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#### Abstract

We present a new proof of von Neumann's classical convergence theorem regarding alternating orthogonal projections in Hilbert space. Our argument is based on an orthogonal decomposition lemma and on the construction of a Tietze-type potential.


## 1. Introduction

A few years ago we presented [7] an elementary geometric proof of von Neumann's classical convergence theorem regarding alternating orthogonal projections in Hilbert space. In a subsequent note [8] we presented another geometric proof of this seminal result. In this paper we present a new proof of von Neumann's theorem. This time our argument is based on an orthogonal decomposition lemma (which has already been used in [8]) and on the construction of a Tietze-type potential.

Let $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ be two closed subspaces of a real Hilbert space $(H,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$, and let $P_{1}: H \mapsto S_{1}$ and $P_{2}: H \mapsto S_{2}$ be the corresponding orthogonal projections of $H$ onto $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, respectively. Denote by $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ the set of nonnegative integers. Let $x_{0}$ be an arbitrary point in $H$, and define the sequence $\left\{x_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of alternating projections by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2 i+1}=P_{1} x_{2 i} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{2 i+2}=P_{2} x_{2 i+1} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
Theorem 1.1. The sequence $\left\{x_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ defined by (1.1) converges in norm as $i \rightarrow \infty$ to $P_{S} x_{0}$, where $P_{S}: H \mapsto S$ is the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto the intersection $S=S_{1} \cap S_{2}$.

This is von Neumann's classical theorem [10, p. 475]. It was rediscovered by several other authors; see, for example, [1], [9] and [13]. More information regarding this theorem and its diverse applications can be found in [3] and the references mentioned therein. Other proofs of Theorem 1.1 can be found, for instance, in [4], [11], [2], [7] and [8].

We begin the next section of our paper with an orthogonal decomposition lemma [6, Lemma 1.2] and then continue by recalling a special case of a Tietze-type extension theorem [6, Theorem 2.4]. In Section 3 we use these two results to construct a

[^0]differentiable Tietze-type potential with a derivative the Lipschitz constant of which is independent of the dimension. The existence of such a potential leads in Section 4 to a key estimate which, in its turn, yields a proof of Theorem 1.1 itself. We denote by $|\cdot|$ the norm induced in $H$ by its inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. The real line and the $d$-dimensional Euclidean space are denoted by $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, respectively.

## 2. Decompositions and extensions

We first present an orthogonal decomposition lemma [6, Lemma 1.2]. It shows that any two finite-dimensional subspaces $X, Y \subset H$ with $1 \leq \operatorname{dim} X=m \leq$ $n=\operatorname{dim} Y$ possess orthonormal bases $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ and $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$, respectively, so that $X+Y$ can be written as a sum of the following pairwise orthogonal, at most twodimensional, subspaces defined by the basis vectors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, f_{1}\right\} \oplus \cdots \oplus \operatorname{span}\left\{e_{m}, f_{m}\right\} \oplus \operatorname{span}\left\{f_{m+1}\right\} \oplus \cdots \oplus \operatorname{span}\left\{f_{n}\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two subspaces of $H$ with $1 \leq \operatorname{dim} X=m \leq n=$ $\operatorname{dim} Y$. Then there exist orthonormal bases $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ and $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ of $X$ and $Y$, respectively, and a nonnegative integer $0 \leq k \leq m$ so that
(i) $e_{j}=f_{j}$ if and only if $j \leq k$;
(ii) the at most two-dimensional spaces $\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{j}\right\}, j \leq k, \operatorname{span}\left\{e_{j}, f_{j}\right\}, k<j \leq$ $m$, and $\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{j}\right\}, m<j \leq n$, are all pairwise orthogonal.

Next we recall a special case of [6, Theorem 2.4]. This is a Tietze-type extension theorem which yields a differentiable potential. More precisely, given $K$ subspaces and two points $a$ and $b$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $|b-a|=1$, there is a differentiable function $\Phi$ such that $\Phi(b)-\Phi(a)=1$ and on the $K$ given subspaces, the derivative of $\Phi$ belongs to these subspaces. Moreover, the Lipschitz constant of $\Phi^{\prime}$ only depends on $K$ and $d$. The proof of this theorem involves a rather intricate application of a Whitney-type extension theorem [12, page 177].
Proposition 2.2. Let $L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{K}$ be $K$ subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be two points with $|b-a|=1$. Then there exists a differentiable function $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
(i) $\Phi(b)-\Phi(a)=1$;
(ii) $\Phi^{\prime}\left(L_{i}\right) \subset L_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, K$;
(iii) the derivative $\Phi^{\prime}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is Lipschitz with a constant $c(K, d)$ which only depends on $K$ and $d$.

## 3. Potentials

In this section we use Proposition 2.2 in the case $K=d=2$ to construct a differentiable Tietze-type potential with a derivative the Lipschitz constant of which is independent of the dimension. We remark in passing that this particular case of Proposition 2.2 can be proved directly by using an elementary geometric argument (cf. [5]).

Proposition 3.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two finite-dimensional subspaces of $H, Z=$ $X+Y$, and let $a, b \in Z$ be two points with $|b-a|=1$. Then there exists $a$ differentiable function $\Psi: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
(i) $\Psi(b)-\Psi(a)=1$;
(ii) $\Psi^{\prime}(X) \subset X \quad$ and $\quad \Psi^{\prime}(Y) \subset Y$;
(iii) the derivative $\Psi^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow Z$ is Lipschitz with a universal Lipschitz constant $C$ which is independent of the dimensions of $X$ and $Y$.

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that $m=\operatorname{dim} X \leq \operatorname{dim} Y=n$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=X+Y=E_{1} \oplus E_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{m} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the decomposition obtained in Lemma 2.1. For each $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $Q_{j}: H \rightarrow E_{j}$ be the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto $E_{j}, \quad X_{j}:=Q_{j}(X)=X \cap E_{j}, \quad Y_{j}:=$ $Q_{j}(Y)=Y \cap E_{j}, \quad a_{j}:=Q_{j}(a) \quad$ and $\quad b_{j}:=Q_{j}(b)$. Since $\left|b_{j}-a_{j}\right| \leq|b-a|=1$, we may apply Proposition 2.2 to $X_{j}, Y_{j}, E_{j}, a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ to obtain, for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, a potential $\Phi_{j}: E_{j} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
(iv) $\Phi_{j}\left(b_{j}\right)-\Phi_{j}\left(a_{j}\right)=\left|b_{j}-a_{j}\right|^{2}$;
(v) $\Phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(X_{j}\right) \subset X_{j} \quad$ and $\quad \Phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(Y_{j}\right) \subset Y_{j}$;
(vi) the derivative $\Phi_{j}^{\prime}$ is $C$-Lipschitz with $C \leq c(2,2)$.

Using the potentials $\Phi_{j}$, we now define the potential $\Psi: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(z):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(Q_{j} z\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 1. $\Psi(b)-\Psi(a)=1$.
Proof. Indeed, using (iv), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(b)-\Psi(a) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(Q_{j} b\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(Q_{j} a\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\Phi_{j}\left(b_{j}\right)-\Phi_{j}\left(a_{j}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{j}-a_{j}\right|^{2} \\
& =|b-a|^{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
Claim 2. $\Psi^{\prime}(X) \subset X \quad$ and $\quad \Psi^{\prime}(Y) \subset Y$.
Proof. Let the point $z$ belong to $Z$. Using the chain rule, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(Q_{j} z\right) \circ Q_{j}, z \in Z \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z \in X$, then $Q_{j} z \in X_{j}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$ and hence $\Phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(Q_{j} z\right) \in X_{j}$ by (v). It follows that $\Psi^{\prime}(z) \in X$, as claimed. An analogous argument shows that $\Psi^{\prime}(z) \in Y$ whenever $z \in Y$.

Claim 3. The derivative $\Psi^{\prime}$ has Lipschitz constant $C$.

Proof. Let the points $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and $w$ belong to $Z$. Then we have by (3.2), (3.3) and (vi),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\Psi^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)-\Psi^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)\right)(w)\right|^{2} & =\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\Phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(Q_{j} z_{1}\right)-\Phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(Q_{j} z_{2}\right)\right]\left(Q_{j} w\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\Phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(Q_{j} z_{1}\right)-\Phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(Q_{j} z_{2}\right) \|\left(Q_{j} w\right)\right|\right]^{2} \\
& \leq\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\Phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(Q_{j} z_{1}\right)-\Phi_{j}^{\prime}\left(Q_{j} z_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right]\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|Q_{j} w\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq C^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|Q_{j} z_{1}-Q_{j} z_{2}\right|^{2}|w|^{2} \\
& =C^{2}\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2}|w|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\left|\Psi^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)-\Psi^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \leq C\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|$, as claimed.
Combining these three claims, we conclude that the potential $\Psi$ indeed satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii), as required. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

## 4. Alternating Projections

In this section we first use Proposition 3.1 to obtain a key estimate and then use this estimate to prove Theorem 1.1.
Proposition 4.1. Let the sequence $\left\{x_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be defined by (1.1), and let $p$ and $r$ belong to $\mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{r}-x_{r+p}\right|^{2} \leq M\left(\left|x_{r}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{r+p}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is a universal constant.
Proof. We may assume without any loss of generality that $x_{r+1} \in S_{1}$. Assume first that $p=2 k$ for some $k \geq 1$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
X:=\operatorname{span}\left\{x_{r+1}, x_{r+3}, \ldots, x_{r+2 k+1}\right\} \subset S_{1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y:=\operatorname{span}\left\{x_{r+2}, x_{r+4}, \ldots, x_{r+2 k}\right\} \subset S_{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $x_{r+2 k}=\left(P_{2} P_{1}\right)^{k} x_{r}=\left(P_{Y} P_{X}\right)^{k} x_{r}$. Using the proof of [6, Theorem 3.1] and Proposition 3.1, we obtain

$$
\left|x_{r}-x_{r+p}\right|^{2}=\left|x_{r}-x_{r+2 k}\right|^{2} \leq M\left(\left|x_{r}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{r+2 k}\right|^{2}\right)=M\left(\left|x_{r}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{r+p}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

where $M=C / 2$. Assume now that $p=2 k+1$ for some $k \geq 1$. Then

$$
x_{r+p}=x_{r+2 k+1}=P_{1}\left(P_{2} P_{1}\right)^{k} x_{r}=P_{X}\left(P_{Y} P_{X}\right)^{k} x_{r}
$$

which again leads to (4.1).
Note that Proposition 4.1 does not follow from [6, Corollary 3.2] because the constant there does depend on the dimensions of $X$ and $Y$. It is Proposition 3.1 which has enabled us to overcome this crucial obstacle.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the numerical sequence $\left\{\left|x_{i}\right|: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ decreases to its limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$, Proposition 4.1 shows that $\left\{x_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which converges in norm as $i \rightarrow \infty$ to $P_{S} x_{0}$ by part (c) of [7, Lemma 2.1].

Alternatively [8], once we know that

$$
\left|x_{r}-x_{r+2 k}\right|^{2} \leq M\left(\left|x_{r}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{r+2 k}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{2 j}-x_{2(j+k)}\right|^{2} \leq M\left(\left|x_{2 j}\right|^{2}-\left|x_{2(j+k)}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $x_{2 j}=\left(P_{2} P_{1}\right)^{j} x_{0} \rightarrow z$, a fixed point of $P_{2} P_{1}$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$. This limit $z$ clearly belongs to $S_{2}$. If $z$ were not in $S_{1}$, then we would obtain $\left|P_{2} P_{1} z\right| \leq\left|P_{1} z\right|<|z|$, a contradiction. Thus $z \in S_{1}, x_{2 j+1}=P_{1} x_{2 j} \rightarrow P_{1} z=z$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and the whole sequence $\left\{x_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ converges in norm as $i \rightarrow \infty$ to $z=P_{S} x_{0}$, as asserted.
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