

ON QUASI-VARIATIONAL INCLUSIONS AND ASYMPTOTICALLY STRICT PSEUDO-CONTRACTIONS

XIAOLONG QIN, JUNG IM KANG, AND YEOL JE CHO*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, quasi-variational inclusions and fixed point problems are considered. A general iterative process is introduced for finding a common element in the zero set of the sum of maximal monotone operators and inverse strongly-monotone mappings and the fixed point set of asymptotically strict pseudo-contractions. Further, weak convergence theorems for common elements in two sets mentioned above are established in real Hilbert spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we always assume that H is a real Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping and $F(S)$ denote the fixed point set of S . Recall the following definitions:

(1) S is said to be *nonexpansive* if

$$\|Sx - Sy\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

(2) S is said to be *asymptotically nonexpansive* if there exists a sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $k_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\|S^n x - S^n y\| \leq k_n \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C, \quad n \geq 1.$$

The class of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings was introduced by Goebel and Kirk [7] in 1972. It is known that, if C is a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H , then every asymptotically nonexpansive self-mapping has a fixed point. Further, the set $F(S)$ of fixed points of S is closed and convex. Since 1972, a host of authors have studied the weak and strong convergence problems of iterative processes for such a class of mappings.

(3) S is said to be *strictly pseudo-contractive* if there exists a constant $\kappa \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|Sx - Sy\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \kappa \|(I - S)x - (I - S)y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H05, 47H09, 47J25, 90C33.

Key words and phrases. Asymptotically strictly pseudo-contractive mapping, inverse strongly-monotone mapping, maximal monotone operator, fixed point.

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (KRF-2008-313-C00050).

*Corresponding author.

For such a case, S is also said to be κ -strict pseudo-contraction. The class of strict pseudo-contractions was introduced by Browder and Petryshyn [3] in 1967. It is clear that every nonexpansive mapping is a 0-strict pseudo-contraction.

(4) S is called an *asymptotically strict pseudo-contraction* if there exist a sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $k_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and a constant $\kappa \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|S^n x - S^n y\|^2 \leq k_n \|x - y\|^2 + \kappa \|(I - S^n)x - (I - S^n)y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C, n \geq 1.$$

For such a case, S is also called an *asymptotically κ -strict pseudo-contraction*. The class of asymptotically strict pseudo-contractions is introduced by Qihou [21] in 1996. It is clear that every asymptotically nonexpansive mapping is an asymptotical 0-strict pseudo-contraction.

(5) Let $A : C \rightarrow H$ be a mapping. A is said to be *monotone* if

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

(6) A is said to be *inverse strongly-monotone* if there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq \alpha \|Ax - Ay\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

For such a case, A is also said to be α -inverse strongly monotone.

Let $M : H \rightarrow 2^H$ be a set-valued mapping. The set $D(M)$ defined by $D(M) = \{x \in H : Mx \neq \emptyset\}$ is called the *domain* of M . The set $R(M)$ defined by $R(M) = \cup_{x \in H} Mx$ is called the *range* of M . The set $G(M)$ defined by $G(M) = \{(x, y) \in H \times H : x \in D(M), y \in R(M)\}$ is called the *graph* of M .

(7) M is said to be *monotone* if

$$\langle x - y, f - g \rangle > 0, \quad \forall (x, f), (y, g) \in G(M).$$

(8) M is said to be *maximal monotone* if it is not properly contained in any other monotone operator. Equivalently, M is maximal monotone if $R(I + rM) = H$ for all $r > 0$.

The class of monotone mappings is one of the most important classes of mappings among nonlinear mappings. For a maximal monotone operator M on H and $r > 0$, we may define the single-valued resolvent $J_r = (I + rM)^{-1} : H \rightarrow D(M)$. It is known that J_r is firmly nonexpansive and $M^{-1}(0) = F(J_r)$, where $F(J_r)$ denotes the fixed point set of J_r .

On the other hand, recall that the *classical variational inequality problem* is to find $x \in C$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \langle Ax, y - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Denote by $VI(C, A)$ the solution set of the problem (1.1). It is known that $x \in C$ is a solution of the problem (1.1) if and only if x is a fixed point of the mapping $P_C(I - \lambda A)$, where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant and I is the identity mapping.

Recently, many authors have considered the weak convergence of the iterative sequences for the variational inequality (1.1) and fixed point problems of nonlinear mappings (see, for example, [1, 5, 8-11, 13, 14, 16-20, 25-27]).

For finding a common element in the solution set of the variational inequality (1.1) and the fixed point set of nonexpansive mappings, Takahashi and Toyoda [26] proved the following weak convergence theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let A be an α -inverse strongly-monotone mapping of C into H and S be a nonexpansive mapping of C into itself such that $F(S) \cap VI(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)SP_C(x_n - \lambda_n Ax_n), \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_n \in [a, b]$ for some $a, b \in (0, 2\alpha)$ and $\alpha_n \in [c, d]$ for some $c, d \in (0, 1)$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a point $z \in F(S) \cap VI(C, A)$, where

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{F(S) \cap VI(C, A)} x_n.$$

Let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically strict pseudo-contraction, $A : C \rightarrow H$ be an α -inverse strongly-monotone mapping, $M : H \rightarrow 2^H$ be a maximal monotone operator such that $D(M) \subset C$, where $D(M)$ is the domain of M , $B : C \rightarrow H$ be a β -inverse strongly-monotone mapping and $W : H \rightarrow 2^H$ be a maximal monotone operator such that $D(W) \subset C$, where $D(W)$ is the domain of W .

In this paper, motivated by Theorem 1.1, we consider the problem of finding a common element in the following set:

$$F(S) \cap (A + M)^{-1}(0) \cap (B + W)^{-1}(0),$$

where $(A + M)^{-1}(0)$ is the zero point set of $A + M$ and $(B + W)^{-1}(0)$ is the zero point set of $B + W$, prove some weak convergence theorems of common elements are established in real Hilbert spaces. The results presented in this paper improve and extend the corresponding results announced by Takahashi and Toyoda [26] and others.

In order to prove our main results, we also need the following lemmas:

Lemma 1.2 ([12]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and $S : C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically κ -strict pseudo-contraction. Then*

- (1) *S is Lipschitz continuous.*
- (2) *$I - S$ is demi-closed, this is, if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in C with $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n - Sx_n \rightarrow 0$, then $x \in F(S)$.*

Lemma 1.3 ([24]). *Let H be a Hilbert space and $0 < p \leq t_n \leq q < 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences in H such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \leq r, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| \leq r$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n x_n + (1 - t_n) y_n\| = r$$

for some $r \geq 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$.

Lemma 1.4 ([2]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and $A : C \rightarrow H$ be a mapping and $M : H \rightarrow 2^H$ be a maximal monotone mapping. Then*

$$F(J_r(I - rA)) = (A + M)^{-1}(0), \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Lemma 1.5. *In a real Hilbert space H , the following inequality holds:*

$$\|ax + (1 - a)y\|^2 = a\|x\|^2 + (1 - a)\|y\|^2 - a(1 - a)\|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall a \in [0, 1], x, y \in H.$$

Lemma 1.6 ([28]). *Let $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ and $\{c_n\}$ be three nonnegative sequences satisfying the following condition:*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 + b_n)a_n + c_n, \quad \forall n \geq n_0,$$

where n_0 is some nonnegative integer, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n < \infty$. Then the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ exists.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Now, we give our main results in this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , $S : C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically κ -strict pseudo-contraction with the sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (k_n - 1) < \infty$, $A : C \rightarrow H$ be an α -inverse strongly monotone mapping and $B : C \rightarrow H$ be a β -inverse strongly monotone mapping. Let $M : H \rightarrow 2^H$ and $W : H \rightarrow 2^H$ be maximal monotone operators such that $D(M) \subset C$ and $D(W) \subset C$, respectively. Assume that $\mathcal{F} := F(S) \cap (A + M)^{-1}(0) \cap (B + W)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated in the following manner:*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, \\ z_n = J_{s_n}(x_n - s_n Bx_n), \\ y_n = J_{r_n}(z_n - r_n Ax_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)(\beta_n y_n + (1 - \beta_n)S^n y_n), \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $J_{r_n} = (I + r_n M)^{-1}$, $J_{s_n} = (I + s_n W)^{-1}$, $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 2\alpha)$, $\{s_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 2\beta)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$. Assume that the following restrictions are satisfied:

- (a) $0 < a \leq \alpha_n \leq b < 1$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are two constants;
- (b) $0 \leq \kappa \leq \beta_n \leq c < 1$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant;
- (c) $d \leq r_n \leq e$ and $f \leq s_n \leq g$, where $0 < d < e < 2\alpha$ and $0 < f < g < 2\beta$ are four constants.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a point $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. First, we show that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. In fact, note that $(I - r_n A)$ and $(I - s_n B)$ are nonexpansive for each fixed $n \geq 0$. Indeed, it follows from the restriction (c) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - r_n A)x - (I - r_n A)y\|^2 &= \|x - y\|^2 - 2r_n \langle x - y, Ax - Ay \rangle + r_n^2 \|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - r_n(2\alpha - r_n)\|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(I - r_n A)$ is nonexpansive for each fixed $n \geq 0$ and so is $(I - s_n B)$. Put

$$S_n x = \beta_n x + (1 - \beta_n) S^n x, \quad \forall x \in C.$$

Fix $p \in \mathcal{F}$. In view of Lemma 1.5, it follows from the restriction (b) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S_n y_n - p\|^2 \\ (2.1) \quad &= \beta_n \|y_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|S^n y_n - p\|^2 - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) \|S^n y_n - y_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n \|y_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) k_n \|y_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) (\kappa - \beta_n) \|S^n y_n - y_n\|^2 \\ &\leq k_n \|y_n - p\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $J_{r_n}, J_{s_n}, I - r_n A$ and $I - s_n B$ are nonexpansive, we see from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|S_n y_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) k_n \|y_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) k_n \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + (k_n - 1)) \|x_n - p\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 1.6, we see that the limit of the sequence $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$ exists. This shows that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and so are $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| = d > 0$. Notice from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|S_n y_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) k_n \|(z_n - r_n A z_n) - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) k_n (\|z_n - p\|^2 - r_n (2\alpha - r_n) \|Az_n - Ap\|^2) \\ &\leq k_n \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n) k_n r_n (2\alpha - r_n) \|Az_n - Ap\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \alpha_n) k_n r_n (2\alpha - r_n) \|Az_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + (k_n - 1) \|x_n - p\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the restrictions (a) and (c), we see that

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Az_n - Ap\| = 0.$$

Notice from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|S_n y_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) k_n \|y_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) k_n \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) k_n \|(x_n - s_n B x_n) - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) k_n (\|x_n - p\|^2 - s_n (2\beta - s_n) \|Bx_n - Bp\|^2) \\ &\leq k_n \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n) k_n s_n (2\beta - s_n) \|Bx_n - Bp\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \alpha_n)k_n s_n(2\beta - s_n)\|Bx_n - Bp\|^2 \\ & \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + (k_n - 1)\|x_n - p\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the restrictions (a) and (c), we see that

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Bx_n - Bp\| = 0.$$

Since J_{r_n} is firmly nonexpansive, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\|^2 &= \|J_{r_n}(z_n - r_n Az_n) - J_{r_n}(p - r_n Ap)\|^2 \\ &\leq \langle y_n - p, (z_n - r_n Az_n) - (p - r_n Ap) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|y_n - p\|^2 + \|(z_n - r_n Az_n) - (p - r_n Ap)\|^2 \\ &\quad - \|(y_n - p) - ((z_n - r_n Az_n) - (p - r_n Ap))\|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\|y_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - z_n + r_n(Az_n - Ap)\|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|y_n - p\|^2 + \|z_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - z_n\|^2 - r_n^2\|Az_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2r_n \langle y_n - z_n, Az_n - Ap \rangle) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\|y_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - z_n\|^2 + 2r_n\|y_n - z_n\|\|Az_n - Ap\|), \end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies that

$$(2.4) \quad \|y_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - z_n\|^2 + 2r_n\|y_n - z_n\|\|Az_n - Ap\|.$$

In a similar way, we can obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \|z_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|z_n - x_n\|^2 + 2s_n\|z_n - x_n\|\|Bx_n - Bp\|.$$

Combining (2.1) with (2.4) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|S_n y_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)k_n\|y_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq k_n\|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n)k_n\|y_n - z_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \alpha_n)k_n r_n\|y_n - z_n\|\|Az_n - Ap\|, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_n)k_n\|y_n - z_n\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + (k_n - 1)\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \alpha_n)k_n r_n\|y_n - z_n\|\|Az_n - Ap\|. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the restrictions (a) and (c), it follows from (2.2) that

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - z_n\| = 0.$$

Combining (2.1) with (2.5) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|S_n y_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) k_n \|y_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) k_n \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq k_n \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n) k_n \|z_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \alpha_n) k_n s_n \|z_n - x_n\| \|Bx_n - Bp\|, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_n) k_n \|z_n - x_n\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + (k_n - 1) \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \alpha_n) k_n s_n \|z_n - x_n\| \|Bx_n - Bp\|. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the restrictions (a) and (c), it follows from (2.3) that

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Combining (2.6) with (2.7) yields that

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0.$$

Notice that

$$\|S_n y_n - p\| \leq \sqrt{k_n} \|y_n - p\| \leq \sqrt{k_n} \|x_n - p\|.$$

This implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n y_n - p\| \leq d.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_n (x_n - p) + (1 - \alpha_n) (S_n y_n - p)\| = d.$$

In view of Lemma 1.3, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n y_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Note that

$$S^n y_n - x_n = \frac{S_n y_n - x_n}{1 - \beta_n} + \frac{\beta_n (x_n - y_n)}{1 - \beta_n}.$$

From (2.8), (2.9) and the restriction (b), it follows that

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S^n y_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 1.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^n x_n - x_n\| &\leq \|S^n x_n - S^n y_n\| + \|S^n y_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq L \|x_n - y_n\| + \|S^n y_n - x_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

where L denotes the Lipschitz constant, and so, from (2.8) and (2.10),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S^n x_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Since S is Lipschitz continuous, we see that

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Sx_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, we see that there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ which converges weakly to a point \bar{x} . By virtue of Lemma 1.2, it follows that $\bar{x} \in F(S)$.

Next, we show that $\bar{x} \in (A + M)^{-1}(0)$. In fact, notice that

$$z_n - r_nAz_n \in y_n + r_nMy_n.$$

Let $\mu \in M\nu$. Since M is monotone, we have

$$\left\langle \frac{z_n - y_n}{r_n} - Az_n - \mu, y_n - \nu \right\rangle \geq 0.$$

In view of the restriction (c), it follows from (2.6) that

$$\langle -A\bar{x} - \mu, \bar{x} - \nu \rangle \geq 0.$$

This implies that $-A\bar{x} \in M\bar{x}$, that is, $\bar{x} \in (A + M)^{-1}(0)$.

In similar way, we can obtain that $\bar{x} \in (B + W)^{-1}(0)$. This proves that $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Assume that there exists another subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_j}\}$ converges weakly to a point x' . By the above proof, we also have $x' \in \mathcal{F}$.

If $\bar{x} \neq x'$, it follows from Opial's condition ([15]) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \bar{x}\| &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - \bar{x}\| < \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - x'\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x'\| = \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - x'\| \\ &< \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - \bar{x}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \bar{x}\|, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we have $\bar{x} = x'$. This implies that $x_n \rightharpoonup \bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}$. This completes the proof. \square

If S is asymptotically nonexpansive in Theorem 2.1, then we have the following:

Corollary 2.2. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , $S : C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with the sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$, $A : C \rightarrow H$ be an α -inverse strongly monotone mapping and $B : C \rightarrow H$ be a β -inverse strongly monotone mapping. Let $M : H \rightarrow 2^H$ and $W : H \rightarrow 2^H$ be maximal monotone operators such that $D(M) \subset C$ and $D(W) \subset C$, respectively. Assume that $\mathcal{F} := F(S) \cap (A + M)^{-1}(0) \cap (B + W)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated in the following manner:*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, \\ z_n = J_{s_n}(x_n - s_nBx_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_nx_n + (1 - \alpha_n)S^n J_{r_n}(z_n - r_nAz_n), \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $J_{r_n} = (I + r_nM)^{-1}$, $J_{s_n} = (I + s_nW)^{-1}$, $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 2\alpha)$, $\{s_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 2\beta)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$. Assume that the following restrictions are satisfied:

- (a) $0 < a \leq \alpha_n \leq b < 1$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are two constants;
- (b) $d \leq r_n \leq e$ and $f \leq s_n \leq g$, where $0 < d < e < 2\alpha$ and $0 < f < g < 2\beta$ are four constants.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a point $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}$.

3. APPLICATIONS

Let H be a Hilbert space and $f : H \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a proper convex lower semi-continuous function. Then the *subdifferential* ∂f of f is defined as follows:

$$\partial f(x) = \{y \in H : f(z) \geq f(x) + \langle z - x, y \rangle, \forall z \in H\}, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

From Rockafellar [22, 23], we know that ∂f is maximal monotone. It is easy to verify that $0 \in \partial f(x)$ if and only if $f(x) = \min_{y \in H} f(y)$. Let I_C be the indicator function of C , i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad I_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in C, \\ +\infty, & x \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Since I_C is a proper lower semi-continuous convex function on H , we see that the subdifferential ∂I_C of I_C is a maximal monotone operator.

Lemma 3.1 ([27]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , P_C be the metric projection from H onto C and ∂I_C be the subdifferential of I_C , where I_C is as defined in (3.1) and $J_r = (I + r\partial I_C)^{-1}$. Then*

$$y = J_r x \iff y = P_C x, \quad \forall x \in H, y \in C.$$

Now, we consider the existence of solutions of the variation inequality (1.1).

Theorem 3.2. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , $S : C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically κ -strict pseudo-contraction with the sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$, $A : C \rightarrow H$ be an α -inverse strongly monotone mapping and $B : C \rightarrow H$ be a β -inverse strongly monotone mapping. Assume that $\mathcal{F} := F(S) \cap VI(C, A) \cap VI(C, B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated in the following manner:*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, \\ z_n = P_C(x_n - s_n Bx_n), \\ y_n = P_C(z_n - r_n Ax_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)(\beta_n y_n + (1 - \beta_n) S^n y_n), \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 2\alpha)$, $\{s_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 2\beta)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$. Assume that the following restrictions are satisfied:

- (a) $0 < a \leq \alpha_n \leq b < 1$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are two constants;
- (b) $0 \leq \kappa \leq \beta_n \leq c < 1$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant;
- (c) $d \leq r_n \leq e$ and $f \leq s_n \leq g$, where $0 < d < e < 2\alpha$ and $0 < f < g < 2\beta$ are four constants.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a point $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Put $M = W = \partial I_C$. Next, we show that $VI(C, A) = (A + \partial I_C)^{-1}(0)$ and $VI(C, B) = (B + \partial I_C)^{-1}(0)$, respectively. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} x \in (A + \partial I_C)^{-1}(0) &\iff 0 \in Ax + \partial I_C x \\ &\iff -Ax \in \partial I_C x \\ &\iff \langle Ax, y - x \rangle \geq 0 \\ &\iff x \in VI(C, A). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can obtain

$$x \in (B + \partial I_C)^{-1}(0) \iff x \in VI(C, B).$$

From Lemma 3.1, we can conclude the desired conclusion immediately. This completes the proof. \square

Putting $\beta_n = 0$ and $B = 0$ (: the zero mapping) in Theorem 3.2, we have the following:

Corollary 3.3. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , $S : C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping with the sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$ and $A : C \rightarrow H$ be an α -inverse strongly monotone mapping. Assume that $\mathcal{F} := F(S) \cap VI(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated in the following manner:*

$$x_0 \in C, \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) S^n P_C(x_n - r_n A x_n), \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

where $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 2\alpha)$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequences in $[0, 1]$. Assume that the following restrictions are satisfied

- (a) $0 < a \leq \alpha_n \leq b < 1$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are two constants;
- (b) $d \leq r_n \leq e$, where $0 < d < e < 2\alpha$ are two constants.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a point $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Remark 3.4. If the mapping S is nonexpansive in Corollary 3.3, then Corollary 3.3 is reduced to Theorem 1.1 in Section 1.

Let F be a bifunction of $C \times C$ into \mathbb{R} , where \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers. Recall the following equilibrium problem:

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Find } x \in C \text{ such that } F(x, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Forward, $EP(F)$ denotes the solution set of the equilibrium problem (3.2).

To study the equilibrium problems (3.2), we may assume that F satisfies the following conditions:

- (A1) $F(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$;
- (A2) F is monotone, i.e., $F(x, y) + F(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$;
- (A3) for each $x, y, z \in C$,

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} F(tz + (1 - t)x, y) \leq F(x, y);$$

- (A4) for each $x \in C$, $y \mapsto F(x, y)$ is convex and weakly lower semi-continuous.

Putting $F(x, y) = \langle Ax, y - x \rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$, we see that the equilibrium problem (3.2) is reduced to the variational inequality (1.1).

The following lemma can be found in [4] and [6]:

Lemma 3.5. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and $F : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying (A1)-(A4). Then, for any $r > 0$ and $x \in H$, there exists $z \in C$ such that*

$$F(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Further, define

$$(3.3) \quad T_r x = \{z \in C : F(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}, \quad \forall r > 0, x \in H.$$

Then we have the following:

- (a) T_r is single-valued;
- (b) T_r is firmly nonexpansive, i.e., for any $x, y \in H$,

$$\|T_r x - T_r y\|^2 \leq \langle T_r x - T_r y, x - y \rangle;$$

- (c) $F(T_r) = EP(F)$;
- (d) $EP(F)$ is closed and convex.

Lemma 3.6 ([27]). *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , F be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to \mathbb{R} which satisfies (A1)-(A4) and A_F be a set-valued mapping of H into itself defined by*

$$(3.4) \quad A_F x = \begin{cases} \{z \in H : F(x, y) \geq \langle y - x, z \rangle, \forall y \in C\}, & x \in C, \\ \emptyset, & x \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Then A_F is a maximal monotone operator with the domain $D(A_F) \subset C$, $EP(F) = A_F^{-1}(0)$ and

$$T_r x = (I + rA_F)^{-1}x, \quad \forall x \in H, r > 0,$$

where T_r is defined as in (3.3)

Theorem 3.7. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , $S : C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically κ -strict pseudo-contraction with the sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$. Let F_M and F_W be two bifunctions from $C \times C$ to \mathbb{R} which satisfies (A1)-(A4). Assume that $\mathcal{F} := F(S) \cap EP(F_M) \cap EP(F_W) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated in the following manner:*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C, \\ z_n \in C \text{ such that } F_W(z_n, u) + \frac{1}{s_n} \langle v - z_n, z_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in C, \\ y_n \in C \text{ such that } F_M(y_n, u) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle u - y_n, y_n - z_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)(\beta_n y_n + (1 - \beta_n)S^n y_n), \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$. Assume that the following restrictions are satisfied:

- (a) $0 < a \leq \alpha_n \leq b < 1$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are two constants;
- (b) $0 \leq \kappa \leq \beta_n \leq c < 1$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant;
- (c) $0 < d \leq r_n \leq e < \infty$ and $0 < f \leq s_n \leq g < \infty$, where $d, e, f, g \in \mathbb{R}$ are four constants.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a point $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}$.

REFERENCES

[1] K. Aoyama, H. Iiduka and W. Takahashi, *Weak convergence of an iterative sequence for accretive operators in Banach spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2006** (2006), Article ID 35390.
 [2] K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, W. Takahashi and M. Toyoda, *On a strongly nonexpansive sequence in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **8** (2007), 471–489.

- [3] F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, *Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **20** (1967), 197–228.
- [4] E. Blum and W. Oettli, *From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems*, Math. Stud. **63** (1994), 123–145.
- [5] Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang and H. Zhou, *Approximate proximal point algorithms for finding zeroes of maximal monotone operators in Hilbert spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2008** (2008), Article ID 598191.
- [6] P. L. Combettes and S. A. Hirstoaga, *Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **6** (2005), 117–136.
- [7] K. Goebel and W.A. Kirk, *A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1972), 171–174.
- [8] H. Iiduka and W. Takahashi, *Weak convergence theorem by Cesàro means for nonexpansive mappings and inverse-strongly monotone mappings*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **7** (2006), 105–113.
- [9] H. Iiduka, W. Takahashi and M. Toyoda, *Approximation of solutions of variational inequalities for monotone mappings*, PanAmer. Math. J. **14** (2004), 49–61.
- [10] C. Jaiboon, P. Kumam and U. W. Humphries, *Weak convergence theorem by an extragradient method for variational inequality, equilibrium and fixed point problems*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **32** (2009), 131–136.
- [11] S. Kamimura and W. Takahashi, *Weak and strong convergence of solutions to accretive operator inclusions and applications*, Set-Valued Anal. **8** (2000), 361–374.
- [12] T. H. Kim and H. K. Xu, *Convergence of the modified Mann’s iteration method for asymptotically strict pseudo-contractions*, Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008), 2828–2836.
- [13] S.Y. Matsushita and W. Takahashi, *Existence theorems for set-valued operators in Banach spaces*, Set-Valued Anal. **15** (2007), 251–264.
- [14] N. Nadezhkina and W. Takahashi, *Weak convergence theorem by an extragradient method for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **128** (2006), 191–201.
- [15] Z. Opial, *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximation for nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 591–597.
- [16] X. Qin, Y. J. Cho and S. M. Kang, *Convergence theorems of common elements for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Banach spaces*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **225** (2009), 20–30.
- [17] X. Qin, S. Y. Cho and S. M. Kang, *Strong convergence of shrinking projection methods for quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings and equilibrium problems*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **234** (2010), 750–760.
- [18] X. Qin, S. Y. Cho and S. M. Kang, *An extragradient-type method for generalized equilibrium problems involving strictly pseudocontractive mappings*, J. Glob. Optim. DOI 10.1007/s10898-010-9556-2.
- [19] X. Qin, S. M. Kang and Y. J. Cho, *Approximating zeros of monotone operators by proximal point algorithms*, J. Glob. Optim. **46** (2010), 75–87.
- [20] X. Qin and Y. Su, *Approximation of a zero point of accretive operator in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **329** (2007), 415–424.
- [21] L. Qihou, *Convergence theorems of the sequence of iterates for asymptotically demicontractive and hemicontractive mappings*, Nonlinear Anal. **26** (1996), 1835–1842.
- [22] R. T. Rockafellar, *Characterization of the subdifferentials of convex functions*, Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), 497–510.
- [23] R.T. Rockafellar, *Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **14** (1976), 877–898.
- [24] J. Schu, *Weak and strong convergence of fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **43** (1991), 153–159.
- [25] A. Tada and W. Takahashi, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for a nonexpansive mappings and an equilibrium problem*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **133** (2007), 359–370.
- [26] W. Takahashi and M. Toyoda, *Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **118** (2003), 417–428.

- [27] S. Takahashi, W. Takahashi and M. Toyoda, *Strong convergence theorems for maximal monotone operators with nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. DOI 10.1007/s10957-010-9713-2.
- [28] K.K. Tan and H.K. Xu, *Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iterative process*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **178** (1993), 301–308.

Manuscript received August 6, 2010
revised December 14, 2010

XIAOLONG QIN

Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, China

E-mail address: `qx1xajh@163.com`

JUNG IM KANG

National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 385-16, 3F Tower Koreana, Doryong-dong, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-340, Korea

E-mail address: `jikang@nims.re.kr`

YEOL JE CHO

Department of Mathematics Education and the RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Korea

E-mail address: `yjcho@gnu.ac.kr`