

## ITERATIVE SEQUENCES FOR A BALANCED MAPPING OF RESOLVENTS

KENGO KASAHARA AND YASUNORI KIMURA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we find a common minimizer of a finite convex functions by using Halpern's and Mann's iterative scheme. Furthermore, we use iterative sequences which are generated by a finite resolvent operators without regard of order.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We know that there are various kinds of iterative scheme which is effective to find fixed points of nonexpansive mappings. In this paper, the authors pay attention to Halpern's [3] and Mann's [8] iterative scheme. A number of authors have proved theorems by using these scheme. Wittmann [13] proved the convergence of Halpern iterative scheme in a Hilbert space. Reich [10] proved that of Mann iterative scheme in a Banach space. Takahashi and Tamura [12] proved that of Halpern iterative scheme by using two nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space. Dhompongsa and Panyanak [2] proved that of Mann type iteration in a CAT(0) space. Saejung [11] proved that of Halpern type iteration in a CAT(0) space. We especially note that Kimura and Hasegawa proved the convergence of Mann [4] and Halpern [5] type iteration by using a balanced mapping in a CAT(0) space.

**Theorem 1.1** (Hasegawa-Kimura [4]). *Let  $X$  be a complete CAT(0) space. Let  $T^k$  be a nonexpansive mapping from  $X$  to  $X$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  such that  $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^N F(T^k) \neq \emptyset$ . For a given real number  $a \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , let  $\{\alpha_n^k\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [a, 1-a]$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k = 1$ . Define  $U_n$  be a mapping from  $X$  to  $X$  by*

$$U_n x = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(T^k x, y)^2$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52A41, 47H09.

*Key words and phrases.* Hadamard space, CAT(1) space, convex function, resolvent, Halpern iteration, Mann iteration.

for every  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For a given point  $x_1 \in X$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  generated by

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n) U_n x_n$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$   $\Delta$ -converges to a point in  $F$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Hasegawa-Kimura [5]). *Let  $X$  be a complete CAT(0) space. Let  $T^k$  be a nonexpansive mapping from  $X$  to  $X$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  such that  $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^N F(T^k) \neq \emptyset$ . For a given real number  $a \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , let  $\{\beta_n\} \subset ]0, 1[$ ,  $\{\alpha_n^k\} \subset [a, 1 - a]$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k = 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N |\alpha_{n+1}^k - \alpha_n^k| < \infty$ . Define a mapping  $U_n$  from  $X$  to  $X$  by*

$$U_n x = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(T^k x, y)^2$$

for every  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For given points  $u, x_1 \in X$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  generated by

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n u \oplus (1 - \beta_n) U_n x_n$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $P_F u$ .

In this paper, the authors prove two theorems based on Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 with the resolvent of a convex function in a complete CAT(0) space.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $X$  be a metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . An element  $z \in X$  is said to be an asymptotic center of  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) = \inf_{x \in X} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x)$$

Moreover, we say  $\{x_n\}$   $\Delta$ -converges to a  $\Delta$ -limit  $z$  if  $z$  is the unique asymptotic center of any subsequences of  $\{x_n\}$ . For  $x, y \in X$ , a mapping  $c : [0, l] \rightarrow X$  is called a geodesic if  $c$  satisfies

$$c(0) = x, c(l) = y, \text{ and } d(c(u), c(v)) = |u - v|$$

for every  $u, v \in [0, l]$ . If a geodesic exists for every  $x, y \in X$ , then we call  $X$  a geodesic space. Moreover, if a geodesic exists uniquely for every  $x, y \in X$ , then we call  $X$  a uniquely geodesic space.

Let  $X$  be a uniquely geodesic space. An image  $[x, y]$  of  $c$  is called a geodesic segment joining  $x$  and  $y$ . For a triangle  $\Delta(x, y, z) \subset X$ , a comparison triangle  $\Delta(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$  in the Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is defined as a triangle such that each corresponding edge has the same length as that of the original triangle. If

for every  $x, y, z \in X$ , every  $p, q \in \Delta(x, y, z)$  and their corresponding points  $\bar{p}, \bar{q} \in \Delta(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$  satisfy that

$$d(p, q) \leq \|\bar{p} - \bar{q}\|,$$

$X$  is called a CAT(0) space.

Let  $X$  be a CAT(0) space. For every  $x, y \in X$  with  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , if  $z \in [x, y]$  satisfies that  $d(y, z) = \alpha d(x, y)$  and  $d(x, z) = (1 - \alpha)d(x, y)$ , then we denote  $z$  by  $z = \alpha x \oplus (1 - \alpha)y$ .

Let  $X$  be a CAT(0) space and let  $T$  be a mapping from  $X$  to  $X$  such that the set  $F(T) = \{z \in X : z = Tz\}$  of fixed points of  $T$  is not empty. If  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in X$ , then we call  $T$  a nonexpansive mapping. Let  $X$  be a complete CAT(0) space and let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ . Then for every  $x \in X$ , there exists a unique point  $x_0 \in C$  satisfying

$$d(x, x_0) = \inf_{y \in C} d(x, y).$$

We define the metric projection  $P_C$  from  $X$  onto  $C$  by  $P_C x = x_0$ . We know that the metric projection  $P_C$  is a nonexpansive mapping such that  $F(P_C) = C$ .

Let  $X$  be a complete CAT(0) space. Let  $f$  be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from  $X$  into  $]-\infty, \infty]$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ , the resolvent  $R_{\lambda f}$  of  $\lambda f$  is defined by

$$R_{\lambda f} x = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} \{\lambda f(y) + d(y, x)^2\}$$

for all  $x \in X$  [6, 9]. We know that  $R_{\lambda f}$  is a single-valued mapping from  $X$  to  $X$ . We also know that the resolvent  $R_{\lambda f}$  is nonexpansive such that  $F(R_{\lambda f}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in X} f$ .

Let  $X$  be a complete CAT(0) space. Let  $T^k$  be a nonexpansive mapping from  $X$  to  $X$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $\{\alpha^k\} \subset ]0, 1[$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha^k = 1$ . Hasegawa and Kimura [4] define a balanced mapping  $U$  from  $X$  to  $X$  by

$$Ux = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} \sum_{k=1}^N d(T^k x, y)^2$$

for every  $x \in X$ . They find that this mapping  $U$  is defined as a single-valued mapping, has nonexpansiveness and  $F(U) = \bigcap_{k=1}^N F(T^k)$ . We introduce some lemmas used for our results.

**Lemma 2.1.** (Hasegawa-Kimura [4]) *Let  $X$  be a complete CAT(0) space. Let  $T^k$  be a nonexpansive mapping from  $X$  to  $X$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $\{\alpha^k\} \subset ]0, 1[$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha^k = 1$ . Define a*

balanced mapping  $U : X \rightarrow X$  by  $Ux = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha^k d(T^k x, y)^2$  for every  $x \in X$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha^k d(T^k x, Ux)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha^k d(T^k x, Uy)^2 - \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha^k d(Uy, Ux)^2$$

for every  $x, y \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Hasegawa-Kimura [5]). *Let  $X$  be a complete CAT(0) space. Let  $U$  be a nonexpansive mapping from  $X$  to  $X$ . Suppose  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is  $\Delta$ -convergent to  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\{d(x_n, Ux_n)\}$  is convergent to 0. Then  $x_0 \in F(U)$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** (Kimura-Kohsaka [7]). *Let  $X$  be a complete CAT(0) space. Let  $f$  be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from  $X$  into  $]-\infty, \infty]$ . Let  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ , and  $R_{\lambda f}, R_{\mu f}$  be the resolvent of  $\lambda f, \mu f$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda + \mu)d(R_{\lambda f}x, R_{\mu f}x)^2 + \mu d(R_{\lambda f}x, x)^2 + \lambda d(R_{\mu f}x, x)^2 \\ \leq \lambda d(R_{\lambda f}x, x)^2 + \mu d(R_{\mu f}x, x)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.4** (Aoyama-Kimura-Takahashi-Toyoda [1]). *Let  $\{s_n\}, \{u_n\} \subset ]0, \infty[$ ,  $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n < \infty$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \leq 0$ . Suppose that*

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)s_n + \alpha_n t_n + u_n$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a complete CAT(0) space. Let  $f^k$  be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from  $X$  into  $]-\infty, \infty]$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  such that  $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^N \operatorname{argmin}_X f^k \neq \emptyset$ . For a given real number  $a \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , let  $\{\alpha_n^k\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [a, 1 - a]$  and  $\{\lambda_n^k\} \subset [a, \infty[$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k = 1$ . Let  $R_{\lambda_n^k f^k}$  be the resolvent of  $\lambda_n^k f^k$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $U_n$  be a mapping from  $X$  to  $X$  by*

$$U_n x = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, y)^2$$

for every  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For a given point  $x_1 \in X$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  generated by

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n)U_n x_n$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$   $\Delta$ -converges to a point in  $F$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z \in F$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, z)^2 &= d(\beta_n x_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n) U_n x_n, z)^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n d(x_n, z)^2 + (1 - \beta_n) d(U_n x_n, z)^2 - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) d(U_n x_n, x_n)^2 \\ &\leq d(x_n, z)^2 - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) d(U_n x_n, x_n)^2 \\ &\leq d(x_n, z)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain  $d(x_{n+1}, z) \leq d(x_n, z)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and there exists

$$D = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \leq d(x_1, z).$$

Since  $0 < a^2 \leq \beta_n (1 - \beta_n)$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(U_n x_n, x_n) = 0$ . From boundedness of  $\{x_n\}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(x_n, U_n x_n) + d(U_n x_n, z)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(U_n x_n, z) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(U_n x_n, U_n z) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(U_n x_n, z) = D$ . By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x_n, U_n x_n)^2 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x_n, z)^2 - d(z, U_n x_n)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(x_n, z)^2 - d(z, U_n x_n)^2 \\ &= d(x_n, z)^2 - d(z, U_n x_n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < a \leq \alpha_n^k$ , we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x_n, U_n x_n) = 0$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(U_n x_n, x_n) = 0$ , we also get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x_n, x_n) = 0$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_r}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  which  $\Delta$ -converges to a point  $x_0 \in X$ . Assume  $x_0 \notin \operatorname{argmin}_X f^1$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_r}, x_0) &< \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_r}, R_{\lambda_n^1 f^1} x_0) \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} (d(x_{n_r}, R_{\lambda_n^1 f^1} x_{n_r}) + d(R_{\lambda_n^1 f^1} x_{n_r}, R_{\lambda_n^1 f^1} x_0)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_r}, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain a contradiction and  $x_0 \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f^1$ . Similarly, we can show  $x_0 \in \operatorname{argmin}_X f^k$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Suppose that there are two subsequences

$\{u_i\}$  and  $\{v_i\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  which  $\Delta$ -converges to  $u_0$  and  $v_0$ , respectively. Then we obtain that  $u_0, v_0 \in \bigcap_{k=1}^N \operatorname{argmin}_X f^k$  and both  $\{d(x_n, u_0)\}$  and  $\{d(x_n, v_0)\}$  have limits. Assume that  $u_0 \neq v_0$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, u_0) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(u_i, u_0) \\ &< \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(u_i, v_0) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, v_0) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(v_i, v_0) \\ &< \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(v_i, u_0) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, u_0) \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction and thus  $u_0 = v_0$ . Hence we obtain  $\{x_n\}$   $\Delta$ -converges to  $x_0 \in F$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a complete  $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$  space. Let  $f^k$  be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function from  $X$  into  $]-\infty, \infty]$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  such that  $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^N \operatorname{argmin}_X f^k \neq \emptyset$ . For a given real number  $a \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , let  $\{\beta_n\} \subset ]0, 1[$ ,  $\{\alpha_n^k\} \subset [a, 1-a]$  and  $\{\lambda_n^k\} \subset [a, \infty[$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k = 1$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N |\alpha_{n+1}^k - \alpha_n^k| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N |\lambda_{n+1}^k - \lambda_n^k| < \infty$ . Let  $R_{\lambda_n^k f^k}$  be the resolvent of  $\lambda_n^k f^k$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $U_n$  be a mapping from  $X$  to  $X$  by*

$$U_n x = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, y)^2$$

for every  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For given points  $u, x_1 \in X$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  generated by

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n u \oplus (1 - \beta_n) U_n x_n$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $P_F u$ .

*Proof.* We show boundedness of  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{U_n x_n\}$ . Let  $z \in F$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, z) &= d(\beta_n u \oplus (1 - \beta_n) U_n x_n, z) \\ &\leq \beta_n d(u, z) + (1 - \beta_n) d(U_n x_n, z) \\ &\leq \beta_n d(u, z) + (1 - \beta_n) d(x_n, z) \\ &\leq \max\{d(u, z), d(x_n, z)\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(u, z), d(x_1, z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{U_n x_n\}$  are bounded. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) &\leq d(\beta_{n+1}u \oplus (1 - \beta_{n+1})U_{n+1}x_{n+1}, \beta_n u \oplus (1 - \beta_n)U_n x_n) \\
&\leq d(\beta_{n+1}u \oplus (1 - \beta_{n+1})U_{n+1}x_{n+1}, \beta_n u \oplus (1 - \beta_n)U_{n+1}x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + d(\beta_n u \oplus (1 - \beta_n)U_{n+1}x_{n+1}, \beta_n u \oplus (1 - \beta_n)U_n x_n) \\
&\leq |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| d(U_{n+1}x_{n+1}, u) + (1 - \beta_n) d(U_{n+1}x_{n+1}, U_n x_n) \\
&\leq |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| d(U_{n+1}x_{n+1}, u) + (1 - \beta_n)(d(U_{n+1}x_{n+1}, U_n x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + d(U_n x_{n+1}, U_n x_n)) \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n) d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| d(U_{n+1}x_{n+1}, u) \\
&\quad + d(U_{n+1}x_{n+1}, U_n x_{n+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

We show  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(U_{n+1}x_{n+1}, U_n x_{n+1}) < \infty$ . Let  $t \in ]0, 1[$ . For all  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_n x)^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, tU_n x \oplus (1 - t)U_{n+1}x)^2 \\
&\leq t \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_n x)^2 + (1 - t) \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_{n+1}x)^2 \\
&\quad - t(1 - t) \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(U_n x, U_{n+1}x)^2 \\
&= t \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_n x)^2 + (1 - t) \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_{n+1}x)^2 \\
&\quad - t(1 - t) d(U_n x, U_{n+1}x)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $1 - t > 0$ , we obtain

$$td(U_{n+1}x, U_n x)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_{n+1}x)^2 - \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_n x)^2.$$

Tending  $t \rightarrow 1$ , we have

$$d(U_{n+1}x, U_n x)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_{n+1}x)^2 - \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_n^k d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_n x)^2.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(U_{n+1}x, U_n x)^2 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_{n+1}^k d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_n x\right)^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_{n+1}^k d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

From the above two inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(U_{n+1}x, U_n x)^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \alpha_n^k d\left(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right)^2 - \alpha_n^k d\left(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_n x\right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_{n+1}^k d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_n x\right)^2 - \alpha_{n+1}^k d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Put  $D = d(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x)$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_n^k d\left(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right)^2 - \alpha_{n+1}^k d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right)^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n^k \left( D + d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right) \right)^2 - \alpha_{n+1}^k d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right)^2 \\ &= \alpha_n^k \left( D^2 + 2Dd\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right) + d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right)^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \alpha_{n+1}^k d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right)^2 \\ &= \alpha_n^k \left( D^2 + 2Dd\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right) \right) \\ &\quad + |\alpha_{n+1}^k - \alpha_n^k| d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing above inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &d(U_{n+1}x, U_n x)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( |\alpha_{n+1}^k - \alpha_n^k| \left( d\left(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_n x\right)^2 + d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right)^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_n^k \left( D^2 + 2Dd\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, U_{n+1} x\right) \right) + \alpha_{n+1}^k \left( D^2 + 2Dd\left(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, U_n x\right) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N (4 |\alpha_{n+1}^k - \alpha_n^k| d(x, z)^2 + D^2 + 4Dd(x, z)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x\right)^2 \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda_{n+1}^k - \lambda_n^k}{\lambda_{n+1}^k + \lambda_n^k} \left( d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, x\right)^2 - d\left(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, x\right)^2 \right) \\
& \leq \frac{|\lambda_{n+1}^k - \lambda_n^k|}{2a} \left( d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, x\right) + d\left(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, x\right) \right) \\
& \quad \left| d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, x\right) - d\left(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, x\right) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{|\lambda_{n+1}^k - \lambda_n^k|}{2a} \left( d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, x\right) + d\left(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, x\right) \right) \\
& \quad d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x\right) \\
& \leq \frac{|\lambda_{n+1}^k - \lambda_n^k|}{2a} \left( d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, z\right) + d\left(R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x, z\right) + 2d(x, z) \right) \\
& \quad d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x\right) \\
& \leq \frac{|\lambda_{n+1}^k - \lambda_n^k|}{2a} \cdot 4d(x, z)d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$d\left(R_{\lambda_{n+1}^k f^k} x, R_{\lambda_n^k f^k} x\right) \leq \frac{2|\lambda_{n+1}^k - \lambda_n^k|}{a} d(x, z).$$

By the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(U_{n+1}x, U_n x)^2 \\
& \leq 4d(x, z)^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \left( |\alpha_{n+1}^k - \alpha_n^k| + \frac{(\lambda_{n+1}^k - \lambda_n^k)^2}{a^2} + \frac{2|\lambda_{n+1}^k - \lambda_n^k|}{a} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N |\alpha_{n+1}^k - \alpha_n^k| < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N |\lambda_{n+1}^k - \lambda_n^k| < \infty$  and boundedness of  $\{x_n\}$ , we obtain  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(U_{n+1}x_{n+1}, U_n x_{n+1}) < \infty$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(U_n x_n, x_n) & \leq d(U_n x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\
& \leq d(U_n x_n, \beta_n u \oplus (1 - \beta_n) U_n x_n) + d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\
& \leq \beta_n d(U_n x_n, u) + d(x_{n+1}, x_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$ , we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(U_n x_n, x_n) = 0$ . We show  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(u, P_F u)^2 - (1 - \beta_n) d(u, U_n x_n)^2) \leq 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(d(u, P_F u)^2 - (1 - \beta_n) d(u, U_n x_n)^2) - (d(u, P_F u)^2 - d(u, x_n)^2)| \\ &= |d(u, x_n)^2 - d(u, U_n x_n)^2 + \beta_n d(u, U_n x_n)^2| \\ &\leq |d(u, x_n)^2 - d(u, U_n x_n)^2| + \beta_n d(u, U_n x_n)^2 \\ &= |(d(u, x_n) + d(u, U_n x_n))(d(u, x_n) - d(u, U_n x_n))| + \beta_n d(u, U_n x_n)^2 \\ &= |d(u, x_n) + d(u, U_n x_n)| |d(u, x_n) - d(u, U_n x_n)| + \beta_n d(u, U_n x_n)^2 \\ &\leq |d(u, x_n) + d(u, U_n x_n)| d(U_n x_n, x_n) + \beta_n d(u, U_n x_n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(U_n x_n, x_n) = 0$  and boundedness of  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{U_n x_n\}$  we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(d(u, P_F u)^2 - (1 - \beta_n) d(u, U_n x_n)^2) - (d(u, P_F u)^2 - d(u, x_n)^2)| = 0$ . From boundedness of  $\{x_n\}$ , we can take a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_{n_i}\}$   $\Delta$ -converges to  $x_0$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(u, x_n) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(u, x_{n_i})$ . Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(u, P_F u)^2 - (1 - \beta_n) d(u, U_n x_n)^2) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(u, P_F u)^2 - d(u, x_n)^2) \\ &= d(u, P_F u)^2 - \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(u, x_{n_i})^2 \\ &\leq d(u, P_F u)^2 - d(u, x_0)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N |\alpha_{n+1}^k - \alpha_n^k| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N |\lambda_{n+1}^k - \lambda_n^k| < \infty$ , we obtain  $\{\alpha_n^k\}$  converges to  $\alpha^k \in [a, 1-a]$  and  $\{\lambda_n^k\}$  converges to  $\lambda^k \in [a, \infty[$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $Ux = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha^k d(R_{\lambda^k f^k} x, y)^2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(U_n x_n, Ux) \\ &\leq 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^N \left( |\alpha_n^k - \alpha^k| + \frac{(\lambda_n^k - \lambda^k)^2}{a^2} + \frac{2|\lambda_n^k - \lambda^k|}{a} \right)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Ux, x_n) = 0$ . Since  $F = \bigcap_{k=1}^N \operatorname{argmin}_X f^k$  and Lemma 2.2, we have  $x_0 \in F$ . Therefore, we get  $d(u, P_F u) \leq d(u, x_0)$ . We also obtain  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(u, P_F u)^2 - (1 - \beta_n) d(u, U_n x_n)^2) \leq 0$ . From this

inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, P_F u)^2 &\leq d(\beta_n u \oplus (1 - \beta_n)U_n x_n, P_F u)^2 \\
&\leq \beta_n d(u, P_F u)^2 + (1 - \beta_n)d(U_n x_n, P_F u)^2 \\
&\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)d(u, U_n x_n)^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)d(x_n, P_F u)^2 + \beta_n(d(u, P_F u)^2 \\
&\quad - (1 - \beta_n)d(u, U_n x_n)^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, P_F u) = 0$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, W. Takahashi and M. Toyoda, *Approximation of common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mapping in a Banach space*, Nonlinear Anal. **67** (2007), 2350–2360.
- [2] S. Dhompongsa and B. Panyanak, *On  $\Delta$ -convergence theorems in CAT(0) spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. **56**(10) (2008), 2572–2579.
- [3] B. Halpern, *Fixed points of nonexpanding maps*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 957–961.
- [4] T. Hasegawa and Y. Kimura, *Convergence to a fixed point of a balanced mapping by the Mann algorithm in a Hadamard space*, Linear Nonlinear Anal. **4** (2018), 405–412.
- [5] T. Hasegawa and Y. Kimura, *Convergence to a fixed point of a balanced mapping in a Hadamard space*, submitted.
- [6] J. Jost, *Convex functionals and generalized harmonic maps into spaces of nonpositive curvature*, Comment. Math. Helv. **70** (1995), 659–673.
- [7] Y. Kimura and F. Kohsaka, *Two modified proximal point algorithms for convex functions in Hadamard spaces*, Linear Nonlinear Anal. **2** (2016), 69–86.
- [8] W. R. Mann, *Mean value methods in iteration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 506–510.
- [9] U. F. Mayer, *Gradient flows on nonpositively curved metric spaces and harmonic maps*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), 199–253.
- [10] S. Reich, *Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **67** (1979), 274–276.
- [11] S. Saejung, *Halpern's iteration in CAT(0) spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2010** (2010), 13pages.
- [12] W. Takahashi and T. Tamura, *Convergence theorems for a pair of nonexpansive mappings*, J. Convex Anal. **5** (1998), 45–56.
- [13] R. Wittmann, *Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Arch. Math. **58** (1992), 486–491.

K. KASAHARA

Department of Information Science, Toho University, Miyama, Funabashi, Chiba 274-8510,  
Japan

*E-mail address:* 7518001k@st.toho-u.ac.jp

Y. KIMURA

Department of Information Science, Toho University, Miyama, Funabashi, Chiba 274-8510,  
Japan

*E-mail address:* yasunori@is.sci.toho-u.ac.jp