one too, we have to impose, as before, another condition on the operator T . A result in this direction is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $T : X \rightarrow P_b(X)$ be a multivalued operator satisfying all the assumptions from Theorem 3.4. If, additionally, there exists a comparison function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that*

$$r_0, r_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ with } r_1 \leq \varphi(r_0, r_0 + r_1, 0, r_0, r_1) \text{ implies that } r_1 \leq \psi(r_0),$$

then T is a multivalued Picard operator.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 we have that $(SF)_T = F_T = \{x^*\}$. We have to prove that $T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H_d} \{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in X$. We successively have:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(T(x), x^*) &= \delta(T(x), T(x^*)) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x, x^*), \delta(x, T(x)), \delta(x^*, T(x^*)), \delta(x, T(x^*)), \delta(x^*, T(x))) \\ &= \varphi(d(x, x^*), \delta(x, T(x)), 0, d(x, x^*), \delta(x^*, T(x))) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x, x^*), d(x, x^*) + \delta(x^*, T(x)), 0, d(x, x^*), \delta(x^*, T(x))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the additional hypothesis we get that

$$\delta(T(x), x^*) \leq \psi(d(x, x^*)).$$

Next, $\delta(T^2(x), x^*) = \sup_{y \in T(x)} \delta(T(y), x^*) \leq \sup_{y \in T(x)} \psi(d(y, x^*)) \leq \psi^2(d(x, x^*)).$

By induction, for each $x \in X$, we get that

$$\delta(T^n(x), x^*) \leq \psi^n(d(x, x^*)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The proof is complete. \square

A second direction concerning multivalued Picard operators is given by the following result of I.A. Rus, see [21], Theorem 8.5.1, page 87.

Theorem 3.6 (Rus' Theorem). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(X)$ be a multivalued α -contraction such that $(SF)_T \neq \emptyset$. Then $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$.*

We get now another example of multivalued Picard operator. For the sake of completeness we recall here the proof of the whole theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow P_{cp}(X)$ be a multivalued α -contraction with $(SF)_T \neq \emptyset$. Then, we have:*

(i) $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\};$

(ii) $T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H_d} \{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, for each $x \in X$,

i.e., T is a multivalued Picard operator.

Proof. (i) (see [21]). Let $x^* \in (SF)_T$. Notice first that $(SF)_T = \{x^*\}$. Indeed, if $y \in (SF)_T$ with $y \neq x^*$, then $d(x^*, y) = H(T(x^*), T(y)) \leq \alpha d(x^*, y)$. Thus, since $\alpha < 1$, we immediately get that $y = x^*$.

Suppose now that $y \in F_T$. Then,

$$d(x^*, y) = D(T(x^*), y) \leq H(T(x^*), T(y)) \leq \alpha d(x^*, y).$$

Thus, again we have that $y = x^*$. Hence $F_T \subset (SF)_T$. Since $(SF)_T \subset F_T$, we get that $(SF)_T = F_T$.

(ii) Let $x \in X$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then, using Lemma 2.1 (c), we have

$$H(T^n(x), x^*) = H(T^n(x), T^n(x^*)) \leq \alpha H(T^{n-1}(x), T^{n-1}(x^*)) \leq \dots \leq \alpha^n d(x, x^*).$$

Thus, $T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H_d} \{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Remark 3.8. A similar result for the case of multivalued φ -contractions (i.e., multivalued operators $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ satisfying, with a strict comparison function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, the following assumption

$$H_d(T(x), T(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

can be established (see also A. Sîntămărian [25]).

The above presented results give rise to the following definition.

Definition 3.9. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then, by definition, a multivalued operator $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ satisfies Rus' alternative if

$$\text{either } (SF)_T = \emptyset \text{ or } F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}.$$

Using this definition, we have the following results.

Theorem 3.10. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a strong Caristi multivalued operator, i.e., there exists a lower semicontinuous function $\eta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that, for each $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(T)$, we have*

$$\eta(y) + d(x, y) \leq \eta(x).$$

Suppose that T satisfies Rus' alternative and it has closed graph. Then, $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^\}$.*

Proof. By Aubin-Siegel's Theorem (see [4]), we have that $(SF)_T \neq \emptyset$. Now, by Rus's alternative we obtain the conclusion. \square

Theorem 3.11. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow P_b(X)$ for which there exists $a \in [0, 1[$ such that, for each $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(T)$, we have*

$$\delta(T(x), T(y)) \leq a \max\{\delta(x, T(x)), \delta(y, T(y)), \frac{1}{2}D(x, T(y))\}.$$

Suppose that T satisfies Rus' alternative and it has closed graph. Then, $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^\}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 in [16] we have that $F_T = (SF)_T \neq \emptyset$. Next, by Rus's alternative we get the conclusion. \square

A third direction concerning the theory of multivalued Picard operators is related to the following theorem given by Tarafdar-Yuan in [27], (see also Yuan [28], Theorem 9.3.14. on page 559).

Let X be a topological space. By definition, $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ is called a topological contraction (Tarafdar-Yuan [27], see also [28]) if:

- a) T is u.s.c.
- b) $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$ with $T(Y) = Y \Rightarrow Y = \{x^*\}$.

Theorem 3.12 (Tarafdar-Yuan's Theorem). *Let X be a Hausdorff compact topological space and $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a topological contraction. Then T has a unique strict fixed point $x^* \in X$ and $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^n(X) = \{x^*\}$.*

From the above theorem we obtain another example of multivalued Picard operator.

Theorem 3.13. *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a l.s.c. topological contraction. Then T is a multivalued Picard operator.*

Proof. Notice first that, from Tarafdar-Yuan's Theorem, there exists a unique $x^* \in X$ such that $T(x^*) = \{x^*\} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^n(X)$. Thus $(SF)_T = \{x^*\}$. Let $x \in F_T$ be arbitrary chosen. Then $x \in T(x) \subset T^2(x) \subset \dots \subset T^n(x) \subset \dots$. So, $x \in T^n(x) \subset T^n(X)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $x = x^*$ and so $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$. Consider now

the fractal operator \hat{T} generated by T , i.e., the operator $\hat{T} : P_{cp}(X) \rightarrow P_{cp}(X)$, defined by

$$\hat{T}(Y) := \bigcup_{x \in Y} T(x), \text{ for } Y \in P_{cp}(X).$$

Since T is continuous it follows that \hat{T} is a H_d -continuous operator from $P_{cp}(X)$ to itself. Moreover, \hat{T} is a (singlevalued) self topological contraction on $P_{cp}(X)$, since $\hat{T}(Y) = Y$ implies $T(Y) = Y$ and so $Y = \{x^*\}$. Thus, by Corollary 9.3.16. in [28] (page 559), we have that $(\hat{T}^n(Z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $Z \in P_{cp}(X)$. Hence, $T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H} \{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in X$. \square

The above theorem give rise to the following definition.

Definition 3.14. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then, by definition, a multivalued operator $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ satisfies Tarafdar-Yuan's alternative if

$$\text{either } F_{\hat{T}} = \emptyset \text{ or } F_{\hat{T}} = \{x^*\},$$

where \hat{T} is the fractal operator generated by T , i.e., $\hat{T} : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ is given by $\hat{T}(Y) := \bigcup_{x \in Y} T(x)$, for $Y \in P(X)$.

From the above results, we get the following interesting open question: find sufficient conditions for the existence of a set $Y \subset X$ such that $T(Y) = Y$ and $F_T \subset Y$. Notice that, from Tarafdar-Yuan's Theorem the core $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^n(X)$ of the operator T is an example of such a set. For other examples and related results see Theorem 3.1 (vii) in A. Petrușel, I.A. Rus [15].

Another example comes from Martelli's Theorem, see [9].

Theorem 3.15 (Martelli's Theorem). *Let X be a compact topological space and $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be an u.s.c. multivalued operator. Then, there exists $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$ such that $T(Y) = Y$.*

By combining Tarafdar-Yuan's Theorem and Martelli's Theorem, we get the following result.

Theorem 3.16. *Let X be a Hausdorff compact topological space and $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be an u.s.c. multivalued operator which satisfies Tarafdar-Yuan's alternative. Then T has a unique strict fixed point $x^* \in X$.*

Proof. By Martelli's Theorem there exists $Y \in P_{cl}(X)$ such that $T(Y) = Y$. By Tarafdar-Yuan's alternative, we obtain that $F_{\hat{T}} = \{x^*\}$, i.e., there exists a unique $x^* \in X$ such that $T(x^*) = \{x^*\}$. The proof is complete. \square

Another result of this type was given by I.A. Rus in [22].

Theorem 3.17. *Let X be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P_b(X)$ be a multivalued (δ, φ) -contraction, i.e.,*

$$\delta(T(Y)) \leq \varphi(\delta(Y)), \text{ for all } Y \in I(T),$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a comparison function. If $T(X)$ is bounded, then $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$.

It is an open problem to give, for the two above mentioned results, conditions which guarantee that $T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H_d} \{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in X$, i.e., to obtain other examples of multivalued Picard operators.

Other examples of Picard operators are given in what follows.

Theorem 3.18. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f_1, \dots, f_m : X \rightarrow X$ be α_i -contractions, such that $F_{f_i} = \{x^*\}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Consider the multivalued operator $T : X \rightarrow P_{cp}(X)$ defined by*

$$T(x) = \{f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_m(x)\}.$$

Then, T is a multivalued Picard operator.

Proof. Notice first that $x^* \in (SF)_T$. Moreover, $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$. Indeed, if $y \in X$ is another fixed point of T with $y \neq x^*$, then $y \in T(y)$ implies there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that $y = f_j(y)$, which is a contradiction with our assumptions. Since any self contraction on a complete metric space is a Picard operator, we immediately obtain that $T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H_d} \{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in X$. \square

More generally, we have:

Theorem 3.19. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f_1, \dots, f_m : X \rightarrow X$ be Picard operators, such that $F_{f_i} = \{x^*\}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Consider the multivalued operator $T : X \rightarrow P_{cp}(X)$ defined by*

$$T(x) = \{f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_m(x)\}.$$

Then, T is a multivalued Picard operator.

Theorem 3.20. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T_1, \dots, T_m : X \rightarrow P_{cp}(X)$ be multivalued Picard operators, such that $F_{T_i} = (SF)_{T_i} = \{x^*\}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Consider the multivalued operator $T : X \rightarrow P_{cp}(X)$ defined by*

$$T(x) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m T_i(x).$$

Then, T is a multivalued Picard operator.

We will present now the concept of multivalued ψ -Picard operators.

Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be an increasing function which is continuous in 0 and $\psi(0) = 0$. By definition (see [13], [14]), a multivalued Picard operator $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ is said to be:

- (1) a multivalued (ψ, H_d) -Picard operator if and only if

$$d(x, x^*) \leq \psi(H_d(x, T(x))), \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

- (2) a multivalued (ψ, D_d) -Picard operator if and only if

$$d(x, x^*) \leq \psi(D_d(x, T(x))), \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Remark 3.21. Any multivalued (ψ, D_d) -Picard operator is a multivalued (ψ, H_d) -Picard operator.

Theorem 3.22. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow P_{cp}(X)$ be a multivalued α -contraction, such that $(SF)_T \neq \emptyset$. Then T is a multivalued (ψ, D) -Picard operator with $\psi(t) := \frac{1}{1-\alpha}t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.7 we have that T is a multivalued Picard operator with $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$. We also have:

$$d(x, x^*) = D(x, T(x^*)) \leq D(x, T(x)) + H(T(x), T(x^*)) \leq D(x, T(x)) + \alpha d(x, x^*).$$

Hence, we get that

$$d(x, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} D(x, T(x)), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Theorem 3.23. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a multivalued ψ -weakly Picard operator, such that $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$ and $T^n(x) \xrightarrow{H_d} \{x^*\}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, for each $x \in X$. Then T is a multivalued (ψ, H) -Picard operator.*

Proof. Notice first that T is a multivalued Picard operator and $t^\infty(x, y) = x^*$, for each $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(T)$. Since T is a multivalued ψ -weakly Picard operator, we also have that $d(x, x^*) \leq \psi(d(x, y))$, for each $x \in X$ and $y \in T(x)$. Hence, $d(x, x^*) \leq \psi(H(x, T(x)))$, for all $x \in X$. \square

Theorem 3.24. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow P_b(X)$ be a multivalued δ -contraction of Reich type with coefficients α, β, γ and $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$. Then T is a multivalued (ψ, H) -Picard operator with $\psi(t) := \frac{1+\beta}{1-\alpha}t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 we have that T is a multivalued Picard operator with $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$. For $x \in X$, we also have:

$$d(x, x^*) \leq \delta(x, T(x)) + \delta(T(x), x^*) = \delta(x, T(x)) + \delta(T(x), T(x^*)) \leq \delta(x, T(x)) + \alpha d(x, x^*) + \beta \delta(x, T(x)) + \gamma \delta(x^*, T(x^*)) = (1 + \beta)\delta(x, T(x)) + \alpha d(x, x^*). \text{ Thus,}$$

$$d(x, x^*) \leq \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \alpha} H(x, T(x)), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

\square

4. DATA DEPENDENCE OF THE (STRICT) FIXED POINT SET

We will present now some data dependence results for multivalued Picard operators.

The following result was proved in A. Petrușel, I.A. Rus [15].

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T, S : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be two multivalued operators. Suppose:*

- (i) T is a multivalued α -contraction;
- (ii) $(SF)_T \neq \emptyset$;
- (iii) $F_S \neq \emptyset$;
- (iv) there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $H(S(x), T(x)) \leq \eta$, for each $x \in X$.

Then

$$H(F_S, F_T) \leq \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Proof. Let $x^* \in (SF)_T$. Then, it is easy to observe (see the proof of Theorem 3.7) that $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$. Let $y \in F_S$. Then

$$d(y, x^*) \leq H(S(y), x^*) \leq H(S(y), T(y)) + H(T(y), x^*) \leq \eta + \alpha d(y, x^*).$$

Thus, $d(y, x^*) \leq \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha}$. Since

$$H(F_S, F_T) = \sup_{y \in F_S} d(y, x^*) \leq \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha},$$

we get the desired conclusion. \square

As an immediate consequence of the above result, we have the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a multivalued α -contraction with $(SF)_T \neq \emptyset$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_n : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of multivalued operators such that $F_{T_n} \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_n(x) \xrightarrow{H_d} T(x)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, uniformly with respect to $x \in X$.*

Then,

$$F_{T_n} \xrightarrow{H_d} F_T \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

More generally, we have the following abstract result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T, S : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be two multivalued operators. Suppose:*

- (i) *T is a multivalued (ψ, D_d) -Picard operator;*
- (ii) *$S(x)$ is closed for each $x \in X$ and $F_S \neq \emptyset$;*
- (iv) *there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $H(S(x), T(x)) \leq \eta$, for each $x \in X$.*

Then

$$H(F_S, F_T) \leq \psi(\eta).$$

Proof. Let $x^* \in X$ be the unique fixed (and also strict) point for T . Let $y \in F_S$. Then we have:

$$d(y, x^*) \leq \psi(D_d(y, T(y))) \leq \psi(D_d(y, S(y)) + H(S(y), T(y))) = \psi(H(S(y), T(y))) \leq \psi(\eta). \text{ Thus,}$$

$$H(F_S, F_T) = \sup_{y \in F_S} d(y, x^*) \leq \psi(\eta).$$

\square

Remark 4.4. In particular, if $T : X \rightarrow P_{cp}(X)$ is a multivalued α -contraction with $(SF)_T \neq \emptyset$, then (via Theorem 3.22) Theorem 4.3 reduces to Theorem 4.1.

As a consequence of the above theorem, we have the following.

Theorem 4.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a multivalued (ψ, D_d) -Picard operator. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_n : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a sequence of multivalued operators such that $F_{T_n} \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_n(x) \xrightarrow{H_d} T(x)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, uniformly with respect to $x \in X$.*

Then,

$$F_{T_n} \xrightarrow{H_d} F_T \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then, there exists $n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$H(T_n(x), T(x)) < \epsilon, \text{ for all } n \geq n_\epsilon \text{ and each } x \in X.$$

Then, by Theorem 4.3, we get that

$$H(F_{T_n}, F_T) \leq \psi(\epsilon), \text{ for all } n \geq n_\epsilon.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Another data dependence result is the following.

Theorem 4.6. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T, S : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be two multivalued operators. Suppose:*

(i) *T is a multivalued (ψ, H_d) -Picard operator;*

(ii) *$(SF)_S \neq \emptyset$;*

(iv) *there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $H(S(x), T(x)) \leq \eta$, for each $x \in X$.*

Then

$$H((SF)_S, (SF)_T) \leq \psi(\eta).$$

Proof. Let $x^* \in X$ be the unique fixed (and also strict) point for T . Let $y \in (SF)_S$. Then we have $d(y, x^*) \leq \psi(H_d(y, T(y))) = \psi(H_d(S(y), T(y))) \leq \psi(\eta)$. Thus,

$$H((SF)_S, (SF)_T) = \sup_{y \in (SF)_S} d(y, x^*) \leq \psi(\eta).$$

\square

5. WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE (STRICT) FIXED POINT PROBLEM

We will devote this section to the study of the well-posedness of (strict) fixed point problems. Firstly, we recall some definitions of a well-posed (strict) fixed point problem.

Definition 5.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $Y \in P(X)$ and $T : Y \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a multivalued operator. The fixed point problem is well-posed for T with respect to D_d if:

$$(a_1) F_T = \{x^*\}$$

(b₁) If $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y$ and $D_d(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Definition 5.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $Y \in P(X)$ and $T : Y \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a multivalued operator. The fixed point problem is well-posed for T with respect to H_d if:

$$(a_2) (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$$

(b₂) If $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y$ and $H_d(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Remark 5.3. Notice that (b₁) implies (b₂) and (a₁) implies (a₂). Moreover, if $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$ then the well-posedness of the fixed point problem for T with respect to D_d implies the well-posedness of the fixed point problem for T with respect to H_d .

We have the following abstract results.

Theorem 5.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a multivalued operator such that $F_T = \{x^*\}$. Suppose there exists a function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that ψ is increasing, continuous in 0 with $\psi(0) = 0$ and, for each $x \in X$, we have $d(x, x^*) \leq \psi(D_d(x, T(x)))$. Then, the fixed point problem is well-posed for T with respect to D_d . In particular, if T is a multivalued (ψ, D_d) -Picard operator, then the fixed point problem for T is well-posed with respect to D_d .*

Proof. Let $x_n \in X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $d(x_n, x^*) \leq \psi(D(x_n, T(x_n))) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a multivalued operator such that $(SF)_T = \{x^*\}$. Suppose there exists a function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that ψ is increasing, continuous in 0 and $\psi(0) = 0$ and, for each $x \in X$, we have $d(x, x^*) \leq \psi(H(x, T(x)))$. Then the fixed point problem is well-posed for T with respect to H_d . In particular, if T is a multivalued (ψ, H_d) -Picard operator, then the fixed point problem for T is well-posed with respect to H_d .*

Proof. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ be a sequence such that $H(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $d(x_n, x^*) \leq \psi(H(x_n, T(x_n))) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

6. ULAM-HYERS STABILITY OF THE (STRICT) FIXED POINT PROBLEM

We start this section by presenting the concept of (generalized) Ulam-Hyers stability for the (strict) fixed point problem.

Definition 6.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ be a multivalued operator. The strict fixed point inclusion

$$(1) \quad \{x\} = T(x), \quad x \in X$$

is called generalized Ulam-Hyers stable if and only if there exists $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ increasing, continuous in 0 and $\psi(0) = 0$ such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each solution $y^* \in X$ of the inequation

$$(2) \quad H(y, T(y)) \leq \varepsilon$$

there exists a solution $x^* \in X$ of the strict fixed point inclusion (1) such that

$$d(y^*, x^*) \leq \psi(\varepsilon).$$

If there exists $c > 0$ such that $\psi(t) := ct$, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then the strict fixed point inclusion (1) is said to be Ulam-Hyers stable.

The following theorem is an abstract result concerning the Ulam-Hyers stability of the strict fixed point inclusion (1) for multivalued operators with closed values.

Theorem 6.2. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be a multivalued (ψ, H_d) -Picard operator. Then, the strict fixed point inclusion (1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers stable.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y^* \in X$ be a solution of (2), i.e., $H(y^*, T(y^*)) \leq \varepsilon$. Since T is a multivalued (ψ, H_d) -Picard operator, we have

$$d(x, x^*) \leq \psi(H(x, T(x))), \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Hence, $d(y^*, x^*) \leq \psi(H(y^*, T(y^*))) \leq \psi(\varepsilon)$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The authors are thankful to Professor Ioan A. Rus and Professor Wataru Takahashi for his pertinent remarks and useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Andres and L. Górniewicz, *Topological Fixed Point Principles for Boundary Value Problems*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.
- [2] Q. H. Ansari, *Metric Spaces: Including Fixed Point Theory and Set-valued Maps*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 2010.
- [3] J.-P. Aubin and A. Celina, *Differential Inclusions*, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [4] J.-P. Aubin and J. Siegel, *Fixed points and stationary points of dissipative multivalued maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1980), 391–398.
- [5] H. Covitz and S. B. Nadler jr., *Multivalued contraction mappings in generalized metric spaces*, Israel J. Math. **8** (1970), 5–11.
- [6] L. Górniewicz, *Topological Fixed Point Theory of Multivalued Mappings*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.
- [7] M. A. Khamsi and W.A. Kirk, *An Introduction to Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2001.
- [8] W.A. Kirk and B. Sims (Editors), *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [9] M. Martelli, *Some results concerning multivalued mappings defined on Banach spaces*, Atti Lincei **54** (1973), 865–871.
- [10] S. B. Nadler jr., *Multivalued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **30** (1969), 475–488.
- [11] A. Petrușel, *Multivalued weakly Picard operators and applications*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **59** (2004), 169–202.
- [12] A. Petrușel and I.A. Rus, *Multivalued Picard and weakly Picard operators*, in Proc. Sixth International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Valencia, Spain, July 19–26, 2003 (E. Llorens Fuster, J. Garcia Falset, B. Sims-Eds.), Yokohama Publ., 2004, pp. 207–226.
- [13] A. Petrușel and I.A. Rus, *Well-posedness of the fixed point problem for multivalued operators*, in Applied Analysis and Differential Equations (O. Cârjă, I.I. Vrabie-Eds.), World Scientific 2007, pp. 295–306.
- [14] A. Petrușel, I.A. Rus and J.-C. Yao, *Well-posedness in the generalized sense of the fixed point problems*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (2007), 903–914.
- [15] A. Petrușel and I.A. Rus, *The theory of a metric fixed point theorem for multivalued operators*, in Proc. Ninth International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and its Applications, Changhua, Taiwan, July 16–22, 2009, (L.J. Lin, A. Petrușel, H.K. Xu-Eds.), Yokohama Publ. 2010, pp. 161–175.
- [16] G. Petrușel, and A. Petrușel, *Existence and data dependence of the strict fixed points for multivalued δ -contractions on graphic*, Pure Math. Appl. **17** (2006), 413–418.
- [17] S. Reich, *Fixed point of contractive functions*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. **5** (1972), 26–42.
- [18] I. A. Rus, *Picard operators and applications*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **58** (2003), 191–219.
- [19] I. A. Rus, *The theory of a metrical fixed point theorem: theoretical and applicative relevance*, Fixed Point Theory **9** (2008), 541–559.
- [20] I. A. Rus, *Strict fixed point theory*, Fixed Point Theory, **4** (2003), 177–183.
- [21] I. A. Rus, *Generalized Contractions and Applications*, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [22] I. A. Rus, *Fixed Point Structure Theory*, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2006.
- [23] I. A. Rus, A. Petrușel and A. Sintămărian, *Data dependence of the fixed point set of some multivalued weakly Picard operators*, Nonlinear Anal. **52** (2003), 1947–1959.
- [24] I. A. Rus, A. Petrușel and G. Petrușel, *Fixed Point Theory*, Cluj University Press, 2008.
- [25] A. Sintămărian, *Common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca, Vol. 1997, pp. 27–31.

- [26] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis. Fixed Point Theory and its Applications*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.
- [27] E. Tarafdar and G. X. -Z. Yuan, *Set-valued contraction mapping principle*, Applied Math. Letter **8** (1995), 79–81.
- [28] G.X.-Z. Yuan, *KKM Theory and Applications in Nonlinear Analysis*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999.

Manuscript received August 10, 2011
revised November 2, 2011

ADRIAN PETRUȘEL

Babeș-Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Kogălniceanu Street, No. 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

E-mail address: `petrusel@math.ubbcluj.ro`

GABRIELA PETRUȘEL

Babeș-Bolyai University, Faculty of Business, Horea Street no. 7, 400174 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

E-mail address: `gabi.petrusel@tbs.ubbcluj.ro`