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# ON EXISTENCE OF BEST PROXIMITY PAIR THEOREMS FOR RELATIVELY NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS 

G. SANKARA RAJU KOSURU AND P. VEERAMANI


#### Abstract

In this paper we study the existence of best proximity pair of points for relatively nonexpansive mappings on a pair $A, B$ of subsets of a Banach space $X$. A recent paper by Espínola ( $A$ new approach to relatively nonexpansive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no. 6, 1987-1995) contains best proximity pair theorems for relatively nonexpansive mappings on a proximial parallel pair in $X$. In this paper we obtain similar results for a more general class of pairs.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a normed linear space and $D \subset X$. Recall that a mapping $T: D \rightarrow D$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y$ in $D$. In this work we consider mappings that are relatively nonexpansive, in the sense that they are defined on the union of a pair of subsets $A, B$ of $X$ satisfying $\|T x-T y\| \leq \| x-$ $y \|$ for all $x \in A, y \in B$. In [2], Eldred et al., introduced a notion of proximal normal structure to prove best proximity pair theorems for relatively nonexpansive mappings of the types (i) $T A \subset A, T B \subset B$ and (ii) $T A \subset B, T B \subset A$. Espínola recently introduced a new approach in [1] to establish the same result for relatively nonexpansive mappings in a pair of proximinal parellel sets. Espínola has also proved that every convex proximinal pair $(A, B)$ in a strictly convex Banach space is a proximinal parallel pair. In general there are proximinal pairs $(A, B)$ in $X$ in which neither $X$ is a strictly convex Banach space nor the pair $(A, B)$ is a proximinal parallel pair. Motivated by this, we study best proximity pair theorems for relatively nonexpansive mappings on $A \cup B$. It might be noted that the best proximity pair theorems proved in [1] can also be proved by the approach given in [2]. Our aim here is to follow the approach of [1] to prove best proximity pair theorems for proximinal pairs which are not necessarily proximinal parallel.

Definition 1.1. Let $M$ be a nonempty set. A function $d: M \times M \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is said to be a semimetric on $M$, if it satisfies:

1. $d(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y \in M$.
2. $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ for any $x, y \in M$.

In this work we adopt the following notations. For any subsets $A, B$ of a semimetric space $(M, d)$,
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$$
\begin{aligned}
d(A, B) & =\inf \{d(x, y): x \in A, y \in B\} \\
\delta(x, B) & =\sup \{d(x, y): y \in B\}, \text { for } x \in M ; \\
\delta(A, B) & =\sup \{d(x, y): x \in A, y \in B\} \\
\delta(A) & =\delta(A, A), \text { the diameter of } A
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Given a semimetric $d$ on a set $M$ a $B$-set will be a set like

$$
B_{d}(x, r)=\{y \in M: d(x, y) \leq r\}
$$

Consider the family of admissible subsets of $M$ which are intersections of $B$-sets. We denote this collection by $\mathcal{A}(M)$. For a subset $D \subset M$, we say that a point $x \in D$ is a nondiametral point if $\delta(x, D)<\delta(D)$.

A family $\mathcal{B}$ of subsets of a semimetric space $(M, d)$ is said to be a convexity structure if $\mathcal{B}$ contains the $B$-sets of $M$ and if $\mathcal{B}$ is closed under intersection. $\mathcal{B}$ is compact if every subfamily of $\mathcal{B}$ which has the finite intersection property has nonempty intersection, and normal if every member of $\mathcal{B}$ containing more than one point has a nondiametral point. For more on these concepts the reader may check the references $[3,4,5,6]$.

Nonexpansiveness with respect to a semimetric is defined in the natural way.
Definition 1.2 ([1]). A mapping $T: M \rightarrow M$ is said to be nonexpansive with respect to the semimetric $d$, if $d(T x, T y) \leq d(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in M$.

An abstract version of Kirk's Fixed point Theorem is stated in [3]. The following theorem is a particular case for semimetrics of this abstract version.

Theorem $1.3([1])$. Let $(M, d)$ be a bounded semimetric space such that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is compact and has normal structure. Then every nonexpansive mapping $T: M \rightarrow M$ has a fixed point.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a Banach space and $A, B$ be subsets of $X$. We shall say that a pair $(A, B)$ of sets of $X$ satisfies a property $p$ if each of the sets $A$ and $B$ has the same property $p$. A pair $(A, B)$ of subsets of a Banach space $X$ is said to be a proximinal pair if for each $(x, y) \in A \times B$ there exists $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in A \times B$ such that $\left\|x-y^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|x^{\prime}-y\right\|=d(A, B)$. If, additionally, we impose the condition that the pair of points $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in A \times B$ is unique for each $(x, y) \in A \times B$, then we say that the pair $(A, B)$ is a sharp proximinal pair. In this case, such a $y^{\prime} \in B$ is said to be the best proximity point for $x \in A$ and vice-versa.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(A, B)$ be a proximinal pair in a Banach space $X$. Then $(A, B)$ is a sharp proximinal pair if and only if for every $x \in A$ there exists an unique $y \in B$ such that $\|x-y\|=d(A, B)$ and vice-versa.

In [2], Eldred et al., introduced the notion of proximal normal structure for a pair of subsets of a Banach space, as follows:

Definition $2.2([2])$. A convex pair $(A, B)$ in a Banach space $X$ is said to have proximal normal structure if for any closed, bounded and convex proximinal pair $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right) \subseteq(A, B)$ for which $d\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)=d(A, B)$ and $d\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)<\delta\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$, there exists $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$ such that

$$
\delta\left(x_{1}, H_{2}\right)<\delta\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right) \text { and } \delta\left(x_{2}, H_{1}\right)<\delta\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)
$$

In [2] the reader can find different conditions (like $(A, B)$ is a compact convex pair of a Banach space or a closed bounded convex pair of a uniformly convex Banach space) which guarantee the existence of proximal normal structure for a given pair of sets.

In [1], Espínola established best proximity pair results, using a notion called proximinal parallel pair, in a Banach space.

Definition 2.3 ([1]). Let $A, B$ be nonempty subsets of a Banach space $X$. We say that $(A, B)$ is a proximinal parallel pair if the following conditions are fulfilled (1.) $(A, B)$ is a sharp proximinal pair.
(2.) $B=A+h$ for some $h \in X$.

Remark 2.4. For any proximinal parallel pair $(A, B)$ in a Banach space $X$ there exists $h \in X$ such that $B=A+h$ and so for any $x, y \in A,\|x-y\|=\|(x+h)-(y+h)\|$. Hence $\delta(A)=\delta(B)$

Though in [1], Espínola has proved that every convex proximinal pair in a strictly convex Banach space is a proximinal parallel pair, we give a simple proof for the same result.

Lemma 2.5. Let $(A, B)$ be a convex proximinal pair in a strictly convex Banach space $X$. Then $(A, B)$ is a proximinal parallel pair.

Proof. The fact that $(A, B)$ is a sharp proximinal pair immediately follows from the strict convexity of $X$. Suppose for $x, y$ in $A, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ in $B$ are the corresponding best proximity points. Now if $x-x^{\prime} \neq y-y^{\prime}$ then by strict convexity of $X$, we have $\left\|\frac{x-x^{\prime}}{2}+\frac{y-y^{\prime}}{2}\right\|<d(A, B)$. Thus $\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}-\frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}\right\|<d(A, B)$, a contradiction.

## 3. Main Results

Let $X$ be a Banach space and $(A, B)$ be a sharp proximinal pair of subsets of $X$. Then for each $x \in B$ there is a unique $y \in A$ such that $\|x-y\|=d(A, B)$. In this case, such a $y$ is denoted by $x^{\prime}$. From the definition of sharp proximinal pair, it is easy to see that $\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=x$. Throughout this work we denote $d(A, B)$ by $d$.

Now we define a semimetric on $B$ and use this semimetric to prove the main results.

Lemma 3.1. Let $(A, B)$ be a sharp proximinal pair in a Banach space $X$. Let $d_{1}: B \times B \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$
d_{1}(x, y)=\max \left\{\left\|x^{\prime}-y\right\|-d,\left\|x-y^{\prime}\right\|-d\right\}
$$

Then $d_{1}$ defines a semimetric on $B$.

Proof. For $x=y \in B$, by uniqueness of best proximity points, $d_{1}(x, y)=0$. If $d_{1}(x, y)=0$ then $\left\|x^{\prime}-y\right\|=\left\|x-y^{\prime}\right\|=d=d(A, B)$. So by uniqueness of best proximity point of $x, x=y$ and hence $x=y$. By the definition of $d_{1}, d_{1}(x, y)=$ $d_{1}(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in B$.

We denote the collection of all admissible subsets of $B$ with respect to the semimetric $d_{1}$ by $\mathcal{A}_{1}(B)$. Also if $B=A$ then $d_{1}(x, y)=\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y$ in $B$. It is easy to verify that each $B$-set in $B$ is a convex subset of $X$. Now we show that each $B$-set in $B$ is a weakly closed subset of the given Banach space $X$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $(A, B)$ be a weakly compact, convex, sharp proximinal pair in a Banach space $X$ and $d_{1}$ be the semimetric on $B$ defined as above. Then each $B$-set in $B$ is a weakly closed subset of $X$.

Proof. Since each $B$-set in $B$ is a convex subset of $X$, it is enough to prove that each $B$-set in $B$ is closed in the Banach space $X$. Let $y_{n}$ be a sequence in $B_{d_{1}}(x, r)$ such that $y_{n} \rightarrow y$ in $X$. By weak compactness of $A$, we get a subsequence $\left\{y_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ such that $y_{n_{k}}^{\prime} \rightarrow z$ weakly for some $z \in A$. Norm is weakly lower semi continuous with respect to the weak topology on $X$ implies $\|y-z\| \leq \liminf _{k}\left\|y_{n_{k}}-y_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|=d$. Therefore $z=y^{\prime}$. Also $\left\|x-y^{\prime}\right\| \leq \liminf _{k}\left\|x-y_{n_{k}}^{\prime}\right\| \leq r+d,\left\|x^{\prime}-y\right\| \leq \liminf _{k} \| x^{\prime}-$ $y_{k} \| \leq r+d$ and hence $y \in B_{d_{1}}(x, r)$.

Now we see the relation between the proximal normal structure on $(A, B)$ and $d_{1}$-normal structure on $\mathcal{A}_{1}(B)$.
Proposition 3.3. Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty sharp proximinal convex pair in $B a$ nach space $X$. Then $(A, B)$ has proximal normal structure if and only if each closed, bounded and convex subset of $B$ having more than one point has a $d_{1}$-nondiametral point.
Proof. $\Rightarrow$ : Let $(A, B)$ have proximal normal structure and let $D \subseteq B$ be a nonempty closed, bounded and convex set having more than one point. Then the pair ( $D^{\prime}, D$ ) is a closed, bounded and convex proximinal pair of $(A, B)$ satisfying $d(A, B)=$ $d\left(D^{\prime}, D\right)$ by setting

$$
D^{\prime}=\left\{x^{\prime} \in A: x \in D\right\}
$$

Let $x, y$ in $D$ be such that $x \neq y$ then $\left\|y^{\prime}-x\right\|>d$ and hence $d\left(D^{\prime}, D\right)<\delta\left(D^{\prime}, D\right)$. By proximal normal structure, there exists $\left(x^{\prime}, y\right) \in D^{\prime} \times D$ such that $\delta\left(x^{\prime}, D\right)<$ $\delta\left(D^{\prime}, D\right)$ and $\delta\left(y, D^{\prime}\right)<\delta\left(D^{\prime}, D\right)$. Get $\left(x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}\right),\left(x_{4}^{\prime}, x_{5}\right) \in D^{\prime} \times D$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta\left(x^{\prime}, D\right)<d\left(x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& \delta\left(y, D^{\prime}\right)<d\left(x_{4}^{\prime}, x_{5}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we claim that $\delta\left(x^{\prime}, D\right)-d<\delta_{1}(D)$. Suppose not $d_{1}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \leq \delta\left(x^{\prime}, D\right)-d$, which gives $\left\|x_{2}^{\prime}-x_{3}\right\| \leq \delta\left(x^{\prime}, D\right)$, a contradiction to 3.1. By a similar argument it is easy to see that $\delta\left(y, D^{\prime}\right)-d<\delta_{1}(D)$. Now by the convexity of $D, \frac{x+y}{2} \in D$ and for any $z \in D,\left\|\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{\prime}-z\right\|-d \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\left\|x^{\prime}-z\right\|-d\right)+\left(\left\|y^{\prime}+z\right\|-d\right)\right] \leq$ $\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\delta\left(x^{\prime}, D\right)-d\right)+d_{1}(y, z)\right]<\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{1}(D)+\delta_{1}(D)\right)<\delta_{1}(D)$. By the similar argument
$\left\|\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-z^{\prime}\right\|-d<\delta_{1}(D)$ and hence $\frac{x+y}{2}$ is a nondiametrical point $D$ with respect to $d_{1}$.
$\Leftarrow$ : Let $\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ be a closed, bounded and convex proximinal subset of $(A, B)$ with $d\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)=d(A, B)$ and $d\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)<\delta\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$. Let $H_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{x^{\prime} \in A: x \in H_{2}\right\}$. Then $=H_{2}^{\prime}=H_{1}$. Now $B$ has $d_{1}$-normal structure, so $\delta_{1}\left(H_{2}\right)>0$, implies there exists $x \in H_{2}$ such that $\delta_{1}\left(x, H_{2}\right)<\delta_{1}\left(H_{2}\right)$. Then for the pair $\left(x^{\prime}, x\right) \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$ and for any $z \in H_{2},\left\|x^{\prime}-z\right\| \leq d_{1}(x, z)+d \leq \delta_{1}\left(x, H_{2}\right)+d<\delta_{1}\left(H_{2}\right)+d=\delta\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$. Hence $\delta\left(x^{\prime}, H_{2}\right)<\delta\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$. By a similar argument we get $\delta\left(x, H_{1}\right)<\delta\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$.
Remark 3.4. Using Lemma 3.2 one can easily prove that if $(A, B)$ is a weakly compact convex sharp proximinal pair in a Banach space $X$ then $\mathcal{A}_{1}(B)$ is compact.

Let $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a relatively nonexpansive mapping with $T A \subseteq A$ and $T B \subseteq B$.
Remark 3.5. Let $(A, B)$ and $T$ be as above. For $x \in B, d(A, B) \leq\left\|T x-T x^{\prime}\right\| \leq$ $\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|=d(A, B)$ and hence $(T x)^{\prime}=T x^{\prime}$.
Lemma 3.6. Let $(A, B)$ be a sharp proximinal pair in a Banach space $X$. If $T$ : $A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a relatively nonexpansive mapping with $T A \subseteq A$ and $T B \subseteq B$. Then $T$ is $d_{1}$-nonexpansive on $B$.

Proof. For $x, y \in B,\left\|T y-T x^{\prime}\right\|-d \leq\left\|y-x^{\prime}\right\|-d \leq d_{1}(x, y)$ and similarly $\left\|T y^{\prime}-T x\right\|-d \leq\left\|y^{\prime}-x\right\|-d \leq d_{1}(x, y)$. Hence $d_{1}(T x, T y) \leq d_{1}(x, y)$

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.3.
Theorem 3.7. Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty weakly compact convex sharp proximinal pair in a Banach space $X$ having proximal normal structure. If $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a relatively nonexpansive mapping with $T A \subseteq A$ and $T B \subseteq B$, then there exists $(a, b) \in A \times B$ such that $T a=a, T b=b$ and $\|a-b\|=d(A, B)$.

As particular case of Theorem 3.7, we get the following Corollary.
Corollary 3.8. ([1], [2]) Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty, weakly compact convex pair in strictly convex space $X$, and suppose $(A, B)$ has proximal normal structure. Further suppose $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a relatively nonexpansive mapping with $T A \subseteq A$ and $T B \subseteq B$, then there exist $x_{0} \in A, y_{0} \in B$ such that $T x_{0}=x_{0}, T y_{0}=y_{0}$, and $\left\|x_{0}-y_{0}\right\|=d(A, B)$.
Remark 3.9. If the pair $(A, B)$ is not a sharp proximinal pair, the conclusions of the Theorem 3.7 need not be satisfied.
Example 3.10. For $n \geq 2$, let $X=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with supremum norm, $A=\{x=$ $\left.\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots x_{n}\right): 0 \leq x_{i} \leq 1,2 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $B=\left\{y=\left(1, y_{2}, y_{3}, \ldots y_{n}\right): 0 \leq\right.$ $\left.y_{i} \leq 1,2 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Then for any $x \in A, y \in B,\|x-y\|=1$. Hence $d(A, B)=1$. Then the pair $(A, B)$ is a compact, convex pair having proximal normal structure and any $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ with $T A \subset A, T B \subset B$ is a relatively nonexpansive mapping. Hence there exist fixed point free relatively nonexpansive mappings.

Let $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a relatively nonexpansive mapping with $T A \subseteq B$ and $T B \subseteq A$. Define a map $T^{\prime}: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ as, $T^{\prime} x=T x^{\prime}$ for $x \in A$ and $T^{\prime} y=T y^{\prime}$ for $y \in B$. Then it is easy to see that $T^{\prime} A \subseteq A, T^{\prime} B \subseteq B$ and $\left(T^{\prime} x\right)^{\prime}=T x$.

Lemma 3.11. Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be as above. Then $T^{\prime}$ is $d_{1}$-nonexpansive on $B$.
Proof. Let $x, y \in B .\left\|\left(T^{\prime} x\right)^{\prime}-T^{\prime} y\right\|-d=\left\|T x-T y^{\prime}\right\|-d \leq\left\|x-y^{\prime}\right\|-d \leq d_{1}(x, y)$. Similarly $\left\|\left(T^{\prime} y\right)^{\prime}-T^{\prime} x\right\|-d \leq d_{1}(x, y)$. Hence $T^{\prime}$ is $d_{1}$-nonexpansive on $B$.

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.11,Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 3.12 ([2]). Let $(A, B)$ be a nonempty bounded closed convex pair in a uniformly convex Banach space $X$. If $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a relatively nonexpansive mapping with $T A \subseteq B$ and $T B \subseteq A$, then there exists $(a, b) \in A \times B$ such that $\|a-T a\|=\|b-T b\|=d(A, B)$.

Finally we give an example of a sharp proximinal pair $(A, B)$ of subsets of an infinite dimensional Banach space $X$, which is not a proximinal parallel pair, to illustrate Theorem 3.7.

Example 3.13. Consider the space $X$ of all complex valued continuous functions on $[0,1]$ with supremum norm, i.e., $X=\left(\mathcal{C}[0,1],\|.\|_{\infty}\right)$. $A:=\left\{f_{\alpha}: \alpha \in[0,1]\right\}$ and $B:=\left\{g_{\alpha}: \alpha \in[0,1]\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\alpha}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 i \alpha t, \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \\
2 i \alpha(1-t), \text { if } t \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
g_{\alpha}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+\alpha\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)+2 i \alpha t, \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \\
1-\alpha\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)+2 i \alpha(1-t), \text { if } t \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

For any fixed $\alpha \in[0,1]$ and for any $t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right],\left|f_{\alpha}(t)-g_{\alpha}(t)\right|=\left\lvert\, 2 i \alpha t-\left(1+\alpha\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\right.\right.$ $2 i \alpha t)\left|=\left|1+\alpha\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| \leq 1\right.$ and for any $\left.t \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right],\left|f_{\alpha}(t)-g_{\alpha}(t)\right|=\right| 2 i \alpha(1-t)-(1-$ $\left.\alpha\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)+2 i \alpha(1-t)\right)\left|=\left|1-\alpha\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| \leq 1\right.$. Also $| f_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-g_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)|=|i \alpha-(1+i \alpha)|=1$. Therefore $\left\|f_{\alpha}-g_{\alpha}\right\|=1$. Now for any $\alpha \neq \beta \in[0,1],\left\|f_{\alpha}-g_{\beta}\right\| \geq\left|f_{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-g_{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|=$ $|i \alpha-(1+i \beta)|=|1-i(\beta-\alpha)|>1$. Hence for any $\left(f_{\alpha}, g_{\beta}\right) \in A \times B,\left(g_{\alpha}, f_{\beta}\right) \in B \times A$ is the unique pair satisfying $\left\|f_{\alpha}-g_{\alpha}\right\|=\left\|f_{\beta}-g_{\beta}\right\|=1=d(A, B)$ i.e., the pair $(A, B)$ is a sharp proximinal pair in $X$. Also $f_{0}(0)-g_{0}(0)=1 \neq \frac{1}{2}=f_{1}(0)-g_{1}(0)$. Hence the pair $(A, B)$ is not a proximinal parallel pair. Now for any sequence $f_{\alpha_{n}} \in A$, the sequence $\alpha_{n}$ has a convergent subsequence, again denote by $\alpha_{n}$, which converges to some $\alpha \in[0,1]$. It is easy to see that the sequence $f_{\alpha_{n}}$ converges to $f_{\alpha}$. Therefore $(A, B)$ is a compact subset of $X$. Now $(A, B)$ is compact convex pair and and hence has proximal normal structure (c.f. [2]). Let $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be defined as

$$
T\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=f_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, T\left(g_{\alpha}\right)=g_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text { for all } \alpha \in[0,1],
$$

Then $T$ is a relatively nonexpansive map on $A \cup B, T$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3.7 and $\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)$ is the required best proximity pair satisfying the conclusions of Theorem 3.7.
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