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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce new iteration methods for finding a common point of the solution set of a class of pseudomonotone variational inequalities and the fixed point set of a finite system of unrelated nonexpansive mappings in a real Hilbert space. The main iteration step in the proposed methods computes only one projection and does not require any Lipschitz continuity for the cost mapping


## 1. Introduction

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$. By $x^{k} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ (resp. $x^{k} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$ ), we denote strong (resp. weak) convergence of the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ to $\bar{x}$.

A mapping $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, usually called a cost mapping, is said to be
(1) monotone on $C$, if

$$
\langle F(x)-F(y), x-y\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in C ;
$$

(2) pseudomonotone on $C$, if

$$
\langle F(y), x-y\rangle \geq 0 \Rightarrow\langle F(x), x-y\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in C ;
$$

(3) Lipschitz continuous on $C$ with constant $L>0$, if

$$
\|F(x)-F(y)\| \leq L\|x-y\| \quad \forall x, y \in C .
$$

A variational inequality problem, shortly $\operatorname{VIP}(C, F)$, is to find a point in

$$
\operatorname{Sol}(C, F)=\left\{x^{*} \in C:\left\langle F\left(x^{*}\right), x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in C\right\} .
$$

[^0]Let a finite system of mappings $S_{i}: C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i}(i \in I:=\{1,2, \ldots, n\})$, where $C_{i}$ is nonempty, closed and convex subset of $\mathcal{H}$. For each $i \in I, S_{i}$ is called nonexpansive on $C_{i}$, if

$$
\left\|S_{i} x-S_{i} y\right\| \leq\|x-y\| \quad \forall x, y \in C_{i} .
$$

Denote the fixed point set of $S_{i}$ by $F i x\left(S_{i}\right):=\left\{x \in C_{i}: S_{i} x=x\right\}$.
Problem 1. Let $C \subseteq C_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. The problem is to find a common element of the solution sets of Problem $\operatorname{VIP}(C, F)$ and the set of common fixed points of a finite family of nonexpansive mappings $S_{i}(i \in I)$, that is,

$$
\text { Find } x^{*} \in \bigcap_{i \in I} F i x\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Sol}(C, F)
$$

Now we consider the relation of Problem 1 and other problems.

1. Variational inequality problem: Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $\mathcal{H}$ and $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a mapping. We consider the variational inequality problem (see [22, 36]):

$$
\text { Find } \bar{x} \in C \text { such that }\langle F(\bar{x}), y-\bar{x}\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C .
$$

Setting $S_{i}=0$ for all $i \in I$, it is easy to see that Problem 1 coincides with this variational inequality problem.
2. Fixed point problem: Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $\mathcal{H}$ and the mappings $S_{i}: C \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(i \in I=\{1,2, \ldots, n\})$ be nonexpansive. The following problem is called the fixed point problem (see [44]):

$$
\text { Find } \hat{x} \in \bigcap_{i \in I} F i x\left(S_{i}\right)
$$

By choosing $F=0$ and $C_{i}=C$ for all $i \in I$, we can easily see that Problem 1 is equivalent to this fixed point problem.
3. Finding a common point of the solution set of variational inequalities and the fixed point set of nonexpansive mappings: Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed convex subsets of $\mathcal{H}$, the mappings $S_{i}: C \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(i \in I=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n\})$ be nonexpansive, and $F_{j}: C \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(j \in J:=\{1,2, \ldots, m\})$. The problem is formulated as follows (see [16, 19, 38, 47]):

$$
\text { Find } x^{*} \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right) \bigcap_{j \in J} \operatorname{Sol}\left(C, F_{j}\right) \text {. }
$$

Taking $C_{i}=C$ for all $i \in I, m=1$ and $F=F_{1}$, we can see that the problem collapses into Problem 1.
4. Unrelated variational inequalities: For each $i \in I=\{1,2, \ldots, n+1\}$, let $C_{i}$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\cap_{i \in I} C_{i} \neq \emptyset$,
and $F_{i}: C_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Unrelated variational inequalities in the sense of Censor et al. [17] are first stated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } x^{*} \in \operatorname{Sol}\left(C_{i}, F_{i}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that Problem 1 is a special case of unrelated variational inequalities in the case $F_{i}(x)=x-S_{i} x$ for all $x \in C_{i}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $F=F_{n+1}$.

Some notable methods for studying and solving Problem 1 and its special case have been proposed such as hybrid extragradient-viscosity methods of Kim [25] and Maingé [40] for (1.1) in the case $m=n=1$, extragradient methods of Kim et al. ([30, 27]) and Nakajo et al. [42] for (1.1) where $S_{i}(i \in I)$ are nonexpansive semigroups, strongly convergent reflection methods of Bauschke et al. [11] for finding the projection onto the intersection of two closed convex sets, projection methods of Censor et al. [17] and Kim et al. [32] for $\operatorname{VIP}(C, F)$, projection methods for nonexpansive mappings and inverse-strongly monotone mappings of Iiduka et al. [33], linesearch methods of Anh et al. [5], ergodic iteration methods of Kim et al. [26], parallel extragradient-like projection methods of Anh et al. [8] and others for (1.1); see [4, 6, 9, 15, 22, 31, 29].

The metric projection from $\mathcal{H}$ onto $C$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Pr}_{C}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{C}(x):=\operatorname{argmin}\{\|x-y\|: y \in C\}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}
$$

It is easy to see that a point $x^{*} \in \operatorname{Sol}(C, F)$ if and only if it is a fixed point of the mapping $T(x):=\operatorname{Pr}_{C}(x-\lambda F(x))$, where $\lambda>0$. Then, the simplest iterative procedure is the well-known projected gradient method which was given by the following [13]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{0} \in \mathcal{R}^{n} \\
x^{k+1}=T\left(x^{k}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

However, in the Euclidean space $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{R}^{n}$, its convergence requires either $\beta$ strongly monotone property (i.e., $\langle F(x)-F(y), x-y\rangle \geq \beta\|x-y\|^{2}, \forall x, y \in C$ ) and $L$-Lipschitz continuity (i.e., $\|F(x)-F(y)\| \leq L\|x-y\|, \forall x, y \in C$ ) on the cost mapping $F$, or $\gamma$-converse strongly monotone property (i.e., $\langle F(x)-$ $\left.F(y), x-y\rangle \geq \gamma\|F(x)-F(y)\|^{2}, \forall x, y \in C\right)$.

In order to overcome the drawbacks, in a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, Malitsky [39] proposed the projected reflected gradient algorithm with a constant stepsize
for solving $\operatorname{VIP}(C, F)$, where the iteration scheme is very simple as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{0}=y^{0} \in \mathcal{H} \\
x^{k+1}=\operatorname{Pr}_{C}\left(x^{k}-\lambda F\left(y^{k}\right)\right) \\
y^{k+1}=2 x^{k+1}-x^{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Only under monotone and $L$-Lipschitz continuous assumptions of the cost mapping $F$, by choosing the stepsize $\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{L}\right)$, the author showed that the sequences $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{y^{k}\right\}$ weakly converge to a solution of $\operatorname{VIP}(C, F)$.

On the one hand, in 1976, Korpelevich [37] first introduced the extragradient method for the saddle point problem and then was extended to $\operatorname{VIP}(C, F)$, the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{0} \in \mathcal{R}^{n} \\
y^{k}=T\left(x^{k}\right) \\
x^{k+1}=\operatorname{Pr}_{C}\left(x^{k}-\lambda F\left(y^{k}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Under assumptions that $F$ is monotone, $L$-Lipschitz continuous and $0<\lambda<\frac{1}{L}$, she showed that the sequences $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{y^{k}\right\}$ converge to a same point in $S o l(C, F)$. However, in the extragradient method, the sequences only converge to a solution point of $\operatorname{VIP}(C, F)$ in $\mathcal{R}^{n}$.

In 2006, basing on the extragradient method and Mann iteration method [41], another iterative process was proposed by Nadezhkina and Takahashi in [43] for finding a common point of the fixed point set of a nonexpansive mapping $S$ and the set $\operatorname{Sol}(C, F)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{0} \in \mathcal{H} \\
y^{k}=\operatorname{Pr}_{C}\left(x^{k}-\lambda_{k} F\left(x^{k}\right)\right) \\
x^{k+1}=\alpha_{k} x^{k}+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) S \operatorname{Pr}_{C}\left(x^{k}-\lambda_{k} F\left(y^{k}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

When $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\} \subset[a, b] \subset\left(0, \frac{1}{L}\right)$ and $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\} \subset[c, d] \subset(0,1)$, where $F$ is monotone and $L$-Lipschitz continuous on $C$, they proved that the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ converges weakly to some element in $\operatorname{Sol}(C, F) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(S)$. The method has modified and extended by Anh [2] for finding a common point of the solution set of pseudomonotone equilibrium problems and the fixed point set of nonexpansive mappings in a real Hilbert space. Note that, in the special case that the equilibrium problem is a variational inequality problem, then pseudomonotonicty of the cost bifunction is same as pseudomonotonicity of the cost mapping.

Recently, the extragradient method has been played a crucial role to get a lot of extensions to solve Problem 1: see [7, 36, 40]. Summing up, many of current algorithms for finding a common point of the solution set of a variational inequality problem and the fixed point set of mappings usually requires more
than two projections at each iteration step; see, for example [49]. Similarly to the case of the projected reflected gradient algorithm, one could ask the following question:

Could one use the basic projection method for solving Problem 1 such that the method requires only one projection onto the feasible set $C$ and only computing values of the mappings per iteration?

In this paper we propose a solution for the above question by showing that the basic projection method not only works well for solving variational inequalities but also succeeds in solving Problem 1.

This paper, motivated the projected reflected gradient algorithm of Malitsky in [39] and the Mann iteration method in [41], introduces the following one-projection scheme to solve Problem 1 for the variational inequality problem $\operatorname{VIP}(C, F)$ and a finite family of nonexpansive mappings $S_{i}(i \in I)$ in the framework of a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. This scheme needs only computing one projection of $x^{k}-\alpha_{k} F\left(x^{k}\right)$ onto the feasible set $C$ (as in the above) and only $n$ values of nonexpansive mappings $S_{i}(i \in I)$ per iteration and it has a quite simple and elegant structure. The scheme recalls one-projection method; however, stepsize $\alpha_{k}=\frac{\delta_{k}}{\gamma_{k}}$ of a gradient is taken computational complexity in the point $\operatorname{Pr}_{C}\left(x^{k}-\alpha_{k} F\left(x^{k}\right)\right)$, where $\gamma_{k}=\max \left\{\lambda_{k},\left\|F\left(x^{k}\right)\right\|\right\}$ under some conditions onto parameter sequences $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ per each iteration $k$. We emphasize here that the weak convergence of our scheme follows by continuity, pseudomonotonicity and paramonotonicity of the cost mapping $F$, and it does not require Lipschitz continuity.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we assume that the mapping $F$, each operator $S_{i}(i \in I)$, parameter sequences $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\},\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{k, i}\right\}$ satisfy the following restrictions:
$\left(C_{1}\right)$ If $\left\{x^{k}\right\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is bounded, then $\left\{F\left(x^{k}\right)\right\}$ is also bounded; for each fixed point $y \in C$, the function $f(x)=\langle F(x), y-x\rangle$ is weakly upper semicontinuous with respect to $x$ [4]; pseudomonotone on $C$ with respect to every solution of Problem 1 and satisfies strict paramonotonicity property that (see [49])

$$
\{x \in \operatorname{Sol}(C, F), y \in C,\langle F(y), x-y\rangle=0\} \Rightarrow y \in \operatorname{Sol}(C, F) ;
$$

$\left(C_{2}\right)$ The mappings $S_{i}$ are nonexpansive on $C$ for all $i \in I$;
$\left(C_{3}\right)$ Let $L>\lambda>0$ and $0<a<b<1$. The sequences $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\} \subset(0,1),\left\{\beta_{k, j}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ satisfy

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{k}=+\infty, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{k}^{2}<+\infty, a \leq \beta_{k, j} \leq b \quad \forall j \in I, \quad \text { and }\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\} \subset[\lambda, L] ;
$$

(example as $\delta_{k}=\frac{1}{k+1}$ for all $k \geq 0$ );
$\left(C_{4}\right)$ The solution set of Problem 1 is nonempty, that is,

$$
\Omega:=\bigcap_{i \in I} F i x\left(C_{i}, S_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Sol}(C, F) \neq \emptyset .
$$

Let $F$ be continuous. By Kinderlehrer and Stampacchia [35] (Corollary 4.3), if either there exists $x^{0} \in C$ such that

$$
\frac{\left\langle F(y)-F\left(x^{0}\right), y-x^{0}\right\rangle}{\left\|y-x^{0}\right\|} \rightarrow 0 \text { as }\|y\| \rightarrow \infty, y \in C
$$

or $C$ is bounded, then $\operatorname{Sol}(C, F) \neq \emptyset$.
Now the one-projection algorithm for solving Problem 1 is formally stated as the following.

## Algorithm 2.1. (One-projection algorithm)

Initialization: Choosing $x^{0} \in C$, and the parameter sequences $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ satisfy $\left(C_{3}\right)$.

Iterative step: $k \geq 1$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Take } \gamma_{k}=\max \left\{\lambda_{k},\left\|F\left(x^{k}\right)\right\|\right\}, \alpha_{k}=\frac{\delta_{k}}{\gamma_{k}} \\
\text { Compute } y^{k}=\operatorname{Pr}_{C}\left(x^{k}-\alpha_{k} F\left(x^{k}\right)\right) \\
\text { For each } j \in I \text {, compute } u_{j}^{k}=\left(1-\beta_{k, j}\right) x^{k}+\beta_{k, j} S_{j} y^{k} \\
\text { Set } x^{k+1}=u_{j_{k}}^{k}, \text { where } j_{k}:=\operatorname{argmax}\left\{\left\|u_{j}^{k}-y^{k}\right\|: j \in I\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

To investigate the convergence of one-projection method, we recall the following technical lemmas and quasi-Fejér convergence which will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.2 ([51]). Let $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{k}\right\}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$
a_{k+1} \leq a_{k}+b_{k} \quad \forall k \geq 0,
$$

where $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k}<\infty$. Then, the sequence $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ is convergent.
Lemma 2.3 ([44]). Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space, $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $0<a \leq \alpha_{k} \leq b<1$ for all $k \geq 0$, and let $\left\{v^{k}\right\}$, $\left\{w^{k}\right\}$ be sequences in $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v^{k}\right\| \leq c, \quad \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|w^{k}\right\| \leq c
$$

and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\alpha_{k} v^{k}+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) w^{k}\right\|=c
$$

Then, $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v^{k}-w^{k}\right\|=0$.
It is well known that the metric projection $\operatorname{Pr}_{C}$ has the following properties.
Lemma 2.4 ([12]). Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\operatorname{Pr}_{C}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow C$ be a metric projection on $C$. Then the following properties hold:
(i) $\left\langle x-\operatorname{Pr}_{C}(x), y-\operatorname{Pr}_{C}(x)\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, x \in \mathcal{H}$;
(ii) $\left\langle\operatorname{Pr}_{C}(x)-\operatorname{Pr}_{C}(y), x-y\right\rangle \geq\left\|\operatorname{Pr}_{C}(x)-\operatorname{Pr}_{C}(y)\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$;
(iii) $\left\|x-\operatorname{Pr}_{C}(x)\right\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-\left\|y-\operatorname{Pr}_{C}(x)\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}, y \in C$.

Lemma 2.5 ([46] (Opial Condition)). Let $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $x^{k} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. Then, for all $y \neq \bar{x}$, we have

$$
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x^{k}-\bar{x}\right\|<\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x^{k}-y\right\|
$$

We next deal with the so called quasi-Fejér convergence and its properties:
Definition 2.6. Let $S$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{H}$. A sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be quasi-Fejér convergent to $S$ if for all $x^{*} \in S$, there exist $k_{0} \geq 0$ and a sequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ with $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}<\infty$ such that

$$
\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x^{k}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{k}, \quad \forall k \geq k_{0}
$$

This definition originates in [21] and has been used further in [20, 34].
Lemma 2.7 ([34, Theorem 4.1]). Let $S$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{H}$. If $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is quasi-Fejér convergent to $S$. Then we have the followings:
(i) The sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is bounded;
(ii) If all weak cluster points of $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ belong to $S$, then the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is weakly convergent to a point of $S$.

## 3. Convergence theorems

Thefollowing lemma is important to prove the convergence theorem.
Lemma 3.1 ([28]). Let $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be pseudomonotone and the conditions $\left(C_{2}\right)-\left(C_{4}\right)$ be satisfied. Then we have the following statements.
(i) The sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 2.1 is quasi-Fejér convergent to a point in $\Omega$. More detailed as the following:

$$
\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x^{k}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+2 \beta_{k, j_{k}} \delta_{k}^{2}, \quad \forall x^{*} \in \Omega .
$$

(ii) For each $x^{*} \in \Omega$,

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle F\left(x^{k}\right), x^{*}-x^{k}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Now, we are in a position to indroduce the main convergence theorem.

Theorem 3.2 ([28]). Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose that conditions $\left(C_{1}\right)-\left(C_{4}\right)$ are satisfied. Let $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by Algorithm 2.1. Then, the sequences $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{y^{k}\right\}$ converge weakly to the same point $x^{*} \in \Omega$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.7(i), the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is quasi-Fejér convergent to $\Omega$. Hence, by Lemma $3.1(\mathrm{i})$, the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is bounded and if all the weak cluster points of $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ belong to $\Omega$, then the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ converges weakly to a point of $\Omega$. Let $\bar{x}$ be any weak cluster point of $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$. Then, since $C$ is weakly closed, $\bar{x} \in C$ and without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k_{j}} \rightharpoonup \bar{x} \text { and } \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle F\left(x^{k}\right), x^{*}-x^{k}\right\rangle=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle F\left(x^{k_{j}}\right), x^{*}-x^{k_{j}}\right\rangle, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{*} \in \Omega$.
It remains to prove that $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ to get that $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ converges weakly to a point in $\Omega$, and from the fact that $\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\| \leq \delta_{k},\left\{y^{k}\right\}$ converges weakly to the same point. The proof of $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ is divided into two steps (see [28]).
Step 1. Claim $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{Sol}(C, F)$.
Step 2. Claim $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{j}\right)$ for all $j \in I$.
Now we will show that $S_{j}(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$ for all $j \in I$. The proof is similar as in the proof of ([46], Lemma 2) by using the Opial condition in Lemma 2.5. Thus $y^{k_{j}} \rightharpoonup \bar{x} \in \cap_{j \in I} F i x\left(S_{j}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

## 4. Equilibrium problems and common fixed point problems

Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a bifunction such that $f(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$ (usually called cost bifunction), and $f(x, \cdot)$ be convex for each $x \in C$. The equilibrium problem, shortly $E P(C, f)[14]$, is formulated by: Find $x^{*} \in C$ such that

$$
f\left(x^{*}, x\right) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C
$$

Let us denote the solution set of $E P(C, f)$ by $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$. For each $i \in I:=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, let $S_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping.

In this section, we consider the following problem of finding a common point of the equilibrium solution set $\operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$ and the fixed point set $\cap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right)$ :

Problem 2. Find $x^{*} \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$.
Methods for solving Problem 2 have been studied extensively by many researchers; see $[1,3,18,45,48,50]$. In the special case $f(x, y)=\langle F(x), y-x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$, where the mapping $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, Problem $E P(C, f)$ becomes the variational inequality problem $\operatorname{VIP}(C, F)$. Thus, we can say that Problem 2 is an extension formulation of Problem 1.

Many of the existing methods for solving Problem 2 require a strict assumption on the strong monotonicity or Lipschitz-type continuity of the cost bifunction $f$. Here, we only assume that $f$ is monotone and satisfies the paramonotonicity property, and not neccesary Lipschitz-type continuous.

We recall that a bifunction $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is called (see [5], Definition 1):
(1) strongly monotone on $C$ with constant $\beta>0$, if

$$
f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq-\beta\|x-y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

(2) monotone on $C$, if

$$
f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

(3) pseudomonotone on $C$, if

$$
f(x, y) \leq 0 \Rightarrow f(y, x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

(4) Lipschitz-type continuous on $C$, if

$$
f(x, y)+f(y, z) \leq f(x, z)-c_{1}\|x-y\|^{2}-c_{2}\|y-z\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in C .
$$

For solving Problem 2, we assume that for each $i \in I, S_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ is nonexpansive such that

$$
\Gamma:=\bigcap_{i \in I} F i x\left(S_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Sol}(C, f) \neq \emptyset
$$

and the bifunction $f$ satisfies the following conditions:
$\left(D_{1}\right)$ If $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is bounded, then $\left\{w^{k}\right\} \subset \partial_{2}^{\epsilon_{k}} f\left(x^{k}, x^{k}\right)$ is also bounded and $f$ is continuous on $C \times C$ in the sense that if $x, y \in C$, and $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{y^{k}\right\}$ are two sequences in $C$ converging weakly to $x$ and $y$, respectively, then $f\left(x^{k}, y^{k}\right) \rightarrow f(x, y)$.
$\left(D_{2}\right) f$ is pseudomonotone on $C$ with respect to every solution of Problem $E P(C, f)$ and satisfies the following condition, called strict paramonotonicity property:

$$
\{x \in \operatorname{Sol}(C, f), y \in C, f(y, x)=0\} \Rightarrow y \in \operatorname{Sol}(C, f) .
$$

Next, we propose a modification of Algorithm 2.1 for solving Problem 2.

## Algorithm 4.1. (Modified parallel projection algorithm)

Initialization: Choosing $x^{0} \in C$, and the parameter sequences $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ satisfy $\left(C_{3}\right)$, and $\left\{\epsilon_{k}\right\} \subset(0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{k} \epsilon_{k}<\infty$.

Iterative step: $k \geq 1$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Take } w^{k} \in \partial_{2}^{\epsilon_{k}} f\left(x^{k}, x^{k}\right), \gamma_{k}=\max \left\{\lambda_{k},\left\|w^{k}\right\|\right\}, \alpha_{k}=\frac{\delta_{k}}{\gamma_{k}} ; \\
\text { Compute } y^{k}=\operatorname{Pr}_{C}\left(x^{k}-\alpha_{k} w^{k}\right) ; \\
\text { For each } j \in I, \text { compute } u_{j}^{k}=\left(1-\beta_{k, j}\right) x^{k}+\beta_{k, j} S_{j} y^{k} ; \\
\text { Set } x^{k+1}=u_{j_{k}}^{k}, \text { where } j_{k}:=\operatorname{argmax}\left\{\left\|u_{j}^{k}-y^{k}\right\|: j \in I\right\} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that for each $\epsilon>0, x \in C, \partial_{2}^{\epsilon} f(x, y)$ stands for $\epsilon$-subdifferential of the convex function $f(x, \cdot)$ at $y \in C$ i.e.,

$$
\partial_{2}^{\epsilon} f(x, y):=\left\{w_{y} \in \mathcal{H}: f(x, z)-f(x, y) \geq\left\langle w_{y}, z-y\right\rangle-\epsilon \forall z \in C\right\} .
$$

When $f(x, y)=\langle F(x), y-x\rangle$ for every $x, y \in C$, Problem $E P(C, f)$ becomes the variational inequality problem $\operatorname{VIP}(C, F)$. In that case, we can choose $w^{k}=F\left(x^{k}\right)$ and Algorithm 4.1 can be written as Algorithm 2.1.

Now we need the following lemma for the main theorem.
Lemma 4.2 ([28]). Let $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be pseudomonotone and the conditions $\left(C_{3}\right)$ be satisfied. Then, we have the following statements.
(i) The sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 4.1 is quasi-Fejér convergent to $\Gamma$ and the following inequality holds:

$$
\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x^{k}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\frac{2}{\lambda} \beta_{k, j_{k}} \delta_{k} \epsilon_{k}+2 \beta_{k, j_{k}} \delta_{k}^{2}, \quad \forall x^{*} \in \Gamma
$$

(ii) For each $x^{*} \in \Gamma$,

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x^{k}, x^{*}\right)=0
$$

Theorem 4.3 ([28]). Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose that cnditions $\left(C_{3}\right)$ and $\left(D_{1}\right)-\left(D_{2}\right)$ are satisfied. Then, the sequences $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{y^{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 4.1 converge weakly to the same point $\bar{x} \in \Gamma$.

Proof. By the similarly method as Theorem 3.2, we assume that for each $\left\{x^{k_{j}}\right\} \subset\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k_{j}} \rightharpoonup \bar{x} \text { and } \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x^{k}, x^{*}\right)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x^{k_{j}}, x^{*}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\bar{x} \in \Gamma$, then by Lemma 2.7 (ii) that the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ converges weakly to a solution $\bar{x} \in \Gamma$ and hence $\left\{y^{k}\right\}$ also converges weakly to $\bar{x} \in \Gamma$.

From condition $\left(D_{1}\right),(4.1)$ and Lemma 4.2(ii), we have

$$
f\left(\bar{x}, x^{*}\right)=0
$$

Combining this and the pseudomonotonicity of $f$

$$
f\left(x^{*}, \bar{x}\right) \geq 0 \Rightarrow f\left(\bar{x}, x^{*}\right) \leq 0
$$

it implies that

$$
f\left(\bar{x}, x^{*}\right)=0 .
$$

Since $f$ is pseudomonotone on $C$ with respect to every solution of Problem 2 and satisfies strict paramonotonicity in condition $\left(D_{1}\right)$, we obtain $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{Sol}(C, f)$.

By similar arguments as in Step 2 of Theorem 3.2, we also have $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(S_{j}\right)$ for all $j \in I$. Consequently, the sequences $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{y^{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 4.1 converge weakly to the same point $\bar{x} \in \Gamma$.
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